



Carina Andrea Sommer, BSc.

## NcTrp as building blocks: Preparative *in vitro* conversion and *in vivo* biosynthesis with parallel incorporation into a model protein

## MASTER'S THESIS

to achieve the university degree of

Diplom-Ingenieurin

Master's degree programme: Biotechnology

submitted to Graz University of Technology

Supervisor UNIV.-PROF. Dipl.-Ing. Dr. techn. Helmut Schwab

> Institute of Molecular Biotechnology Graz University of Technology

Dipl.-Ing. Dr. techn. Birgit Wiltschi Austrian Centre of Industrial Biotechnology

#### AFFIDAVIT

I declare that I have authored this thesis independently, that I have not used other than the declared sources/resources, and that I have explicitly indicated all material, which has been quoted either literally or by content from the sources used. The text document uploaded to TUGRAZonline is identical to the present master's thesis dissertation.

Date

Signature

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

A	ckn	owle	edger	nents	I				
A	ost	ract			Ш				
Kı	urzfassung								
1		Introduction							
	1.	1	Trp a	as a target for the exchange to ncTrp	2				
	1.	2	Enha	anced cyan fluorescent protein (ECFP) as model protein	4				
	1.	3	Thes	sis objectives	4				
2		Mat	erial	and Methods	6				
	2.	1	Clon	ing and preparation of the expression strain	6				
	2.	2	<i>St</i> Tr	pS expression and <i>in vitro</i> conversion	7				
		2.2.2	1	Expression and immobilization of the StTrpS	7				
		2.2.2	2	Enzymatic conversion of 5OH-indole to 5OH-Trp and 5F-indole to 5F-Trp	7				
2.2.3 Purification of 5C			3	Purification of 5OH-Trp and 5F-Trp	8				
	2.2.4		4	HPLC determination of the enzymatic conversion of 5OH-indole to	5OH-Trp and				
				5F-indole to 5F-Trp	8				
	2.	3	In vi	vo biosynthesis of Trp analogs and ECFP variant expression	9				
		2.3.3	1	Cultivation conditions for the incorporation procedures	9				
		2.3.2	2	Western blot	10				
		2.3.3	3	Protein concentration determination	10				
		2.3.4	4	Variant protein purification	10				
		2.3.	5	Fluorescence spectroscopy	12				
		2.3.6	5	Mass spectrometry of the ECFP[ncTrp]	12				
3		Resu	ults a	nd Discussion	13				
	3.	1	In vi	tro synthesis of 5F-Trp and 5OH-Trp	13				
3.2 <i>In vivo</i> synthesis of tryptophan analogs and incorporation into ECFP									
		3.2.2	1	Indole analog supplementation shortly before target protein induction	16				

	3.2.	2 Indole ana	log supple	mentation o	during cell growt	th				19
	3.2.	3 Evaluation	of the	4NH <sub>2</sub> -Trp	incorporation	efficiencies	of	the	two	different
		incorporat	ion proced	lures						21
	3.3	Incorporation c	f 50H-Trp	and 5F-Trp	into ECFP					23
4	Con	clusion								26
5	Refe	erences								27
6	Sup	plementary Info	rmation							31
	6.1	Cloning of the e	expression	s plasmids						31
	6.2	NMR spectra of	50H-Trp	and 5F-Trp						36
	6.3	Expression and	purificatic	n of ECFP[n	cTrp]					38
	6.4	Mass analysis o	f ECFP[ncT	rp]						40
	6.5	Listed Devices,	Instrumen	ts Chemical	s and Reagents					41

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I would like to thank Univ.-Prof. Dipl.-Ing. Dr.techn. Helmut Schwab for being the main examiner of this work, and without whose permission it would not have been possible to conduct my research within the Junior Group Synthetic Biology. Furthermore, I would like to thank the Austrian Centre of Industrial Biotechnology and FFG for funding this project.

I would also like to thank my supervisor, Dipl.-Ing. Dr. techn. Birgit Wiltschi, for giving me the opportunity to work in the field of incorporating non-canonical amino acids, and of course for her continuous support and guidance throughout the overall procedure of my master thesis.

I would also like to extend my gratitude to Dipl.-Ing. Dr. techn. Corinna Odar, for working with me on this project, for sharing her expertise, and for her support in the lab. I also want to thank every member of the Junior Group Synthetic Biology for their support in discussing lab issues. Special thanks go Patrik Fladischer, MSc, who was always with me and inspired me with our late-night discussions about new and future achievements in the field of Synthetic Biology. Furthermore, I want to thank Manuela Killinger, BSc, who is an expert in cloning, and who was always very ambitious in helping me with cloning issues that appeared to be insolvable in the beginning.

I would also like to thank my dearest friends Chrisi, Mohamed and Paul, who are always with me. Special thanks go to Lena for her steady support and her patience. She makes me laugh even in the hard times. Last, but certainly not least, I would like to thank family. I want to thank my sister for being awesome and my grandparents for teaching me to have the right set of priorities. I want to thank my parents for making it possible for me to study, and for their support during the years.

## ABSTRACT

The incorporation of non-canonical amino acids (ncAA) in proteins is one of the main topics of Synthetic Biology. This strategy enables the introduction of novel chemical and structural properties into proteins. Special attention is drawn to the incorporation of Trp analogs (ncTrp) into proteins, due to the extraordinary status of Trp in the pool of natural amino acids. Trp is encoded by a single triplet codon (UGG); it is a relatively rare amino acid (Ross et al., 1997; Lepthien et al., 2008), and is involved in molecular interactions such as  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking, hydrogen bonding, and cation- $\pi$  interactions (Budisa et al., 2004). The spectroscopic properties of Trp, which are based on the indole side chain, mainly contribute to the spectroscopic properties of proteins (Lakowicz, 1983; Lepthien et al., 2008). However, a significant downside to ncTrps is that they are expensive, and commercially not available. To overcome this problem, we first established an *in vitro* procedure for the preparative production of ncTrp (Winn et al., 2008). This was achieved by the enzymatic condensation of indole analogs with Ser to ncTrp, which was catalyzed by the tryptophan synthase from *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* serovar Typhimurium (Miles, 2001). In place of all available Trp analogs, we chose 50H-indole and 5F-indole for the preparative production of the corresponding ncTrp.

Based on the previously published supplementation based incorporation (SPI) method for the residue-specific incorporation of ncAA in proteins (Budisa et al., 1998), we established an *in vivo* method for the parallel enzymatic synthesis of the ncTrp, and the residue-specific incorporation into a recombinantly expressed protein in *E. coli*. With this method, we achieved the full labeling of the target protein, the enhanced cyan fluorescent protein (ECFP) with ncTrp.

## KURZFASSUNG

Der Einbau von nicht-kanonischen (nc) Aminosäuren in Proteine ist eine wichtiges Teilgebiet der Synthetischen Biologie und ermöglicht die chemische und strukturelle Veränderung von Proteinen. Aufgrund der Einzigartigkeit des Tryptophans (Trp) unter den kanonischen Aminosäuren, kommt dem Austausch von Trp zu nicht-kanonischen Trp Analoga (ncTrp) ganz besondere Aufmerksamkeit zuteil. Trp ist eine seltene Aminosäure (Ross et al., 1997; Lepthien et al., 2008) und sie wird nur von einem einzigen Triplett-Codon codiert. Sie ist außerdem an wichtigen molekularen Interaktionen beteiligt (Budisa et al., 2004). Des Weiteren trägt Trp durch seine spektroskopischen Eigenschaften, die auf den Indolring zurückzuführen sind, hauptsächlich zu den spektroskopischen Eigenschaften von Proteinen bei (Lakowicz, 1983; Lepthien et al., 2008). Der einzige Nachteil beim Einbau von ncTrp in Proteine besteht darin, dass diese sehr teuer oder kommerziell nicht verfügbar sind.

Um dieses Problem zu lösen, haben wir eine *in vitro* Synthese (Winn et al., 2008) für die präparative Herstellung von ncTrp etabliert. Diese Synthese besteht in der Kondensation von Indolanalogen und Serin zu ncTrp, die von der Tryptophan Synthase aus *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* serovar Typhimurium katalysiert wird (Miles, 2001). Stellvertretend für alle verfügbaren nicht-kanonischen Indole, haben wir 5OH-Indol und 5F-Indol für die Kondensation zu den entsprechenden ncTrp verwendet.

Basierend auf der bereits publizierten *supplementation based incorporation* (SPI) Methode für den aminosäure-spezifischen Einbau von nicht-kanonischen Aminosäuren in Proteine (Budisa et al., 1998), haben wir ein eine *in vivo* Methode entwickelt, um die ncTrp in der *E. coli* Zelle zu synthetisieren und diese direkt in ein rekombinant exprimiertes Modellprotein einzubauen. Als Modellprotein diente in dieser Studie das "enhanced cyan fluorescent protein" (ECFP), bei dem es uns gelang alle im Protein vorkommenden Trp durch ncTrp zu ersetzen.

## **1** INTRODUCTION

In the last couple of years, the incorporation of non-canonical amino acids (ncAAs) into proteins became a very popular field of research. This is based on the possibility of expanding the chemical properties by substituting a canonical amino acid (cAA) for the ncAA. The method for the incorporation of ncAAs in *E. coli* can be divided into two principles; site-specific incorporation and residue-specific incorporation (Walsh et al., 2013; Liu & Schultz, 2010; Betts & Russel, 2003).

Site-specific incorporation is a method for the incorporation of ncAAs at a defined position within a target protein. This can be achieved with the strategy of stop codon suppression, or the usage of a quadruplet codon. These strategies require a heterologous orthogonal pair consisting of a suppressor tRNA and an aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase, which is specific for an ncAA. The aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase often has to be engineered in order to recognize an ncAA of choice (Zheng & Kwon, 2012; Hoesl & Budisa, 2012; Liu & Schultz, 2010).

Residue-specific incorporation enables a global replacement of one cAA with its non-canonical analog. The residue-specific incorporation of an ncAA within a target protein can be achieved by in vitro or in vivo protein synthesis. Cell free protein synthesis separates the cell growth from protein expression, and it is advantageous if certain reagents, the ncAA, or the expressed protein are harmful for the host (Singh-Blom et al., 2014; Hong et al., 2014; Hoesl & Budisa, 2012). Initial experiments of in vivo incorporation of ncAAs began in the 1950s and 1960s (Brawerman & Yčas, 1957; Schlesinger, 1968). Experiments in this field were not efficient, until the introduction of auxotrophic expression hosts (Minks et al., 1999). In such strains, an essential gene for the expression of the cAA is knocked out in the genome. This enables to set the titer of the cAA by the composition of the medium (Datsenko & Wanner, 2000). The concentration of the cAA within the cells should be at a minimum, because the ncAA and the cAA compete to interact with the corresponding host aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase. Due to the substrate tolerance of the endogenous aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase for sterochemically similar amino acids, an additional heterologously expressed orthogonal pair of an aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase and a suppressor tRNA is not necessary (Zheng & Kwon, 2012; Budisa, 2004; Kiick et al., 2000). To bypass the bottleneck of the stereochemical similarity, the substrate tolerance of the aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase can be broadened by site directed mutagenesis (Johnson et al., 2010).

The established strategies for *in vivo* incorporation of ncAAs are grounded on the supplementation-based incorporation method (SPI) and can be implemented with a limited concentration of the cAA in the medium or with medium shift. The medium shift approach is based on an initial cell growth in the presence of all 20 cAAs. For protein expression, the cells are harvested

and re-suspended in fresh synthetic medium, in which one cAA is exchanged with its non-canonical counterpart. This strategy ensures that the ncAA does not have to compete with the cAA for interacting with the aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase. Mostly, cell growth is performed in a complex medium to reach a high cell density before the medium is exchanged (Van Hest et al., 2000; Kiick et al., 2000). Alternatively, full synthetic medium can be used.

In contrast to the medium shift method, cell growth and target protein expression can be performed in synthetic medium if a limited concentration is used of the cAA that is replaced. The cells grow until the cAA is consumed entirely. At this point, the cell growth stalls because of the exhaustion of the limited cAA in the medium. Now, the ncAA is added to the medium, and the protein expression is induced (Budisa et al., 1998). This strategy ensures that the cAA is no longer present in the medium, when the ncAA is introduced. Since the exchange of a cAA to an ncAA facilitates an alteration of the protein properties, several cAAs were previously exchanged: Leu, His, Ile, Val, Trp, Phe, Met, Cys, Lys, Pro, Tyr, Trp (Zheng & Kwon, 2012).

