

HUMAN &

**BIOTECHNOLOGY** Fields of Expertise TU Graz

Source: fotolia.com



Bernd Nidetzky, Gernot Müller-Putz, Gabriele Berg Human & Biotechnology Source: Lunghammer – TU Graz

n this issue, TU Graz research is focusing on topics related to the production, storage and use of hydrogen. In recent years, hydrogen has emerged as a new focus area at Graz University of Technology. In the field of biotechnology, there are approaches to the technological use of hydrogen as a substrate in microbial production processes. Hydrogen serves special microorganisms as a reducing agent to convert gaseous carbon substrates such as carbon dioxide or carbon monoxide into basic chemicals and polymers. This extends the raw material base of modern bioproduction to the important group of so-called C1-carbon sources. The microbial utilizability of C1 carbons combines biotechnological processes with chemical processes of using plant biomass (e.g. gasification of residual and waste materials). The use of C1 carbons in biotechnology hardly competes with food at all and is considered an important part of the sustainable development of a bio-economy of the future. At Graz University of Technology, biotechnology institutes are engaged in integrated process development for the conversion of carbon dioxide and hydrogen into valuable chemical substances. They are doing this in close cooperation with the Austrian Centre of Industrial Biotechnology (acib). Molecular aspects of the development of efficient production strains of microorganisms are combined with modern bioprocess technologies. The interdisciplinary focus on hydrogen at Graz University of Technology offers interesting new cooperation opportunities in the field of biotechnology with institutes of other faculties.

In the last round of the Initial Funding Programme we did not approve any applications. We check applications for plausibility and expected chances within the selected funding programme. We also critically review the justification for the requested initial funding. The funds for the Initial Funding Programme are limited by the returns from those third-party funded projects that are assigned to the Field of Expertise Human and Biotechnology.

Calls for appointments (Professorship in Computational Medicine, §98; Professorship in Medical Technology, §98, successor to Rudolf Stollberger; Field of Expertise tenure track position) are underway and we will continue to report here.

## **Gustav Oberdorfer**

# Fast, Accurate and Built to Fit: Computational Protein Design to Address Challenges in Biotechnology

Computational design of novel protein structures is a promising tool to make superior biological materials with tailor-made properties, new pharmaceuticals or complex fine chemicals. Over the last two years research in my group focused on developing methods to design and functionalize *de novo* proteins. Ultimately, we are aiming to be able to routinely and robustly design catalytic or small molecule binding proteins of arbitrary shapes.

## **DE NOVO PROTEIN DESIGN**

Since the beginning of protein science, it has been clear that proteins have tremendous potential to tackle and solve a variety of biomedical and biotechnological challenges. This is the major reason why they are used widely e.g. as drugs to treat diseases or to generate highly pure chemical compounds, while at the same time producing only minimal amounts of waste and exhibiting an excellent resource balance. In addition, researchers have long shown that the element that gives rise to >





a protein's function is its three-dimensional structure - commonly referred to as the structure-function relationship - and that all the information needed to adopt this function/structure is stored and encoded in the amino acid sequence of the protein. It was proposed as early as 1963 by Christian Anfinsen that the structure a protein adopts is always the lowest energy state accessible to its amino acid sequence. Remarkably, with all the given degrees of freedom, even for a small protein, this process would take a prohibitively long time, if all the conformations it could adopt were to be explored (referred to as Levinthal's paradox or the protein folding problem). This implies, however,



#### **Gustav Oberdorfer**

heads the protein design working group at the Institute of Biochemistry. Their research focuses on the design and engineering of biomolecular structures and their functions – a highly interdisciplinary effort that combines the approaches of computational biology, structural biology, biochemistry and biophysics.

Source: Lunghammer - TU Graz

that rather than being a set of random trials, the folding trajectory of a protein follows a path. So, if we can come up with ways to simulate and solve this problem efficiently, we should be able to make proteins from scratch according to our needs.

De novo protein design is the attempt to use our best understanding of protein biochemistry and biophysics - how proteins fold into their shapes by burial of hydrophobic amino acid residues, or what the typical inter- and intramolecular interactions of amino acids are and how they interact with their environment or targets/substrates - to identify a minimum energy amino acid sequence composition that allows the protein to fold exactly into a desired shape. This is essentially the protein structure prediction problem turned upside down, where a minimum energy structure for a given amino acid sequence is computed. Computationally, protein design represents two interconnected problems: a) How do we score conformations of an amino acid chain and b) How can we sample all its degrees of freedom efficiently? These problems are difficult to solve because sequence space for a typically sized protein (~200 amino acids) is vast (20200) and comprehensive sampling of it remains a challenge even with current computational power. Besides that, the free energy of such a large system is very difficult to compute with absolute accuracy.

