Benchmarking one-class Riemannian EEG classifiers to detect wakefulness under general anesthesia

V. Marissens Cueva^{1,2*}, S. Rimbert¹, A. M. Cebolla Alvarez³, G. Cheron³, C. Meistelman⁴, S. J. Bidgoli⁵, F. Lotte¹, L. Bougrain^{2,6}

¹Inria Center at the University of Bordeaux / LaBRI, Talence, France; ²Université de Lorraine, CNRS, LORIA, Nancy, France; ³Laboratory of Neurophysiology and Movement Biomechanics, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium; ⁴DevAH, University of Lorraine, Nancy, France; ⁵CHU Brugmann, Bruxelles, Belgium; ⁶Sorbonne Université, ICM, CNRS, Inria, Inserm, AP-HP, Hopital de la Pitié Salpêtrière, Paris, France; ^{*}LORIA, Campus Scientifique, BP 239, 54506 Vandœuvre-lès-Nancy, France, E-mail: valerie.marissens@loria.fr

Introduction: Current brain monitors for detecting Accidental Awareness during General Anesthesia (AAGA) remain debated, as robust evidence supporting their effectiveness in reducing AAGA's incidence is lacking [1]. To address this, we propose a new brain-computer interface based on Median Nerve Stimulation (MNS), a painless stimulation that elicits motor patterns, to monitor depth of anesthesia [2]. Specifically, we train our algorithm with post-MNS EEG patterns recorded while patients are awake, enabling the detection of the return to an arousal state during the surgery under anesthesia. Since the anesthesia data is unavailable pre-surgery for BCI calibration, we focus on One-Class (OC) approaches. In this study, we evaluate three OC Riemannian methods for this task: K-Means (OC-RKM) [3], Minimum Distance to the Mean (OC-RMDM) [5] and Support Vector Machine (OC-RSVM) [4, 6].

Material, Methods and Results: This study includes 12 patients (6 women; 50 ± 14.3 years) undergoing surgery under general anesthesia with propofol as the hypnotic agent, at CHU Brugmann in Belgium [7]. EEG data were collected in two sessions: preoperatively (awake) and intraoperatively (under anesthesia). Each session involves several recordings, each with 150 MNSs delivered at a frequency of 0.25 to 0.33 Hz. Features were extracted as covariance matrices 250 to 1000 ms post-MNS in the 8–30 Hz frequency band. Classification performances of the three OC Riemannian algorithms are summarized in Figure 1. A Student's t-test with Benjamini-Hochberg correction shows OC-RKM and OC-RMDM significantly outperform OC-RSVM (p < 0.05).

100 (%) 80 60 60 40 20 OC-RKM OC-RMDM OC-RSVM

Conclusion: Results indicate that both OC-RKM and OC-RMDM effectively delimit an awake state in most subjects, though not all. In contrast, OC-RSVM has a lower perfor-

Figure 1: Test balanced accuracies obtained with 12 patients using OC classifiers trained on data when patients are awake. Best results with OC-RKM are with 2 prototypes, and thresholds of median + 3 × median absolute deviation. For OC-RSVM, $\nu = 0.5$. For OC-RMDM parameters, see [5]. *p-value < 0.05.

mance, possibly due to the use of a Riemannian kernel reference point C_{ref} computed as the mean covariance matrix of the awake class, which may inadequately capture the geometry of both classes. Additionally, ν was not optimized, as its tuning in a one-class context remains challenging. Future work will assess performance regarding the number of electrodes, alternative C_{ref} , and comparisons with other one-class algorithms. An ensemble method will also be considered to improve robustness for depth of anesthesia estimation across subjects, leveraging the strengths of each model.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank the Brugmann Foundation and the BCI4IA ANR project.

References:

[1] Laferrière-Langlois P, et al. Depth of Anesthesia and Nociception Monitoring: Current State and Vision For 2050. Anesth. Analg., 2024.

[2] Rimbert S, et al. Median Nerve Stimulation Based BCI: A New Approach to Detect Intraoperative Awareness During General Anesthesia. Front Neurosci. 2019.

- [4] Barachant A, et al. Classification of covariance matrices using a Riemannian-based kernel for BCI applications. Neurocomputing, 2013.
- [5] Marissens Cueva V, et al. One-Class Riemannian EEG Classifier to Detect Anesthesia. In 5th Intern. Neuroergonomics Conf., 2024.
- [6] Schölkopf B, et al. Support Vector Method for Novelty Detection. NIPS. 1999.
- [7] Rimbert S, et al. Detection of Motor Cerebral Activity After Median Nerve Stimulation During General Anesthesia (STIM-MOTANA): Protocol for a Prospective Interventional Study. JMIR Res Protoc. 2023.

^[3] Navarro-Sune X, et al. Riemannian Geometry Applied to Detection of Respiratory States From EEG Signals: The Basis for a Brain–Ventilator Interface. In *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.*, 2017.