To name but a few prominent examples, this method was used to improve the stability of the green fluorescent protein from *Aequoria victoria*, and of ubiqutin, by a global replacement of Pro to 4-flouroproline (Steiner et al., 2008; Crespo & Rubini, 2011). Enhanced activity, enhanced substrate tolerance, changes in the optimal temperature, as well as changes in the optimal pH, could all be achieved by the exchange of Met, Pro, Phe and Tyr, in a lipase from *Thermoanaerobacter thermohydrosulficus* (Hoesl et al., 2011). However, the globally replaced cAA by its non-canonical counterpart can also be disadvantageous for a protein. The incorporation of an ncAA can also lead to denaturation, or loss of activity due to partial unfolding (Zheng & Kwon, 2012). For this reason, the residue-specific incorporation method of Met analogs was previously used for protein conformation studies (Wolschner et al., 2009).

#### **1.1** TRP AS A TARGET FOR THE EXCHANGE TO TRP ANALOGS

Trp is an attractive target for the exchange to a non-canonical Trp (ncTrp), because of its extraordinary status within the pool of natural amino acids. It is encoded by a single triplet codon (UGG), and it is a relatively rare amino acid, with an abundance of approximately 1% in proteins (Ross et al., 1997; Lepthien et al., 2008). Trp is also involved in molecular interactions such as  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking, hydrogen bonding, and cation- $\pi$  interactions, which play a crucial role in the folding, activity and stability of the proteins (Budisa et al., 2004). An advantage in the use of Trp is its spectroscopic properties, which are based on the indole side chain of the amino acid. Therefore, Trp mainly contributes to the spectroscopic properties of the proteins (Lakowicz, 1983; Lepthien et al., 2008). However, only a couple of Trp analogs are commercially available and they are very expensive.

To overcome this problem, the analogs can be enzymatically synthesized using tryptophan synthase (TrpS). The enzyme is an  $\alpha_2\beta_2$  tetramer, and forms an intermolecular tunnel. The  $\alpha$  subunit catalyzes a reversible retroaldol cleavage of indole-3-glycerol phosphate to indole and D-glycerolandehyde-3-phosphate (Figure 1, panael A). The  $\beta$  subunit catalyzes the condensation of indole with Ser to Trp (Figure 1, panael B). For condensation, the  $\beta$  subunit requires pyridoxal 5'-phosphate (PLP) as a co-factor (Miles, 2001; Dunn et al., 2008).



**Figure 1: Catalytic activity of the TrpS.** Panel A shows the reversible retroaldol cleavage of indole-3-glycerol phosphate to indole and D-glycerolandehyde-3-phosphate, which is catalyzed by the  $\alpha$  subunit. Panel B shows the condensation of indole with Ser to Trp, which is catalyzed by the  $\beta$  subunit (Miles, 2001).

Initial experiments for the enzymatic synthesis of Trp analogs from indole analogs with the tryptophan synthase from *E. coli* (*Ec*TrpS) began in the 1970s (Wilcox, 1974). Until today, various Trp analogs have been synthesized with *Ec*TrpS from the corresponding indole analogs (Phillips, 2004). However, other TrpSs have also been used for the enzymatic synthesis of Trp analogs, for instance, the tryptophan synthase from *Salmonella enterica*. With this enzyme, it is even possible to synthesize L-halotryptophans in a cell-free way (Smith et al., 2014), as well as in a biofilm (Perni et al., 2013). Most commonly, the TrpS from *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* serovar Typhimurium (*St*TrpS) has been used (Miles, 2001). The *St*TrpS is well characterized, and the synthesis of aza-, thio-, fluoro-, chloro and methyltryptophan was previously reported with this enzyme (Sloan & Phillips, 1992; Phillips et al., 1995; Goss et al., 2006). The synthesized Trp analogs can be directly applied for the residue-specific incorporation, by conducting the cell-free incorporation method or the SPI method. This strategy was chosen for the enzymatic synthesis of *β*-selenolo[3,2-*b*]pyrrolyl-alanine from seleno[3,2-*b*]pyrrole (Welch & Phillips, 1999). The incorporation of selenium into the aromatic system of the Trp should provide a stable and planar Trp analog. The incorporation of a selenium-containing Trp analog into proteins can solve the phase problem in crystallography (Bae et al., 2001).

Due to the low occurrence of Trp in proteins, it seems to be a promising alternative to SeMet and offers a site-specific resonance center for X-ray crystallography by the MAD method, stated by Bae et al. (2001).

### **1.2 ENHANCED CYAN FLUORESCENT PROTEIN (ECFP) AS MODEL PROTEIN**

ECFP is one of several variants of the green fluorescent protein (GFP) from *Aequoria victoria* (Chalfie et al., 1994) which were produced by classical protein engineering methods (Heim et al., 1994; Heim & Tsien, 1996; Tsien, 1998). Their fluorescence depends on the formation of a chromophore of the residues at the positions 57 - 65. At position 66, an aromatic amino acid is crucial (Tsien, 1998). A Trp at position 66 provokes cyan fluorescing properties, but the exchange of Trp at position 66 for an amino acid with an electron-donating amino group leads to a red shift in fluorescence. This Stoke shift is caused by a lone pair of electrons of the nitrogen atom in the  $4NH_2$ -Trp, which are conjugated to the delocalized  $\pi$ -system of Trp. Thus, the red shift in fluorescence is a result of intramolecular migration of the charge. This spectral change is visible as a golden fluorescence and is responsible for the name, gold fluorescent protein (ECFP[4NH<sub>2</sub>-Trp]) (Budisa et al., 2002; Bae et al., 2003).

### **1.3 THESIS OBJECTIVES**

In this thesis, we focused on ncTrp due to the important role of Trp in proteins. As aforementioned, the high costs of the ncTrp are the main drawback of working with these compounds. Therefore, the first objective was to address the preparative enzymatic production of ncTrp, in order to enable convenient use of ncTrp for the pre-established incorporation strategies. This enzymatic conversion was catalyzed by *St*TrpS in an *in vitro* assay, which was described by Winn et al. (2008). To demonstrate the effective *in vitro* condensation of non-canonical indole with Ser to ncTrp, we chose 5F-indole and 5OH-indole as model substrates. The 5F-indole and 5OH-indole were condensed with Ser to 5F-Trp and 5OH-Trp. The 5F-indole was chosen since the successful conversion of fluorinated Trp had already been described by Winn et al. (2008), and the further incorporation of fluorinated Trps is of common interest (Budisa & Pal, 2004). The 5OH-indole was chosen due to its unique absorption and fluorescence emission properties (i.e. a 20 nm red-shift in fluorescence compared to Trp). The incorporation of 5OH-Trp into proteins enables a selective excitation in the range of 315 to 320 nm (Budisa & Pal, 2004; Ross et al., 1997). Based on this, it proved to be a great advantage that 5OH-Trp and 5F-Trp could be enzymatically synthesized in a preparative way for a convenient use.

The second, and also main objective, was to establish an efficient incorporation protocol in *E. coli* for the *in vivo* biosynthesis and the parallel incorporation of ncTrp analogs into the heterologously

expressed enhanced cyan fluorescent protein (ECFP), based on the pre-established SPI method (Budisa et al., 1998). To establish such a protocol, 4NH<sub>2</sub>-indole was chosen as a model substrate to convert it to 4NH<sub>2</sub>-Trp, and to incorporate it simultaneously into ECFP. The conversion of 4NH<sub>2</sub>-indole to 4NH<sub>2</sub>-Trp and the incorporation of 4NH<sub>2</sub>-Trp into ECFP was chosen, because it leads to simple and visible proof of a successful incorporation. To show that this method is also applicable for other indole analogs, we conducted the *in vivo* synthesis and incorporation of 5OH-Trp into fluorescent proteins from *Aequoria victoria* has not been accomplished before (Budisa et al., 2004), and the incorporation of 5F-Trp into proteins is considered attractive, due to the improved properties of fluoro-variants (Minks et al., 1999; Bonskill & Wong, 1988; Budisa et al., 2004; Parsons et al., 1998).

## **2** MATERIAL AND METHODS

#### **2.1 CLONING AND PREPARATION OF THE EXPRESSION STRAIN**

The functional parts of the plasmid p15A-AraC-H6-StTrpS (Supplementary Figure 1, panel A) for the StTrpS, originated from three different plasmids. The promoter  $P_{araBAD}$ , together with araC, were prepared by digesting the pQEara-gdb (Marzluf, 2011) with EcoRI and NotI (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). The p15A origin of replication, the kanR resistance marker and the rrnB terminator originated from the pLEU<sup>fbr</sup> (Anderhuber et al., 2016), and were released by cutting with the same restriction enzymes. In both cases, 3.5 µg plasmid DNA were used for the digestion, and the DNA fragments were gel-purified using the Promega Wizard Gel Purification kit (Madison,WI), according to the manufacturer's protocol. The 300 ng fragment comprising the p15A origin of replication, the kanR resistance marker, the rrnB terminator, and the resulting 60 ng of the fragment with ParaBAD and araC were ligated with T4 DNA ligase (Thermo Fisher Scientific), in a total volume of 20 µl. These fragments formed the p15A-AraC backbone plasmid. 5.5 µg of the p15A-AraC backbone plasmid were digested for 1 h at 37 °C with EcoRI for linearization, and dephosphorylated by FastAP Thermosensisitive Alkaline Phosphatase (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The genes, which encode the StTrpS, the trpA and the hexahistidine-tagged trpB, originated from plasmid pSTWS2H (Hofmann, 2012). The trpA and the N-terminal hexahistidine-tagged trpB were amplified by PCR (Primer 1 and Primer 2; IDT Inc., Coralville, IA; Supplementary Table 1) with the TaKaRa Ex Tag<sup>®</sup> DNA polymerase (Takara Bio, Saint-Germain-en-Laye, France). The TaKaRa Ex Tag<sup>®</sup> DNA polymerase has a proofreading function. The resulting DNA fragments of the PCR amplification were gel-purified, and inserted into the linearized p15A-araC backbone plasmid by Gibson assembly (Gibson et al., 2009). Therefore, the Gibson assembly mix was used with  $5.5\,\mu g$  of the backbone plasmid and 300 ng of the DNA sequence, coding for StTrpS. The Gibson assembly consisted of: 25 U/mL Phusion® High-Fidelity DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA); 4000 U/mL Taq DNA ligase (New England Biolabs); 4 U/mL T5 exonuclease (BioZym, Hessisch Oldendorf, Germany); 5% PEG-8000 (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO); 1 mM NAD; 0.2 mM dNTPs; 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>; and 10 mM DTT in 100 mM Tris/Cl (all Thermo Fisher Scientific).

The expression construct with the ECFP coding sequence was prepared by digestion of plasmid pQE80L (Qiagen, Venlo, Netherlands) with XhoI and XbaI (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and the insertion of ECFP by Gibson assembly (kindly provided by P. Fladischer, ACIB GmbH, Austria). The ECFP coding sequence originated from pET30a-ECFP (kindly provided by K. Steiner, ACIB GmbH, Austria) and was amplified by PCR (Primer 3 and Primer 4; IDT Inc., Coralville, IA; Supplementary Table 1) with the

TaKaRa Ex Taq<sup>®</sup> DNA polymerase (Takara Bio). The plasmid map of the resulting pQE80L-H6-ECFP is shown in Supplementary Figure 1, panel B. The DNA sequences of the pQE80L-H6-ECFP and the p15A-araC-H6-*St*TrpS can be found in Supplementary Sequence 1 and Supplementary Sequence 2, respectively.

100 ng of the p15A-AraC-H6-StTrpS were transformed into the Trp auxotrophic BL21(DE3) gold  $\Delta trpC$ ::FRT strain (kindly provided by N. Anderhuber., ACIB GmbH, Austria) by electroporation (Seidman et al., 2001) with a voltage of 1.5 V and a resistance of 100  $\Omega$ . The resulting BL21(DE3) gold  $\Delta$ trpC::FRT{p15A-araC-H6-StTrpS} strain was further electroporated (1.5 V and 100  $\Omega$ ) with pQE80L-H6-ECFP, which yielded the expression strain BL21(DE3) gold  $\Delta$ trpC::FRT{p15A-AraC-H6-StTrpS; pQE80L-H6-ECFP}.

