## Impact of local Laplacian Spatial Filters on C-VEP-Based BCI Performance

## K. Nair, H. Cecotti

Dept. of Computer Science, California State University, Fresno, CA, USA 2576 E. San Ramon Ave., M/S ST90, Fresno, USA. E-mail: hcecotti@csufresno.edu

*Introduction:* Brain-Computer Interfaces (BCIs) enable direct communication between the brain and external devices, offering potential for assistive communication [3]. It can be possible only if the brain responses can be reliably decoded over time. Code-Modulated Visual Evoked Potentials (C-VEPs) stand out for their high ITRs and minimal training requirements, leveraging m-sequences to elicit distinct neural responses [1]. However, real-time decoding faces challenges due to the non-stationarity properties of the EEG signal and its low spatial resolution [2]. We compare different Laplacian spatial filters, including weight calculation methods such as 1/d,  $1/d^2$ ,  $1/\log(d)$ , and  $1/\sqrt{d}$  where d is the orthodromic distance between two sensors, for enhanced signal quality and robust classification techniques, achieving improved accuracy and advancing the potential of non-invasive BCIs [2].

*Material, Methods and Results:* The study utilized a C-VEP paradigm with stimuli based on 63-symbol m-sequences [1]. EEG data was collected from eight sensors ( $O_1$ ,  $O_2$ ,  $P_z$ ,  $P_3$ ,  $P_4$ ,  $PO_7$ ,  $PO_8$ , and  $O_z$ ) with 13 participants focusing on visual stimuli across five sessions [3]. Preprocessing incorporated Laplacian spatial filtering, enhancing spatial resolution by emphasizing local neural activity [2]. Classifiers (LDA, BLDA, MLP, SVM, and k-nn) were compared using correlation-based features [4]. Testing different Laplacian methods, the 1/d weighting approach and a radius of 1 yielded the highest accuracy [2], and SVM showed consistently higher accuracy compared to other classifiers, demonstrating superior signal enhancement and classification performance [4]. These findings underline the critical role of advanced preprocessing and classifier selection in achieving reliable and high-speed BCI's.

*Conclusion:* This study highlights the effectiveness of Laplacian spatial filtering, achieving an accuracy of  $76.0\% \pm 18.9$  with the 1/d weighting method and an SVM classifier, compared to a baseline of  $59.7\% \pm 17.3$  without Laplacian filtering. The approach shows how the preprocessing steps substantially impact accuracy compared to the type of classifier used.

Acknowledgments and Disclosures: This work has been supported by the NIH-R15 NS118581 project.



Figure 1: (A) Time-domain EEG plots showing mean signals with variability bounds, highlighting consistency in neural responses for templatebased feature extraction. (B) EEG cap layout highlighting VEP electrodes (green) and ground (blue). (C) Experimental paradigm.

## References:

- [1] Ivan Volosyak et al., "Biomed. Phys. Eng. Express 6 035034," 2020.
- [2] D.J. McFarland, "The advantages of the surface Laplacian in brain-computer interface research," Int J Psychophysiol, vol. 97, no. 3, pp. 271-276, Sep. 2015, DOI: 10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2014.07.009.
- [3] H. Cecotti, "A self-paced and calibration-less SSVEP-based brain-computer interface speller," IEEE Trans. on Neural Sys. and Rehab. Eng., vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 127-133, 2010.
- [4] H. Raza, H. Cecotti, Y. Li, et al., "Adaptive learning with covariate shift-detection for motor imagery-based brain-computer interface," Soft Comput., vol. 20, pp. 3085–3096, 2016. doi: 10.1007/s00500-015-1937-5.