## EXPLORING SEQUENCE SPACE

So why try to design a protein, if the odds of success are against the experimenter? With the advent of protein sequence dataFigure 1: (Left:) Differences in structure prediction and *de novo* protein design. (Right:) Illustrative representation of protein sequence space (grey). Sequence analysis of native proteins shows that tight clusters of protein families can be identified (beige).

Source: Gustav Oberdorfer

bases and their ever-increasing growth, it has become evident that nature only sampled an infinitesimal small subset of all possible sequences available. Protein design on the contrary allows for the exploration of this "dark matter" of amino acid sequence space (Figure 1). However, the question remaining is: Is it possible to find something new in this pool of unexplored sequences? Given the sheer number of available and yet unexplored sequences, it is reasonable to argue that there are thousands of possibilities for designing novel proteins of high stability and arbitrary shape. All of these bare the potential to go beyond classical biochemical approaches and could ultimately provide solutions to biomedical and biotechnological challenges much faster than nature can. Over the last couple of years, tremendous progress has been made in this direction with many novel protein structures designed from scratch. This can be attributed to advances in understanding the fundamental processes underlying protein folding and concomitant improvements in computational methods. In addition, breakthroughs in the field of synthetic DNA manufacturing and the increase in computational power were key aspects for these successes.







Figure 2: Computationally designed helix-helix interface. Through iterative fine sampling of backbone geometries, the computations converged on "ideal" knobs-into-holes packing arrangements, without enforcing sequence motifs to achieve these types of interactions. Source: Gustav Oberdorfer

## FUNCTIONALIZING HELICAL DE NOVO PROTEINS BY DEVIATING FROM IDEAL GEOMETRIES

Coiled-coils, a particular group of protein structures, have seen big advances in terms of design. These usually parallel and oligomeric protein assemblies present ideal targets for protein design studies, as they are very regular and follow a repeating sequence, which, in the canonical case, is seven residues long. We could show that it is possible to design genetically encoded, single-chain helix bundle structures with atomic level accuracy. To do so, a novel method that uses equations originally derived by Francis Crick in 1953 which accurately describe the geometries of  $\alpha$ -helical protein structures was established and used to sample the folding space of helical proteins computationally. The resulting designed proteins were highly idealistic in terms of geometry and showed very high thermodynamic stability (extrapolated  $\Delta G_{fold} > 60$  kcal mol-1), with their experimentally determined structures close to identical to the design models and nearly perfect packing of amino acid side chains between the helices (referred to as "knobs-into-holes", Figure 2). However, it is obvious that in nature, most protein functional sites sit at the end of structural elements or in unstructured regions and



Figure 3: Side and top view of a computationally designed 20-helix bundle. This topology is completely unknown in nature.

Source: Gustav Oberdorfer



Figure 4: Computationally designed 4-helix bundle with a designed binding site for heme B. It can clearly be seen how much the helices had to be bent, in order to accommodate the heme.

Source: Gustav Oberdorfer

therefore are not placed at positions of ideal protein geometry. It has been shown that this can be a result of selective pressure, where the ancestral proteins had more regular structural elements, exhibited higher thermodynamic stabilities and less dynamics, in comparison to their contemporary versions. This is why it is still unclear whether idealized protein structures can be functionalized. In order to address this question, research in my group is currently focusing on designing large proteins with topologies not observed in nature. Key elements we hope to find with these studies are whether they exhibit similar rigidity and stability as observed for the small ideal proteins we designed previously (Figure 3). We are also investigating to what degree we can harness some of the very high thermodynamic stability of our parametrically designed helical bundles to introduce deviations from ideal geometry for the gain of catalytic function. To test different levels of deviation

in helical backbones and to check if this is concomitant with a reduction of thermodynamic stability, functional sites of various sizes have been chosen. In particular my lab is working on metal complexation and cofactor binding (Figure 4). The ability to sample hundreds of thousands of potential protein backbones which can be used as starting points to introduce catalytic or ligand binding sites into de novo designed helical proteins is a big advantage over previous attempts in designing functional proteins. Initial results from this research show that there might be a tradeoff between high stability and degree of idealism as far as the protein backbone is concerned; however, many more designs have to be made and characterized before we can draw definite conclusions. In answering these questions though, we hope to pave the way for downstream applications of de novo protein design to tackle environmental, biomedical and biotechnological problems.