## 2.2 STTRPS EXPRESSION AND IN VITRO CONVERSION

#### 2.2.1 EXPRESSION AND IMMOBILIZATION OF THE STTRPS

The Trp auxotrophic E. coli expression strain, BL21(DE3) ∆*trpC*::FRT {p15A-AraC-H6-StTrpS; pQE80L-H6-ECFP}, was cultivated in 500 ml Lennox LB medium (Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany) at 37 °C and 120 rpm. The StTrpS was induced at D<sub>600</sub> of 0.8 with 2 mg/L arabinose (Sigma-Aldrich). The expression was performed overnight. The cells were harvested and resuspended in 20 mM Tris/Cl, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.8. 1 mg/mL Lysozyme and 0.003 mg/mL DNAse I were added (all Roth) for a better cell lysis, which was performed by sonication (output control 8, duty cycle 70 - 80 %, 6 min). The lysed cells were centrifuged at 20000 g, 4 °C for 40 min to separate soluble and insoluble cell components. The soluble StTrpS was immobilized overnight at 4°C on 2 ml nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid (Ni-NTA) resin (1 ml bed volume) (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The Ni-NTA resin with the immobilized StTrpS was centrifuged at 66 g (4°C) for 5 min, to separate the resin from the cell lysate. The Ni-NTA resin was washed with 50 mM NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>\*H<sub>2</sub>O, 300 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole (all Roth), until the Bradford protein assay (BioRad, Vienna, Austria) did not detect any proteins in the flow through. A final washing step with of 0.1 M K-PO<sub>4</sub> buffer (Roth) pH 7.8 was performed to remove the imidazole.

# **2.2.2** ENZYMATIC CONVERSION OF **5OH**-INDOLE TO **5OH**-TRP AND **5F**-INDOLE TO **5F**-TRP

The *in vitro* enzymatic conversion was based on the procedure published by Winn et al. (2008). The conversion was performed in 50 ml reaction tubes with  $0.1 \text{ M K-PO}_4$  buffer (Roth) pH 7.8 which additionally contained 1 mM Ser (Roth), 0.06 mM pyridoxal 5'phosphate monohydrate (PLP)

(Sigma-Aldrich), 1 mM 5F-indole or 5OH-indole (Molekula, GmBH, München Germany), and the *St*TrpS, immobilized on 2 ml Ni-NTA resin (1 ml bed volume). The incubation lasted for 6 days at 37 °C. The conversion product and the immobilized *St*TrpS were separated by centrifugation at 411 g 4 °C for 15 min.

#### 2.2.3 PURIFICATION OF 50H-TRP AND 5F-TRP

45 ml of the conversion product were lyophilized and dissolved in 3 ml 0.1 M K-PO<sub>4</sub> buffer (Roth) pH 7.8 and in 3 ml solution consisting of propanol, 28% ammonium hydroxide (both Roth) and H<sub>2</sub>O, mixed in a volumetric ratio of 8 : 1 : 1, respectively. To remove the remaining Ser, the indole analog, and residual PLP, the concentrate was applied onto a silica gel 60 (Roth) column (10 cm). Propanol, H<sub>2</sub>O and 28% ammonium hydroxide, in the same volumetric ratio as described above, were used as mobile phase. Fractions of 1 ml were taken, and 3 µl of each fraction were spotted onto a silica gel 60 sheet (Merck Millipore, Billerica, MA). The silica gel sheets were stained with either ninhydrin (Roth) or with the van Urk-Salkowski reagent. The van Urk-Salkowski reagent was a 3-to-1-part mixture of the Salkowaski and the van Urk reagent. The van Urk reagent consisted of 1 g p-dimethylaminibenzaldehyde (Sigma-Aldrich), which was dissolved in 50 ml conc. HCL (Roth), and diluted with 50 ml of H<sub>2</sub>O. For the Salkowsky reagent, 2.03 g of FeCl<sub>3</sub> \* H<sub>2</sub>O (Roth) were dissolved in 500 ml H<sub>2</sub>O, and 300 ml conc. H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (Roth) were added (Ehmann, 1977).

# 2.2.4 HPLC DETERMINATION OF THE ENZYMATIC CONVERSION OF 5OH-INDOLE TO 5OH-TRP AND 5F-INDOLE TO 5F-TRP

The HPLC analysis (Agilent 1100) of the *in vitro* conversion was based on the method of Szkop & Bielawski (2013), which we adapted for our purpose. We used a ZORBAX Eclipse XDB-C8 (4,6 x 150 mm, 5-Micron) column (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). Two eluents were used for installing a gradient elution. Eluent A consisted of 2.5 : 97.5 % (v/v) acetic acid (Roth) and H<sub>2</sub>O, with a pH of 3.8 (calibrated with KOH). Eluent B was composed of 80 : 20 % (v/v) of acetonitrile (Roth) and H<sub>2</sub>O. First, the Trp analog was eluted for 25 min with eluent A and B mixed at 80 : 20 % (v/v). Then, the ratio was changed to 50 : 50 % for 6 min and finally to 0 : 100 % for 2 min. The column was regenerated in A/B 80 : 20 % for 7 min. A total run took 40 min, with a flow rate of 1 mL/min. 10  $\mu$ L of each sample were injected. The Trp analogs were detected with a fluorescence detector, by using the excitation wavelengths of 280 nm for 5F-Trp, and 350 nm for 5OH-Trp. The emissions were measured at 350 nm and 380 nm for 5F-Trp and 5OH-Trp, respectively.

#### **2.3** IN VIVO BIOSYNTHESIS OF TRP ANALOGS AND ECFP VARIANT EXPRESSION

#### **2.3.1** CULTIVATION CONDITIONS FOR THE INCORPORATION PROCEDURES

The BL21(DE3)  $\Delta trpC$ ::FRT{p15A-AraC-H6-*St*TrpS; pQE80L-H6-ECFP} gold strain was cultured in a synthetic minimal medium, which contained 20 mM glucose as a C-source, trace elements, salts and all 20 amino acids. The concentration of Trp was limited to 18  $\mu$ M, whereas the other 19 amino acids were supplemented at 50 mg/L. The detailed composition of the medium can be found in Supplementary Table 3. The cells grew at 37 °C and 120 rpm. The optimal arabinose concentration and time for the induction of the *St*TrpS were determined as indicated in the results section.

For the incorporation procedures, the cells were grown as indicated. The two incorporation procedures differed only in the time for the addition of  $1 \text{ mM } 4\text{NH}_2$ -indole (ABCR, Karlsruhe, Germany), details are described in the results section. The *St*TrpS was induced with 2 mg/L arabinose (Sigma-Aldrich) at D<sub>600</sub> of 1. ECFP was induced with 0.1 mM IPTG (Biosynth, Staad, Switzerland) and the temperature was decreased to 28 °C. The D<sub>600</sub> was recorded in three technical replicates. Sample preparation and expression analysis by sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacryl gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE).

The SDS-PAGE was performed with 12% polyacrylamide gels as described by Laemmli (1970). The electrophoresis was performed for 15 min with 100 V, and for 40 min with 180 V. Afterwards, the SDS gels were stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue G250 (Roth). The staining solution consisted of 2.5 g Coomassie Brilliant Blue G250, 7.5% (v/v) acetic acid, 50% (v/v) ethanol and 42.5% (v/v) H<sub>2</sub>O. The destaining solution was a mixture of 7.5% (v/v) acetic acid, 20% ethanol and 72.5% H<sub>2</sub>O. The gels were distained until distinct protein bands were visible. For the estimation of the protein sizes, a prestained protein ladder (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used.

To monitor protein expression, samples were collected before *St*TrpS induction, before addition of  $4NH_2$ -indole, after 3 h of expression, and after overnight expression. Culture volumes corresponding to  $1 D_{600}$  were harvested by centrifugation for 1 min at 13000 rpm at room temperature and the culture supernatant was discarded. The cell pellets were resuspended in 100 µL CelLyticB 2x Cell lysis reagent (2x conc.) (Sigma-Aldich) for chemical cell lysis. The chemical lysis was performed in thermo mixer for 10 min at 25 °C and 900 rpm. Afterwards, the samples were centrifuged for 2 min at 17 g at room temperature and 100 µL of the supernatant (soluble protein faction) were mixed with 25 µl 5x SDS loading dye (composition see Supplementary Table 2). The pellet (insoluble protein faction) was re-solubilized with 100 µL 6 M urea (Roth), and afterwards, 25 µl 5x SDS loading dye was added. The soluble and insoluble protein fractions were incubated for 5 min at 90 °C and 600 rpm, and 9.4 µl (0.075 D<sub>600</sub>) were applied onto the SDS gel.

For the visualization of the soluble proteome including the expressed ECFP[5F-Trp] and ECFP[5OH-Trp], the cells were harvested after the overnight cultivation, by centrifugation at 4000 g at 4 °C for 20 min. The cell pellets were re-suspended in 20 mM Tris/Cl, 150 mM NaCl at pH 7.8. For a better cell lysis, 1 mg/mL lysozyme (Roth) and 0.003 mg/mL DNAse (Sigma-Aldrich) were added. The cells were lysed by sonication (output control 8, duty cycle 70 - 80 %, 6 min), and afterwards the soluble and insoluble cell components were separated by centrifugation at 20000 g, 4 °C for 40 min. The insoluble protein fraction was re-suspended in 6 M urea. The protein concentration was determined with a Bradford protein assay. The samples for the SDS-PAGE had a total volume of 200  $\mu$ l. They contained 50  $\mu$ l NuPAGE (Thermo Fisher Scientific) sample buffer and 1  $\mu$ l beta-mercaptoethanol (Roth). The samples were heated for 20 min at 70 °C, before 3  $\mu$ g total protein were applied onto the gel.

#### **2.3.2 WESTERN BLOT**

For the immunodetection (Haid & Suissa, 1983)of the hexahistidine-tagged proteins, a SDS-PAGE was performed as described above. The proteins were electrotransfered from within the SDS-Gel onto a nitrocellulose membrane (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK) (160 mA, 25 V 120 min). The membrane was blocked overnight at 4 °C with 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA) (Roth) in Tris-buffered saline with Tween 20 (TTBS) (20 mM Tris pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1 Tween 20). The immunodetection was performed with a primary anti-hexahistidine epitope tag antibody from mouse (1:3000 dilution in TTBS, 1 h incubation at 4 °C) and a goat anti-mouse IgG + IgM (H+L) secondary antibody conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (1:10000 dilution in TTBS, 1 h incubation at room temperature) (all from Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA). The SuperSignal<sup>®</sup> West Dura Extended Duration Substrate (Life Technologies) was used for the chemiluminescent detection as indicated by the manufacturer.

#### **2.3.3 PROTEIN CONCENTRATION DETERMINATION**

The protein concentration was determined by Bradford protein assay (BioRad), according to the manufacturer's protocol at 595 nm. The calibration curve was performed with 0.0625, 0.125, 0.25, 0.5 and 1,2 mg /ml albumin G (Roth) in 50 mM Tris/Cl pH 7.5. The determinations of the protein concentration of the samples as well as the calibration curve were conducted in 96-well microtiter plates with a plate reader.

#### **2.3.4 VARIANT PROTEIN PURIFICATION**

The *E. coli* host cells were harvested by centrifugation at 4000 g, 4 °C for 20 min and resuspended in 20 mM Tris/Cl, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.8. Then, 1 mg/mL lysozyme and 0.003 mg/mL DNAse were added

(all Roth) to improve the cell lysis. The cell lysis was performed by sonication (output control 8, duty cycle 70 - 80 %, 6 min) and the lysed cells were centrifuged at 20000 g, at 4 °C for 40 min to separate soluble and insoluble cell components.

The ECFP[4NH<sub>2</sub>-Trp] variant was purified by two-phase partitioning based on the method described by Samarkina et al. (2009). 7.5 ml 5 M NaCl, 58.25 ml saturated (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>2</sub> (pH 7,8) and 30 ml EtOH (all Roth) were added to 25 ml cell lysate and mixed for 30 sec. The mixture was allotted to 50 mL reaction tubes for centrifugation for 7 min at 3000 g at room temperature. After centrifugation, two phases were visible. The supernatant contained the fluorescent protein, which was transferred to a fresh tube. One volume nButatnol (Roth) was added to four volumes of supernatant, mixed for 30 sec and centrifuged for 7 min at 3000g at room temperature. After centrifugation, the fluorescent protein was located in the lower, aqueous phase and was recovered using a syringe. Afterwards, the samples were dialyzed three times against 2000 volumes of 50 mM Tris/Cl at pH 7.5 and 4 °C using SnakeSkin<sup>TM</sup> Dialysis Tubing (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

The ECFP[5F-Trp] and ECFP[5OH-Trp] were purified via their N-terminal hexahistidine tag by Ni<sup>2+</sup> affinity chromatography on 500 µl bed volume of Ni-NTA resin in batch mode, according to the manufacturer's protocol. The cell lysate was prepared as described above and 1 M urea was added to prevent the aggregation of the StTrpS. The cell lysates and the Ni-NTA resin were incubated for 1 h at 4 °C with slight shaking. Afterwards, the Ni-NTA resin with the bound hexahistidine-tagged proteins and the unbound proteins were separated by centrifugation at room temperature with 4000 rpm for 20 min. The Ni-NTA resin was resuspended in 1.5 ml wash buffer, containing 3.75 M urea, 50 mM NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>\*H<sub>2</sub>O, 300 mM NaCl and 20 mM imidazole (all Roth). Again, the Ni-NTA was separated by centrifugation at room temperature with 13000 rpm for 2 min. The washing and centrifugation steps were repeated twice. The bound proteins were eluted by 3 successive incubations of the Ni-NTA resin in 250 ml elution buffer, which contained 50 mM NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>\*H<sub>2</sub>O, 300 mM NaCl and 250 mM imidazole. Afterwards, the Ni-NTA resin was centrifuged as described above for 5 min. In order to remove all Ni-NTA resin before the dialysis, an additional final centrifugation step was performed. The samples were dialyzed against 2000 volumes of 50 mM Tris/Cl at pH 7.5 and 4 °C using SnakeSkin<sup>™</sup> Dialysis Tubing (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in the micro dialysis protocol for 2 ml reaction tubes by the manufacturer.

After dialysis, the purified ECFP variant and the ECFP parent protein were stored in a 50 mM Tris/Cl, pH 7.5 buffer.

## **2.3.5** FLUORESCENCE SPECTROSCOPY

The fluorescence of the isolated proteins was analyzed in a 96-well microtiter plate by exciting the ECFP and ECFP[4NH<sub>2</sub>-Trp] at their specific wavelengths of 434 nm and 466 nm, respectively (Bae et al., 2003). The fluorescence spectrum was recorded from 460 nm to 700 nm excitation wavelength. The fluorescence spectrometry analysis was performed on a Synergy MX spectrometer (BioTek, Winooski,VT) at 20 °C.

## 2.3.6 MASS SPECTROMETRY OF THE ECFP[NCTRP]

For mass analysis, the ECFP[ncTrp] variants were sent to the ACIB Core Facility Functional Proteomics (Assoc. Prof. PD DI Dr.tech. Ruth Birner-Grünberger, Medical University of Graz, Austria). The samples were ionized by electrospray ionization, and their intact mass was analyzed by the Time of Flight (TOF) method. The theoretical mass of the ECFP[ncTrp] was calculated by using MassXpert (Rusconi & Belghazi, 2002).

## **3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### **3.1** IN VITRO SYNTHESIS OF 5F-TRP AND 5OH-TRP

The incorporation of ncAA into proteins is a promising method of expanding the chemical properties of the target proteins. The previously published incorporation methods included the supplementation of the ncAA to the growth medium (Zheng & Kwon, 2012). However, ncAAs, particularly ncTrp are considerably expensive, and many analogs are commercially unavailable. In order to decrease the costs of the incorporation of ncTrp into proteins, we established the preparative *in vitro* synthesis of Trp analogs by the enzymatic condensation of the corresponding indole analogs with Ser (Winn et al., 2008).

In place of all available Trp analogs, we chose the enzymatic conversion of 5F-indole to 5F-Trp, and 5OH-indole to 5OH-Trp, with the *St*TrpS enzyme. The *St*TrpS was immobilized on a Ni-NTA resin *via* its N-terminal hexahistidine tag. The conversion was performed with 1 mM indole analog, equimolar amounts of Ser and 0.06 mM PLP, as the co-factor. The reaction was completed in 6 days. Detailed information can be found in the methods section.

To remove the residual indole analogs, PLP and Ser, the *in vitro* conversion product was purified by silica gel chromatography. Eluent fractions were collected in regular intervals. To identify those fractions containing the Trp analog, a small volume of each fraction was spotted on a silica gel sheet and stained with ninhydrin and the van Urk-Salkowski reagent (Figure 2). The van Urk-Salkowski reagent specifically stains indoles (Ehmann, 1977), and so it indicated the indole analog as well as the Trp analog on the silica gel sheet (Figure 2, panel A and C). The ninhydrin reagent stains ammonia, primary and secondary amines (Yemm et al., 1955) and so, Ser as well as the Trp analog could be visualized on the silica gel sheet (Figure 2, panel B and D). Thus, fractions stained with both reagents contained the Trp analog. The colorimetric analysis of the elution fractions showed that, in case of the *in vitro* synthesis of 5F-indole to 5F-Trp, the fractions 8 to 24 were stained with the van Urk-Salkowski and the ninhydrin reagent (Figure 2, panel A and B). The fractions 6 to 21 of the 5OH-indole to 5OH-Trp conversion also stained with both reagents. Hence, we concluded that the Trp analogs were present in these fractions (Figure 2, panel C and D).



Figure 2: Stained samples of the enzymatic *in vitro* conversion of the indole analogs to ncTrp analogs. Three  $\mu$ I of each fraction of the conversion of 5F-indole to 5F-Trp (A and B) and 5OH-indole to 5OH-Trp (C and D), were spotted onto a silica gel sheet. The van Urk-Salkowski reagent stains the indole ring (A and C) and the ninhydrin reagent reacts with the primary amines of amino acids (B and D). The highlighted fractions that were used for further HPLC analysis and the fractions, which were selected for preparative purification, are underlined. Trp, Indole, Ser and PLP were spotted for a pre-test on a silical gel sheet and stained with the van Urk-Salkowski or ninhydrin reagent (E).

After we had identified the fractions containing 5OH-Trp and 5F-Trp, we performed an HPLC analysis to verify the purity of the single fractions (Figure 3). The fractions 8, 14, 24 of the 5F-Trp *in vitro* synthesis, and the fractions 6, 12, 21 containing 5OH-Trp were chosen for the HPLC analysis. Since the samples had already been purified from the other components of the *in vitro* biotransformation by silica gel chromatography, we expected only a single peak of the respective Trp analog in the HPLC chromatograms. Thus, the major peaks in Figure 3 could be assigned unambiguously to the expected Trp analogs. The HPLC chromatograms of fractions 6, 12, 21 showed only a single peak at 1.8 min, indicating 5OH-Trp (Figure 3, panels B, D and F). The HPLC chromatograms of the fractions containing 5F-Trp showed a prominent peak at 3.4 min, and a small peak at 5 min (Figure 3, panels A, C and E). Although we did not have commercial reference compounds, we assumed that the peaks at 3.4 and 1.8 min were 5F- and 5OH-Trp, respectively. 5F-Indole eluted much later, at 27 min, than 5F-Trp; the

5-OH indole and 5OH-Trp peaks were also well separated (6.6 min *vs* 1.8 min). PLP eluted at 1.3 min, which is close to 5OH-Trp at 1.8 min, however, the peak intensity is much higher than it would have been expected for PLP. Ser is not visible by the detection method used. The minor peak at 5 min in Figure 3, panels A, C and E, remained unidentified. 5F- and 5OH-Trp were prepared very efficiently and in high purity by the enzymatic conversion.



**Figure 3: HPLC chromatograms of selected fractions of the enzymatic** *in vitro* **conversions.** The fractions 8, 14 and 24 of the enzymatic conversion of 5F-indole to 5F-Trp (A, C, E), and the fractions 6, 12 and 21 of the enzymatic conversion of 5OH-indole to 5OH-Trp (B, D and F), are shown. Retention times of all related compounds in the in vitro assay: Trp: 2.5 min; indole: 20 min; 5F-indole: 27 min (orange arrow); 5F-Trp: 3.4 min (red arrow); 5OH-indole: 6.6 min (green arrow); 5OH-Trp : 1.8 (purple arrow); PLP 1.3 min; Ser: not visible.

The HPLC results were confirmed by NMR (Ao. Univ.-Prof. Dipl.-Ing. Dr. techn. Hans-Jörg Weber, Institute for organic chemistry, Graz University of Technology) (Supplementary Figure 3, Supplementary Figure 4). The fractions 8 to 24 (5F-Trp) (Figure 3, panels A, C and E) and the fractions 12 to 21 (5OH-Trp) (Figure 3, panels B, D and F) were pooled, and the solvent was evaporated. With the *in vitro* conversion of 5OH-indole to 5OH-Trp and 5F-indole to 5F-Trp, we reached 79 % and 53 % of the theoretical yield, respectively. In absolute numbers, 1 mol of each indole analog was used to yield 0.526 mol (173 mg) of 5F-Trp and 0.785 mol (117 mg) of 5OH-Trp.

The successful enzymatic *in vitro* synthesis of the 5OH-indole and the 5F-indole to 5OH-Trp and 5F-Trp, catalyzed by *St*TrpS, is in line with the report of Winn et al. 2008, who published that the *St*TrpS is an efficient Trp synthase for the conversion of indole analogs to Trp analogs. Hence, the *St*TrpS seemed to be promising for an enzymatic *in vivo* conversion of indole analogs to Trp analogs, and for a parallel incorporation of the ncTrp into proteins.

# **3.2** *IN VIVO* SYNTHESIS OF TRYPTOPHAN ANALOGS AND INCORPORATION INTO ECFP

Based on the already published SPI method for the incorporation of ncAA in proteins (Budisa et al., 1995), we aimed to establish an *in vivo* method for the parallel enzymatic synthesis of the ncTrp, and the incorporation into a target protein. In this chapter, I will describe two assayed incorporation procedures and their evaluation.

#### **3.2.1** INDOLE ANALOG SUPPLEMENTATION SHORTLY BEFORE TARGET PROTEIN INDUCTION

We established an in situ biotransformation and incorporation procedure for the labeling of ECFP with ncTrp. The incorporation procedure is based on a co-expression of StTrpS, and on the depletion of the Trp auxotrophic host cells for Trp before target gene expression (Figure 4, panel A). The expression of StTrpS was decoupled from the expression of the target protein. We used a Trp auxotrophic strain with a deletion of *trpC*. This ensured that the *E. coli* cells could not synthesize Trp on their own, and that the intracellular Trp depended on the Trp concentration in the medium. The use of auxotrophic stains is crucial for a quantitative residue-specific incorporation (Budisa, 2004). Our protocol distinguishes itself from the SPI method (Budisa et al., 1998) by using two plasmids for separately induced expressions of two recombinant proteins. The two cultivation phases (Figure 4, panel A; growth phase, production phase) were performed without shifting the medium. One plasmid was the p15A-AraC-H6-StTrpS that carried a hexahistidine-tagged StTrpS, which was under the control of the arabinose inducible ParaBAD promoter. The plasmid was, due to its p15A origin of replication, a medium copy plasmid. The second expression construct was a high copy plasmid with a ColE1 origin of replication, termed pQE80L-H6-ECFP. The plasmid carried a hexahistidine-tagged ECFP, which was under the control of an IPTG inducible T5/lacO promoter. The cells were cultivated under limited Trp conditions (Supplementary Table 1). Trp was titrated in this minimal medium so that cell growth stalled at a  $D_{600}$  of 1.8 - 2 due to Trp depletion. In the first phase of the cultivation, the cells grew because they were supplied with all cAAs and during this phase the expression of *St*TrpS was induced (Figure 4, panel A). Since the Trp in the medium was growth limiting, we aimed to ensure sufficient *St*TrpS in the cells before they ran out of Trp. The second phase started after the depletion of Trp, when the indole analog was added. The *St*TrpS, produced during the growth phase, converted the indole analog to the Trp analog. The expression of the target gene was induced 30 min after the addition of the indole analog, to give the *St*TrpS time to catalyze the conversion.



sample collection	а	b	b	b	с	с	с	с	с	d	d	d	d	+	а	b	b	b	с	с	с	с	с	d	d	d	d	+
His-ßStTrpS				1	-	<i>the</i>	r,	-	-	-		ġ	÷			-		-			-		1					
His-ECFP / His-ECFP[4NH <sub>2</sub> -Trp]						¢	e	e	-		-	-	+	÷														*

Figure 4: Schematic illustration of the predicted growth patten of the procedure with the indole analog supplementation 30 min before target protein induction (A), growth pattern of indole and 4NH<sub>2</sub>-indole fed cultures (B), and a Western blot of the hexahistidine-tagged (His-) proteins: β*St*TrpS, ECFP and ECFP[4NH<sub>2</sub>-Trp] (C).

The image in (A) shows the schematic growth behavior and variant protein production (ECFP) in relation to the Trp consumption and enzymatic ncTrp synthesis. Arrows indicate the induction of the expression of the StTrpS by the addition of arabinose, the addition of 4NH<sub>2</sub>-indole, and the induction of the variant protein (ECFP) with IPTG. The samples for the SDS-PAGE and the Western blot (C) were collected at the instances marked with letters (a, before TrpS induction; b, before addition of indole or indole analog; c, after 3h of variant protein expression; d, overnight expression).

The outlined procedure in A was applied and the SDS-PAGE samples were collected as indicated. D<sub>600</sub> was determined in triplicates and the calculated relative mean values are visualized (B).

We analyzed the collected samples by immunodetection, as shown in (B). The His- $\beta$ StTrpS, His-ECFP and His-ECFP[4NH<sub>2</sub>-Trp] are visible as fluorescing bands. Purified His-ECFP was used as a positive control and is indicated with + (C).

For an optimal utilization of the C-source, the optimal  $D_{600}$  and arabinose concentration had to be examined for the induction of *St*TrpS. Sufficient amounts of *St*TrpS were to be present in the cells for the catalysis of the conversion of the indole analog to the Trp analog. However, the expression of the *St*TrpS should not use up all cellular nutrients for its production. We examined the induction of StTrpS at D<sub>600</sub> of 0.5, 1, and 1.5 with 200 mg/L, 20 mg/L and 2 mg/L arabinose (Supplementary Figure 5). When we induced the StTrpS at D<sub>600</sub> of 1 or 1.5, the depletion of Trp occurred as expected, regardless of the arabinose concentration (Supplementary Figure 5, panel B and C). The induction of the StTrpS at D<sub>600</sub> of 0.5 with 2 mg/L and 20 mg/L also showed the expected Trp depletion, resulting in growth arrest at D<sub>600</sub> of ~1.8-2. However, the induction of StTrpS at D<sub>600</sub> of 0.5 with 200 mg/L arabinose showed no growth arrest. This was in contrast to our expectations since we anticipated the cells to be Trp limited. The host strain carried a block at the end of the Trp biosynthesis pathway ( $\Delta trpC$ ). It is unclear, how the comparably high amount of 200 mg/L arabinose for induction of StTrpS at D<sub>600</sub> of 1. After the growth arrest, we added Trp to the cultures to confirm that the depletion of Trp was the reason for the growth arrest and that no other nutrient was limiting.

Following the identification of the optimal D<sub>600</sub> for the induction of *St*TrpS with arabinose, we wanted to investigate the influence of the arabinose concentration on the expression level of the StTrpS and the ECFP. Therefore, the *St*TrpS was induced at  $D_{600}$  of 1 with 200 mg/L, 20 mg/L or 2 mg/L arabinose. After the growth arrest, when indole or 4NH<sub>2</sub>-indole were added, the cells apparently started to grow again (Figure 4, panel B). In the indole fed cultures, the final  $D_{600}$  reached a value of 6; with 4NH<sub>2</sub>-indole, a final D<sub>600</sub> of 3.2 - 4. The indole fed culture (Figure 4, panel B, red squares) received indole (1 mM, corresponds to 117 mg/L) which was biosynthesized to Trp to overcome the depletion of Trp, owing to the limited Trp concentration in the minimal medium. In contrast, the cultures fed with 4NH<sub>2</sub>-indole were not able to reach the same final D<sub>600</sub> as the culture that was supplemented with indole. Nevertheless, the 4NH<sub>2</sub>-indole fed cultures also restart growing when 4NH<sub>2</sub>-indole was supplemented after the depletion Trp. This indicates that the 4NH<sub>2</sub>-indole was converted to 4NH<sub>2</sub>-Trp and could be utilized in ribosomal translation but not that efficient than Trp. A control culture that neither received arabinose for the induction of StTrpS nor was fed indole or an indole analog remained depleted for Trp throughout the entire production phase (Figure 4, panel B, blue diamonds). Particularly, the culture that received a comparably high arabinose dose (200 mg/L) for the induction of StTrpS, grew to a higher cell density than the cultures with a lower arabinose concentration (20 mg/L and 2 mg/L). In this case, arabinose could have been utilized after exhausting the available C-source (Desai & Rao, 2010).

Furthermore, the observation of the growth restart of the  $4NH_2$ -indole fed cultures is of concern. In contrast to the work of Hoesl et al. (2015) and Bacher & Ellington (2001), it showed the utilization of ncTrp for proliferation without evolving the genome of the host cells with a selective pressure for a long time. Hoesl et al. (2015) and Bacher & Ellington (2001) described how to evolve the genomes of the host cells for being capable to grow on L- $\beta$ -(thieno[3,2-*b*]pyrrolyl)alanine and 4-fluorotryptophan,

respectively, but not for 4NH<sub>2</sub>-Trp. Supposedly, the utilization of ncTrp for the cellular metabolism without evolving the host strain depends on the ncTrp. Another hypothesis was that the co-expressed *St*TrpS played a crucial role. The *St*TrpS is able to synthesize indole analogs efficiently to Trp analogs (Winn et al., 2008), as we already showed with the *in vitro* conversion of 5F-indole and 5OH-indole to 5F-Trp and 5OH-Trp. Based on the assumption that the movement of indole analogs across the membrane of *E. coli* behave similar than indole (Piñero-Fernandez et al., 2011), we speculate that 4NH<sub>2</sub>-Trp was abundant in the cells.

To scrutinize the expression levels of the hexahistidine-tagged StTrpS and ECFP variant by immunodetection, samples at defined growth stages were collected. The samples were collected before the induction of StTrpS with arabinose (a), before the addition of indole/4NH<sub>2</sub>-indole and the induction of ECFP with IPTG (b), after three hours of expression (c), and after expression overnight (d) (Figure 4, panel B, samples at defined growth stage marked with a, b, c and d). A Western blot of the soluble and insoluble proteome was performed (Figure 4, panel C). It showed a basal expression of StTrpS, under the control of the  $P_{araBAD}$  promoter, without induction (Figure 4, panel c, sample a). The expression of ECFP was regulated with an IPTG inducible T5/lacO promoter, which appeared to be tighter than P<sub>araBAD</sub> (Figure 4, panel c, sample a and b). The Western blot demonstrated that the 4NH<sub>2</sub>-Trp could be utilized for the ECFP expression (Figure 4, panel c, sample c and d with (+) for 4NH<sub>2</sub>-indole), because it didn't show any ECFP expression (Figure 4, panel c, sample d with (-) for 4NH<sub>2</sub>-indole) in the samples which originated from cultures without 4NH<sub>2</sub>-indole supplementation. This and the growth pattern of the cell cultures (Figure 4, panel B) assisted the evidence that Trp was depleted before the cultures were fed with 4NH<sub>2</sub>-indole. The variations in the arabinose concentration only affected the StTrpS expression, but not the ECFP expression. This means that higher amounts of *St*TrpS in the cells did not cause a higher expression level of the ECFP variants. Based on this finding, we decided to induce StTrpS with 2 mg/L arabinose at  $D_{600}$  of 1.

#### **3.2.2** INDOLE ANALOG SUPPLEMENTATION DURING CELL GROWTH

In the review of Richmond (1962), he concluded that ncAA can cause growth inhibition, but do not have preferential inhibitory effects on protein synthesis. Minks et al. (1999) also observed the reported growth inhibitory effect when they incorporated 4F-Trp, 5F-Trp and 6F-Trp into annexin V by using a Trp auxotrophic *E. coli* strain but without the co-expression of *St*TrpS. Based on these findings, we devised a procedure, where the indole analog was supplemented during the cell growth. With this approach, we wanted to assess the addition of  $4NH_2$ -indole before the depletion of Trp, so the *St*TrpS had more time to convert the  $4NH_2$ -indole to the  $4NH_2$ -Trp. The concept of a separate induction of the *St*TrpS and ECFP remained the same. The *St*TrpS was induced during the exponential growth phase, at D<sub>600</sub> of 1 with 2 mg/L arabinose. In both procedures, the ECFP expression was induced with IPTG simultaneously. In contrast to the incorporation procedure described above, the 4NH<sub>2</sub>-indole was added immediately after the induction of *St*TrpS.



Figure 5: Schematic illustration of the predicted growth pattern of the procedure with the indole analog supplementation during cell growth (A) and growth patterns of the 4NH<sub>2</sub>-indole-fed cultures resulting from both described procedures (B).

The figure in (A) shows the schematic growth pattern and the variant protein expression (ECFP) in context with the Trp consumption and enzymatic ncTrp analog synthesis. The induction of the expression of the *St*TrpS by the addition of arabinose, the addition of  $4NH_2$ -indole and the induction of the variant protein with IPTG are indicated by arrows.

The  $D_{600}$  values of the "indole analog supplementation during cell growth" (green) and the "indole analog supplementation shortly before target protein induction" procedure (orange) are given in (B). The addition of the  $4NH_2$ -indole is highlighted with a red arrow, which is labeled  $\bullet$  for the procedure with the indole analog supplementation shortly before target protein induction and  $\bullet$  for the procedure with the indole analog supplementation shortly before target protein induction and  $\bullet$  for the procedure with the indole analog supplementation shortly before target protein induction and  $\bullet$  for the procedure with the indole analog supplementation shortly before target protein induction and  $\bullet$  for the procedure with the indole analog supplementation shortly before target protein induction and  $\bullet$  for the procedure with the indole analog supplementation shortly before target protein induction and  $\bullet$  for the procedure with the indole analog supplementation shortly before target protein induction and  $\bullet$  for the procedure with the indole analog supplementation shortly before target protein induction and  $\bullet$  for the procedure with the indole analog supplementation shortly before target protein induction and  $\bullet$  for the procedure with the indole analog supplementation during cell growth.

If 4NH<sub>2</sub>-indole or rather 4NH<sub>2</sub>-Trp affected exponential growth we expected to observe a preliminary growth arrest or a linearization of the proliferation rate. To test our hypothesis, we compared the different growth patterns of the cells resulting from the application of both procedures (Figure 5, panel B). Both cultures reached the same final D<sub>600</sub>. In case of performing the procedure in which we added the 4NH<sub>2</sub>-indole shortly before the induction of the ECFP expression, the cells behaved as already shown in Figure 4 panel B. They stopped growing when they had exhausted Trp and restarted growing after the addition of 4NH<sub>2</sub>-indole (Figure 5, panel B, orange dots). In case of performing the procedure in which we added the 4NH<sub>2</sub>-indole during cell growth, we were not able to detect the anticipated deviations of the exponential cell growth. These observations allowed speculating, whether the tryptophanyl-tRNA synthetase from *E. coli* consumes the Trp before the 4NH<sub>2</sub>-Trp, or not. The common notion is, that the cAAs are the preferred substrates of the aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases. An enzymatic characterization of the tryptophanyl-tRNA synthetase from E. coli with different substrates would be necessary. Based solely on the cell densities, we cannot answer this question. Changes in the morphology of the cells, which can be caused by heterologous expression, can influence the  $D_{600}$  values. Neither this was not examined under the microscope nor the cell dry weights of the cells, with or without heterologous expression of *St*TrpS and ECFP, were compared.

# **3.2.3** EVALUATION OF THE **4NH**<sub>2</sub>-**T**RP INCORPORATION EFFICIENCIES OF THE TWO DIFFERENT INCORPORATION PROCEDURES

To assess the incorporation of  $4NH_2$ -Trp, the ECFP variant proteins produced with the two procedures were purified by the method of Samarkina et al. (2009). The procedure, in which we added the  $4NH_2$ -indole shortly before the induction of the ECFP expression, produced ECFP[ $4NH_2$ -Trp]-①. The ECPF variant, which was produced by acompolishing the procedure with the addition of the  $4NH_2$ -indole during the cell growth, was named ECFP[ $4NH_2$ -Trp]-②. The incorporation of  $4NH_2$ -Trp was analyzed by fluorescence spectrometry (Figure 6, panel A) and mass spectroscopy (Table 1).



Figure 6: Fluorescence emission spectra (A) and fluorescing samples (B) of the purified ECFP and ECFP[4NH<sub>2</sub>-Trp] The graphs in (A) show the fluorescence emission spectrum of ECFP and the different fluorescence emission spectra of the ECFP[4NH<sub>2</sub>-Trp]- $\mathbf{0}$  and ECFP[4NH<sub>2</sub>-Trp]- $\mathbf{0}$  and ECFP[4NH<sub>2</sub>-Trp]- $\mathbf{0}$ . The samples were excited at their expected specific wavelengths (ECFP:  $\lambda_{ex}$  434 nm, ECFP[4NH<sub>2</sub>-Trp]:  $\lambda_{ex}$  466 nm). The fluorescence signals were set to 100%. The purified ECFP samples, which are produced by accomplishing the two procedures, and an empty vector control sample under UV light, are shown in (B).

The amino acid sequence of ECFP is shown in Supplementary Sequence 3. ECFP contains two Trp residues. One of them is essential for forming the chromophore. The incorporation of 4NH<sub>2</sub>-Trp into ECFP at position 66, which is part of the chromophore, can be assessed easily based on a red shift in fluorescence. The ECFP[4NH<sub>2</sub>-Trp] appears golden and shows an emission maximum at 576 nm. The parent protein, the ECFP, appears cyan fluorescing and shows two emission maxima at 475 nm and 506 nm (Bae et al., 2003).

We excited 2.3  $\mu$ g of the ECFP parent protein at 434 nm and detected the emission wavelength after every 10 nm from 460 nm to 700 nm. The resulting fluorescence spectrum showed the expected characteristics (Figure 6, panel A, cyan line) with an emission maximum of 480 nm and 500 nm which corresponded nicely to the published value of 475 nm and 506 nm. We excited 1.5  $\mu$ g of ECFP[4NH<sub>2</sub>-Trp]-**①** and of ECFP[4NH<sub>2</sub>-Trp]-**②** at 466 nm, which is the specific excitation wavelength of ECFP[4NH<sub>2</sub>-Trp]. The fluorescence emission spectra were measured as described for the ECFP parent protein. The ECFP[4NH<sub>2</sub>-Trp]-**①** showed the fluorescence red shift characteristic for ECFP[4NH<sub>2</sub>-Trp], which is already known from literature, (Bae et al., 2003) and an emission maximum at 570 nm (Figure 6, panel A, gold line). In contrast, the fluorescence spectrum of the ECFP[4NH<sub>2</sub>-Trp]-**②** with its two emission maxima at 500 nm and 550 nm, let us presume a mixture of ECFP and ECFP[4NH<sub>2</sub>-Trp] (Figure 6, panel A, green line). It showed characteristics of the ECFP and the ECFP[4NH<sub>2</sub>-Trp].

We were even able to see the different fluorescence of the purified ECFP, ECFP[4NH<sub>2</sub>-Trp]-① and ECFP[4NH<sub>2</sub>-Trp]-②, under a UV light lamp (Figure 6, panel B).

The mass spectrometry analysis confirmed the results of the fluorescence spectrometry (Table 1). We calculated the masses of the ECFP variants, containing none, one or two 4NH<sub>2</sub>-Trp, as well as with or without a formed chromophore, respectively. The calculated masses for each option and the found masses are given in Supplementary Table 4. We could assign all found masses to species with the chromophore. The relative abundance of all found species are given in Table 1. The ECFP[4NH<sub>2</sub>-Trp]-① was fully labeled. The ECFP[4NH<sub>2</sub>-Trp]-② was composed of 28.7% of full labeled ECFP[4NH<sub>2</sub>-Trp], 12.3% ECFP and 58.9% of single labeled ECFP[4NH<sub>2</sub>-Trp]. Thus, the full labeled ECFP[4NH<sub>2</sub>-Trp]-③ had a golden fluorescence signal and the unlabeled ECFP in this sample appeared cyan fluorescing. The single labeled ECFP[4NH<sub>2</sub>-Trp]-④ could appear golden or cyan fluorescing because only the incorporation of 4NH<sub>2</sub>-Trp in the chromophore, at position 66, causes the golden fluorescence. The second Trp of the ECFP does not contribute to a change of the fluorescence. The mass spectrometry cannot distinguish between the positions of the 4NH<sub>2</sub>-Trp, so it could not be determined, how much of the single labeled ECFP[4NH<sub>2</sub>-Trp]-③ appeared cyan or gold fluorescing.

Table 1: Comparison of the incorporation protocols in terms of 4NH<sub>2</sub>-Trp incorporation into ECFP.

The relative abundance of the incorporated  $4NH_2$ -Trp into ECFP[ $4NH_2$ -Trp]- $\mathbf{0}$  and ECFP[ $4NH_2$ -Trp]- $\mathbf{0}$  was shown in the table. The abundance of the species were calculated based on the generated data by mass spectrometric analysis. The calculated and found masses are listed in Supplementary Table 4. 'n.d' refers to 'not detected'.

	abundance of species [%]					
<b>species</b> number of incorporated 4NH <sub>2</sub> -Trp	ECFP[4NH <sub>2</sub> -Trp]-	ECFP[4NH <sub>2</sub> -Trp]- <b>@</b>				
0	n.d.	12.3				
1	n.d.	58.9				
2	100	28.7				

This was an evidence to confirm that E. coli does not consume Trp before  $4NH_2$ -Trp for the incorporation into proteins. Only the procedure with a growth arrest, which was caused by the depletion of the limited Trp, resulted in fully labelded ECFP[ $4NH_2$ -Trp]. The procedure in which we added the  $4NH_2$ -indole during the cell growth to the same medium, showed exponential growth and a mixture of ECFP, single labelded ECFP[ $4NH_2$ -Trp] and fully labeled ECFP[ $4NH_2$ -Trp].

## 3.3 INCORPORATION OF 5OH-TRP AND 5F-TRP INTO ECFP

After our success in full labeling of ECFP with  $4NH_2$ -Trp, we aimed to examine the suitability to label ECFP with other ncTrp by this procedure. Further, we questioned the necessity of the co-expressed *St*TrpS in this procedure for yielding a fully labeled ECFP.



Figure 7: Expression and solubility of the ECFP, ECFP[5F-Trp] and ECFP[5OH-Trp] (A) and the corresponding immunodetection of the hexahistidine-fusion tag (B)

The soluble (L) and insoluble (P) fractions of the whole-cell expression are shown on a 12% Coomassie-stained SDS gel. The calculated molecular weights for His- $\beta$ StTrpS,  $\alpha$ StTrpS and the His-ECFP/ECFP[5F-Trp]/ECFP[5OH-Trp] are 45 kDa, 29 kDa and 28 kDa, respectively. The supplemented indole analog is labeled with 5F-indole and 5OH-indole. M, molecular weight marker; ev, empty vector control (A). The soluble (L) and insoluble (P) His- $\beta$ StTrpS and His-ECFP variants were analyzed by Western blot (B).

The presence or absence of the StTrpS is indicated with either (+) or (-) and the indole analogs with 5F-indole and 5OH-indole.

We chose 5OH-indole and 5F-indole for a parallel *in vivo* biosynthesis to the corresponding Trp analogs and the incorporation into ECFP. The expression of the ECFP variant was performed with (*St*TrpS(+) strain) and without the co-expression of *St*TrpS (*St*TrpS(-) strain). The expression was performed as described in 3.2.1. The cultures without a co-expression of *St*TrpS and with a supplementation of 5F-indole, grew to a final D<sub>600</sub> of 3.5. The same strain and with a supplementation of 5OH-indole reached a final D<sub>600</sub> of 2.5. The *St*TrpS(+) strain, which was supplemented with 5F-indole and 5OH-indole, grew to a final D<sub>600</sub> of 4.5 and 3.4 respectively. From these observations we concluded that the *St*TrpS in the cells works as efficiently as *in vitro* and the *St*TrpS(+) strain are better provided with intracellular 5F-Trp and 5OH-Trp than the *St*TrpS(-) strain.

An SDS-Gel of the soluble and insoluble proteome of the cultures fed with the 5OH-indole or with the 5F-indole are shown in Figure 7, panel A. The SDS-Gel of the cultures fed with 5F-indole showed an overexpression band for the ECFP[5F-Trp] in the *St*TrpS(+) and the *St*TrpS(-) strain. In contrast, the cells fed with 5OH-indole showed an overexpression band of ECFP[5OH-Trp] only in the *St*TrpS(-) strain. The ECFP[5OH-Trp] band is only very slightly visible in the *St*TrpS(+) strain. These results were confirmed by a Western blot (Figure 7, panel B). The Western blot showed an expression of both variant proteins, albeit it was more pronounced in the *St*TrpS(-) strain.

However, we performed a mass spectrometry analysis to assess if full labeling with the Trp analogs can be achieved without the co-expression of *St*Trp. Therefore, the ECFP[5F-Trp] and ECFP[5OH-Trp], which both carried a hexahistidine fusion-tag, were purified by  $Ni^{2+}$  affinity chromatography.

The calculated and found masses of the ECFP[5F-Trp] and ECFP[5OH-Trp] are indicated in Supplementary Table 5, and all possible variants are: none, one or two 5F-Trp and 5OH-Trp, and each of them with and without formed chromophore. The mass spectrometry analysis revealed that all ECFP[5F-Trp] and all ECFP[5OH-Trp], expressed with the *St*TrpS(+) strain, were fully labeled. (Table 2) Without a co-expression of *St*TrpS, only 32% of the ECFP[5F-Trp] were fully labeled and the residual 68% showed single labeling. Also the ECFP[5OH-Trp], which was expressed without the co-expression of *St*TrpS, was mostly unlabeled and only 18% showed an incorporation of one 5OH-Trp residue.

abundance of species [%]
The calculated and found masses are listed in Supplementary Table 5. 'n.d' refers to 'not detected'.
5F-Trp and 5OH-Trp into ECFP are shown in the table. The data was calculated from the generated data by mass spectrometric analysis
The ECFP[5OH-Trp] and ECFP[5F-Trp] were expressed with the (+)StTrpS and (-)StTrpS strains. The relative abundances of incorporated
Table 2: Comparison of the (+)stirps and (-)stirps strains in terms of SF-irp and SOH-irp incorporation into ECFP

variant protein	species number of incorporated ncTrp	in (+) <i>St</i> TrpS strain	in (-) <i>St</i> TrpS strain
	0	n.d.	82
ECFP[5OH-Trp]	1	n.d.	18
	2	100	n.d.
	0	n.d.	n.d.
ECFP[5F-Trp]	1	n.d.	68
	2	100	32

Thus, the procedure with a clear depletion of Trp, an over-expression of *St*TrpS and with the addition of the ncTrp shortly before the induction of the ECFP, was the first successful incorporation procedure for the incorporation of 5OH-Trp into a fluorescent protein from *Aequoria victoria*.

From our observations, we hypothesize that the overexpression of *St*TrpS prevents the onset of the stringent response even in the absence of Trp. Although the cells were depleted of Trp, which was indicated by the growth arrest, unlabeled and single labeled ECFP[5OH-Trp], as well as single labeled ECFP[5F-Trp] could be found in the mass spectrometry analysis (Supplementary Table 5). We assumed that the *St*TrpS(-) strain could not provide sufficient amounts of 5F-Trp or 5OH-Trp to serve

a recombinant expression under the control of an IPTG inducible T5/*lacO* promoter. Thus, besides the starvation for Trp, the cells also starved for the ncTrp. As a consequence of starvation, the intracellular concentration of uncharged aminoacyl-tRNAs increased, which enhanced the intracellular protein breakdown (Goldberg, 1971: Schlessinger & Ben-Hamida, 1966). Thus, the liberated Trp was incorporated into ECFP as well.

## **4 CONCLUSION**

As one part of my Master Thesis, we established an *in vitro* procedure for the preparative production of ncTrp. The Trp analogs are usually rather expensive or commercially unavailable. To overcome this drawback within our work with ncTrp, we used the *St*TrpS for the enzymatic condensation of simple indole precursors with Ser to ncTrp (Miles, 2001). The *St*TrpS has a broad substrate spectrum (Phillips, 2004) and in place of all available Trp analogs, we chose 5OH-indole and 5F-indole for the enzymatic synthesis. The procedure of the enzymatic synthesis was simple and the purified and dehydrated ncTrp can be stored easily. The "homemade" ncTrp can be used in exact amounts for further incorporation experiments, which was only possible with purchased ncTrp so far.

The other part of my Master Thesis was to establish an enzymatic *in vivo* synthesis of ncTrp and parallel residue-specific incorporation of the synthesized ncTrp into ECFP. This procedure is based on the co-expression of *St*TrpS and so, a separate synthesis of ncTrp is redundant. With this procedure, we achieved the expression of fully labeled model protein, ECFP, with 5OH-Trp, 5F-Trp and 4NH<sub>2</sub>-Trp. urther, we observed that the expression of ECFP variants without the co-expression of *St*TrpS yielded labeled ECFP[ncTrp] but not all ECFP[ncTrp]were fully labeled with the ncTrp.

Based on these findings, it could be interesting to conduct this successful procedure with other model proteins, and to expand the spectrum of used ncTrp. In particular, a model protein which harbors more than two Trp residues would validate the proficiency of our procedure.

This method can serve as an example for other ways of an enzymatic *in vivo* synthesis of ncAA and the parallel residue-specific incorporation into model proteins. An adapted two-step incorporation protocol for other ncAA might be especially attractive for the residue-specific incorporation of expensive ncAAs.

## **5 R**EFERENCES

- Anderhuber, N. et al., 2016. High-level biosynthesis of norleucine in E. coli for the economic labeling of proteins. *Journal of Biotechnology*.
- Bacher, J.M. & Ellington, A.D., 2001. Selection and Characterization of Escherichia coli Variants Capable of Growth on an Otherwise Toxic Tryptophan Analogue. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 183(18), pp.5414–5425.
- Bae, J.H. et al., 2003. Expansion of the Genetic Code Enables Design of a Novel "Gold" Class of Green Fluorescent Proteins. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 328(5), pp.1071–1081.
- Bae, J.H. et al., 2001. Incorporation of  $\beta$ -selenolo[3,2-b]pyrrolyl-alanine into proteins for phase determination in protein X-ray crystallography. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 309(4), pp.925–936.
- Betts, M.J. & Russel, R.B., 2003. Amino Acid Properties and Consequences of Substitutions. *Bioinformatics for Geneticists.*, 4, pp.289–304.
- Bonskill, P.M. & Wong, T.-F.J., 1988. Suppression of fluorescence of tryptophan residues in proteins by replacement with 4-fluorotryptophan. *Biochem. J*, pp.305 308.
- Brawerman, G. & Yčas, M., 1957. Incorporation of the amino acid analog tryptazan into the protein of Escherichia coli. *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics*, 68(1), pp.112–117.
- Budisa, N. et al., 2002. Global Replacement of Tryptophan with Aminotryptophans Generates Non-Invasive Protein-Based Optical pH Sensors. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed*, (21), pp.4066–4069.
- Budisa, N. et al., 1995. High-level biosynthetic substitution of methionine in proteins by its analogs 2-aminohexanoic acid, selenomethionine, telluromethionine and ethionine in Escherichia coli. *European Journal of Biochemistry*, 230(2), pp.788–796.
- Budisa, N. et al., 2004. Probing the role of tryptophans in Aequorea victoria green fluorescent proteins with an expanded genetic code. *Biological chemistry*, 385(2), pp.191–202.
- Budisa, N., 2004. Prolegomena to Future Experimental Efforts on Genetic Code Engineering by Expanding Its Amino Acid Repertoire Angewandte. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed*, 43, pp.6426–6463.
- Budisa, N. et al., 1998. Residue-specific bioincorporation of non-natural, biologically active amino acids into proteins as possible drug carriers: structure and stability of the perthiaproline mutant of annexin V. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 95(2), pp.455–9.
- Budisa, N. & Pal, P.P., 2004. Designing novel spectral classes of proteins with a tryptophanexpanded genetic code. *Biol Chem*, 385(10), pp.893–904.
- Chalfie, M. et al., 1994. Green fluorescent protein as a marker for gene expression. *Science* (*New York, N.Y.*), 263(5148), pp.802–5.
- Crespo, M.D. & Rubini, M., 2011. Rational design of protein stability: effect of (2S,4R)-4-fluoroproline on the stability and folding pathway of ubiquitin. *PloS one*, 6(5), p.e19425.
- Datsenko, K. & Wanner, B., 2000. One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in Escherichia

coli K-12 using PCR products. Proceedings of the National ..., 2000.

- Desai, T.A. & Rao, C. V, 2010. Regulation of arabinose and xylose metabolism in Escherichia coli. *Applied and environmental microbiology*, 76(5), pp.1524–32.
- Dunn, M.F. et al., 2008. Tryptophan synthase: the workings of a channeling nanomachine. *Trends in Biochemical Sciences*, 33(May), pp.254–264.
- Ehmann, A., 1977. The van URK-Salkowski reagent a sensitive and specific chromogenic reagent for silica gel thin-layer chromatographic detection and identification of indole derivatives. *Journal of Chromatography A*, 132(2), pp.267–276.
- Gibson, D.G. et al., 2009. Enzymatic assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred kilobases. *Nature Methods*, 6(5), pp.343–345.
- Goldberg, A.L., 1971. A Role of Aminoacyl-tRNA in the Regulation of Protein Breakdown in Escherichia coli. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 68(2), pp.362–366.
- Goss, R.J.M. et al., 2006. A convenient enzymatic synthesis of I-halotryptophans. *Chemical Communications*, 36(47), p.4924.
- Haid, A. & Suissa, M., 1983. Immunochemical identification of membrane proteins after sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. *Methods in enzymology*, 96, pp.192–205.
- Heim, R., Prasher, D.C. & Tsien, R.Y., 1994. Wavelength mutations and posttranslational autoxidation of green fluorescent protein. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 91(26), pp.12501–4.
- Heim, R. & Tsien, R.Y., 1996. Engineering green fluorescent protein for improved brightness, longer wavelengths and fluorescence resonance energy transfer. *Current Biology*, 6(2), pp.178–182.
- Van Hest, J.C.M., Kiick, K.L. & Tirrell, D. a., 2000. Efficient incorporation of unsaturated methionine analogues into proteins in vivo. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 122(7), pp.1282–1288.
- Hoesl, M.G. et al., 2015. Chemical Evolution of a Bacterial Proteome. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 54(34), pp.10030–10034.
- Hoesl, M.G. et al., 2011. Lipase Congeners Designed by Genetic Code Engineering. *ChemCatChem*, 3(1), pp.213–221.
- Hoesl, M.G. & Budisa, N., 2012. Recent advances in genetic code engineering in Escherichia coli. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*, 23(5), pp.751–757.
- Hofmann, M., 2012. Constitutive expression of the tryptophan synthase of Salmonella typhimurium in E. coli,
- Hong, S.H., Kwon, Y.-C. & Jewett, M.C., 2014. Non-standard amino acid incorporation into proteins using Escherichia coli cell-free protein synthesis. *Frontiers in Chemistry*, 2(June), pp.1–7.
- Johnson, J.A. et al., 2010. Residue-specific incorporation of non-canonical amino acids into proteins: recent developments and applications. *Current opinion in chemical biology*, 14(6), pp.774–80.
- Kiick, K.L., van Hest, J.C.M. & Tirrell, D.A., 2000. Expanding the scope of protein biosynthesis

by altering the methionyl-tRNA synthetase activity of a bacterial expression host. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition in English*, 39(12), pp.2148–2152.

- Laemmli, U.K., 1970. Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. *Nature*, 227(5259), pp.680–685.
- Lakowicz, J.R., 1983. Principles of Fluorescence Spectroscopy, Boston, MA: Springer US.
- Lepthien, S. et al., 2008. Azatryptophans endow proteins with intrinsic blue fluorescence. *PNAS*, 105(42).
- Liu, C.C. & Schultz, P.G., 2010. Adding New Chemistries to the Genetic Code. *Annual Review* of *Biochemistry*, 79(1), pp.413–444.
- Marzluf, T., 2011. *Gamma hydroxybutyrate dehydrogenase (GHBdH) congeners*. Albert-Ludwigs-University of Freiburg.
- Miles, E.W., 2001. Tryptophan synthase: a multienzyme complex with an intramolecular tunnel. *Chemical record (New York, N.Y.)*, 1(2), pp.140–51.
- Minks, C. et al., 1999. Atomic mutations at the single tryptophan residue of human recombinant annexin V: Effects on structure, stability, and activity. *Biochemistry*, 38(33), pp.10649–10659.
- Parsons, J.F. et al., 1998. Enzymes harboring unnatural amino acids: mechanistic and structural analysis of the enhanced catalytic activity of a glutathione transferase containing 5-fluorotryptophan. *Biochemistry*, 37(18), pp.6286–94.
- Perni, S. et al., 2013. Optimisation of engineered Escherichia coli biofilms for enzymatic biosynthesis of l-halotryptophans. *AMB Express*, 3(1), p.66.
- Phillips, R.S. et al., 1995. Enzymatic synthesis of Thia-L-tryptophans. *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters*, 5(11), pp.1133–1134.
- Phillips, R.S., 2004. Synthetic applications of tryptophan synthase. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 15(18), pp.2787–2792.
- Piñero-Fernandez, S. et al., 2011. Indole transport across Escherichia coli membranes. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 193(8), pp.1793–1798.
- Richmond, M.H., 1962. The effect of amino acid analogues on growth and protein synthesis in microorganisms. *Bacteriological reviews*, 26, pp.398–420.
- Ross, A.J.B., Szabo, A.G. & Hogue, C.W.V., 1997. Flourescence Spectroscopy, Elsevier.
- Rusconi, F. & Belghazi, M., 2002. Desktop prediction/analysis of mass spectrometric data in proteomic projects by using massXpert. *Bioinformatics*, 18(4), pp.644–645.
- Samarkina, O.N. et al., 2009. Universal and rapid method for purification of GFP-like proteins by the ethanol extraction. *Protein expression and purification*, 65(1), pp.108–13.
- Schlesinger, S., 1968. The effect of amino acid analogues on alkaline phosphatase. Formation in Escherichia coli K-12. II. Replacement of tryptophan by azatryptophan and by tryptazan. *The Journal of biological chemistry*, 243(14), pp.3877–83.
- Schlessinger, D. & Ben-Hamida, F., 1966. Turnover of protein in Escherichia coli starving for nitrogen. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) Nucleic Acids and Protein Synthesis*, 119(1), pp.171–182.

- Seidman, C.E. et al., 2001. Introduction of Plasmid DNA into Cells. In *Current Protocols in Molecular Biology*. Hoboken, NJ, USA: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., pp. 1.8.1–1.8.10. Available at: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/0471142727.mb0108s37 [Accessed July 21, 2016].
- Singh-Blom, A., Hughes, R. a & Ellington, A.D., 2014. An amino acid depleted cell-free protein synthesis system for the incorporation of non-canonical amino acid analogs into proteins. *Journal of biotechnology*, 178, pp.12–22.
- Sloan, M.J. & Phillips, R.S., 1992. ENZYMATIC SYNTHESIS OF AZA-L-TRYPTOPHANS. , (9), pp.1053–1056.
- Smith, D.R.M. et al., 2014. The First One-Pot Synthesis of L-7-Iodotryptophan from 7-Iodoindole and Serine, and an Improved Synthesis of Other L-7-Halotryptophans. *Organic Letters*, 16, pp.2622 – 2625.
- Steiner, T. et al., 2008. Synthetic biology of proteins: tuning GFPs folding and stability with fluoroproline. *PloS one*, 3(2), p.e1680.
- Szkop, M. & Bielawski, W., 2013. A simple method for simultaneous RP-HPLC determination of indolic compounds related to bacterial biosynthesis of indole-3-acetic acid. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek*, 103(3), pp.683–91.
- Tsien, R.Y., 1998. THE GREEN FLUORESCENT PROTEIN. Annual Review of Biochemistry, 67(1), pp.509–544.
- Walsh, C.T., O'Brien, R. V. & Khosla, C., 2013. Nonproteinogenic Amino Acid Building Blocks for Nonribosomal Peptide and Hybrid Polyketide Scaffolds. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 52(28), pp.7098–7124.
- Welch, M. & Phillips, R.S., 1999. Enzymatic syntheses of 6-(4H-Selenolo[3,2-b]pyrrolyl)-Lalanine, 4-(6H-selenolo[2,3-b]pyrrolyl)-L-alanine, and 6-(4H-furo[3,2-b]pyrrolyl)-Lalanine. *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters*, 9(5), pp.637–640.
- Wilcox, M., 1974. The Enzymatic Synthesis of L-Tryptophan. *Reactions*, 440, pp.436–440.
- Winn, M. et al., 2008. A convenient one-step synthesis of L -aminotryptophans and improved synthesis of 5-fluorotryptophan. *Bioorganic & medicinal chemistry letters*, 18(16), pp.4508–10.
- Wolschner, C. et al., 2009. Design of anti- and pro-aggregation variants to assess the effects of methionine oxidation in human prion protein. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 106(19), pp.7756–61.
- Yemm, E.W., Cocking, E.C. & Ricketts, R.E., 1955. The determination of amino-acids with ninhydrin. *The Analyst*, 80(948), p.209.
- Zheng, S. & Kwon, I., 2012. Manipulation of enzyme properties by noncanonical amino acid incorporation. *Biotechnology journal*, 7(1), pp.47–60.

## **6** SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION



## 6.1 CLONING OF THE EXPRESSIONS PLASMIDS

Supplementary Figure 1: Plasmid maps for the recombinant expression of StTrpS ECFP.

Panel A displays the features of the p15A-AraC-H6-StTrpS expression construct. p15A, origin of replication; kanR, kanamycin resistance; araC, regulator of  $P_{araBAD}$  promoter; araC, repressor, His, hexahistidine-tag;  $P_{araBAD}$ , promoter; trpB, coding sequence for the  $\beta$  subunit of StTrpS); trpA, coding sequence for  $\alpha$  subunit of StTrpS; rrnB, terminator. Panel B displays the features the pQE80L-H6-ECFP expression construct. ColE1, origin of replication; ampR, ampicillin resistance marker; lacl, repressor; His, hexahistidine-tag; T5/lacO, promoter and lac operator; ECFP/GFFP, the coding sequence of target gene; lambda t0, terminator; rrnB t1, terminator.



#### Supplementary Figure 2: Plasmid maps for the assembly of the expression construct. p15A-AraC-H6-StTrpS.

Panel A displays in its plasmid map the features of the pQEara-gdb. *araC*, repressor;  $P_{araBAD}$ , promoter. Panel B shows pLEU<sup>fbr</sup>. p15A, origin of replication; *kanR*, kanamycin resistance marker; rrnB, terminator; Panel C displays the features the pSTWS2H. *trpB*, coding sequence for the  $\beta$  subunit of *St*TrpS; *trpA*, coding sequence for  $\alpha$  subunit of *St*TrpS.

The mentioned features were used for the assembly of the p15A-araC-H6-StTrpS.

#### Supplementary Sequence 1: Nucleotide sequence pQE80L-H6-ECFP.

T5/ <i>lacl</i> promoter	7-87
6x His	127-144
ECFP	151-870
lambda t0	895-989
rrnBTI	1751-1848
lacI	1936-3018
ColEl	3596-4278
ampR	4373-5233

CTCGAGAAATCATAAAAAATTTATTTGCTTTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTATAATAGATTCA ATTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTTCACACAGAATTCATTAAAGAGGAGAAATTAACTATGAGA GGATCGCATCACCATCACCGGATCCATGGTGAGCAAGGGCGAGGAGCTGTTCACC GGGGTGGTGCCCATCCTGGTCGAGCTGGACGGCGACGTAAACGGCCACAAGTTCAGCGTG  ${\tt TCCGGCGAGGGCGAGGGCGATGCCACCTACGGCAAGCTGACCCTGAAGTTCATCTGCACC}$ ACCGGCAAGCTGCCCGTGCCCTGGCCCACCCTCGTGACCACCCTGACCTGGGGCGTGCAG TGCTTCAGCCGCTACCCCGACCACATGAAGCAGCACGACTTCTTCAAGTCCGCCATGCCC GAAGGCTACGTCCAGGAGCGCACCATCTTCTTCAAGGACGACGGCAACTACAAGACCCGC GCCGAGGTGAAGTTCGAGGGCGACACCCTGGTGAACCGCATCGAGCTGAAGGGCATCGAC TTCAAGGAGGACGGCAACATCCTGGGGCACAAGCTGGAGTACAACTACATCAGCCACAAC GTCTATATCACCGCCGACAAGCAGAAGAACGGCATCAAGGCCAACTTCAAGATCCGCCAC AACATCGAGGACGGCAGCGTGCAGCTCGCCGACCACTACCAGCAGAACACCCCCATCGGC GACGGCCCCGTGCTGCCCGACAACCACTACCTGAGCACCCAGTCCGCCCTGAGCAAA GACCCCAACGAGAAGCGCGATCACATGGTCCTGCTGGAGTTCGTGACCGCCGCCGGGATC ACTCTCGGCATGGACGAGCTGTACAAGTGATAAAAGCTTAATTAGCTGAGCTTGGACTCC TGTTGATAGATCCAGTAATGACCTCAGAACTCCATCTGGATTTGTTCAGAACGCTCGGTT AAGGAAGCTAAAATGGAGAAAAAAATCACTGGATATACCACCGTTGATATATCCCAATGG CATCGTAAAGAACATTTTGAGGCATTTCAGTCAGTTGCTCAATGTACCTATAACCAGACC GTTCAGCTGGATATTACGGCCTTTTTAAAGACCGTAAAGAAAAATAAGCACAAGTTTTAT CCGGCCTTTATTCACATTCTTGCCCGCCTGATGAATGCTCATCCGGAATTTCGTATGGCA ATGAAAGACGGTGAGCTGGTGATATGGGATAGTGTTCACCCTTGTTACACCGTTTTCCAT GAGCAAACTGAAACGTTTTCATCGCTCTGGAGTGAATACCACGACGATTTCCGGCAGTTT CTACACATATATTCGCAAGATGTGGCGTGTTACGGTGAAAACCTGGCCTATTTCCCTAAA GGGTTTATTGAGAATATGTTTTTCGTCTCAGCCAATCCCTGGGTGAGTTTCACCAGTTTT GATTTAAACGTGGCCAATATGGACAACTTCTTCGCCCCCGTTTTCACCATGGGCAAATAT TATACGCAAGGCGACAAGGTGCTGATGCCGCTGGCGATTCAGGTTCATCATGCCGTTTGT GATGGCTTCCATGTCGGCAGAATGCTTAATGAATTACAACAGTACTGCGATGAGTGGCAG GGCGGGGGGGTAATTTTTTTTAAGGCAGTTATTGGTGCCCTTAAACGCCTGGGGTAATGACT CTCTAGCTTGAGGCATCAAATAAAACGAAAGGCTCAGTCGAAAGACTGGGCCCTTTCGTTT TATCTGTTGTTGTCGGTGAACGCTCTCCTGAGTAGGACAAATCCGCCCTCTAGATTACG TTAATTGCGTTGCGCTCACTGCCCGCTTTCCAGTCGGGAAACCTGTCGTGCCAGCTGCAT TAATGAATCGGCCAACGCGCGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGTTTGCGTATTGGGCGCCAGGGTGGTTTT TCTTTTCACCAGTGAGACGGGCAACAGCTGATTGCCCTTCACCGCCTGGCCCTGAGAGAG TTGCAGCAAGCGGTCCACGCTGGTTTGCCCCAGCAGGCGAAAATCCTGTTTGATGGTGGT TAACGGCGGGATATAACATGAGCTGTCTTCGGTATCGTCGTATCCCACTACCGAGATATC CGCACCAACGCGCAGCCCGGACTCGGTAATGGCGCGCATTGCGCCCAGCGCCATCTGATC GTTGGCAACCAGCATCGCAGTGGGAACGATGCCCTCATTCAGCATTTGCATGGTTTGTTG AAAACCGGACATGGCACTCCAGTCGCCTTCCCGTTCCGCTATCGGCTGAATTTGATTGCG AGTGAGATATTTATGCCAGCCAGCCAGACGCAGACGCGCCGAGACAGAACTTAATGGGCC CGCTAACAGCGCGATTTGCTGGTGACCCAATGCGACCAGATGCTCCACGCCCAGTCGCGT ACCGTCTTCATGGGAGAAAATAATACTGTTGATGGGTGTCTGGTCAGAGACATCAAGAAA TAACGCCGGAACATTAGTGCAGGCAGCTTCCACAGCAATGGCATCCTGGTCATCCAGCGG ATAGTTAATGATCAGCCCACTGACGCGTTGCGCGAGAAGATTGTGCACCGCCGCTTTACA GGCTTCGACGCCGCTTCGTTCTACCATCGACACCACCACGCTGGCACCCAGTTGATCGGC GCGAGATTTAATCGCCGCGACAATTTGCGACGGCGCGTGCAGGGCCAGACTGGAGGTGGC AACGCCAATCAGCAACGACTGTTTGCCCGCCAGTTGTTGTGCCCACGCGGTTGGGAATGTA CTGGTTCACCACGCGGGAAACGGTCTGATAAGAGACACCGGCATACTCTGCGACATCGTA TAACGTTACTGGTTTCACATTCACCACCCTGAATTGACTCTCTTCCGGGCGCTATCATGC CATACCGCGAAAGGTTTTGCACCATTCGATGGTGTCGGAATTTCGGGCAGCGTTGGGTCC TGGCCACGGGTGCGCATGATCTAGAGCTGCCTCGCGCGTTTCGGTGATGACGGTGAAAAC CTCTGACACATGCAGCTCCCGGAGACGGTCACAGCTTGTCTGTAAGCGGATGCCGGGAGC AGACAAGCCCGTCAGGGCGCGTCAGCGGGTGTTGGCGGGTGTCGGGGCGCAGCCATGACC CAGTCACGTAGCGATAGCGGAGTGTATACTGGCTTAACTATGCGGCATCAGAGCAGATTG TACTGAGAGTGCACCATATGCGGTGTGAAATACCGCACAGATGCGTAAGGAGAAAATACC GCATCAGGCGCTCTTCCGCTTCGCTCGCTCGCTCGCTCGGTCGTTCGGCTGC GGCGAGCGGTATCAGCTCACTCAAAGGCGGTAATACGGTTATCCACAGAATCAGGGGATA ACGCAGGAAAGAACATGTGAGCAAAAGGCCAGCAAAAGGCCAGGAACCGTAAAAAGGCCG CGTTGCTGGCGTTTTTTCCATAGGCTCCGCCCCCTGACGAGCATCACAAAAATCGACGCT CAAGTCAGAGGTGGCGAAACCCGACAGGACTATAAAGATACCAGGCGTTTCCCCCTGGAA GCTCCCTCGTGCGCTCTCCTGTTCCGACCCTGCCGCTTACCGGATACCTGTCCGCCTTTC TCCCTTCGGGAAGCGTGGCGCTTTCTCATAGCTCACGCTGTAGGTATCTCAGTTCGGTGT AGGTCGTTCGCTCCAAGCTGGGCTGTGTGCACGAACCCCCCGTTCAGCCCGACCGCTGCG CCTTATCCGGTAACTATCGTCTTGAGTCCAACCCGGTAAGACACGACTTATCGCCACTGG CAGCAGCCACTGGTAACAGGATTAGCAGAGCGAGGTATGTAGGCGGTGCTACAGAGTTCT TGAAGTGGTGGCCTAACTACGGCTACACTAGAAGGACAGTATTTGGTATCTGCGCTCTGC CTGGTAGCGGTGGTTTTTTTGTTTGCAAGCAGCAGATTACGCGCAGAAAAAAAGGATCTC AAGAAGATCCTTTGATCTTTTCTACGGGGTCTGACGCTCAGTGGAACGAAAACTCACGTT AAGGGATTTTGGTCATGAGATTATCAAAAAGGATCTTCACCTAGATCCTTTTAAATTAAA AATGAAGTTTTAAATCAATCTAAAGTATATATGAGTAAACTTGGTCTGACAGTTACCAAT GCTTAATCAGTGAGGCACCTATCTCAGCGATCTGTCTATTTCGTTCATCCATAGTTGCCT GACTCCCCGTCGTGTAGATAACTACGATACGGGAGGGCTTACCATCTGGCCCCAGTGCTG ATTGTTGCCGGGAAGCTAGAGTAAGTAGTTCGCCAGTTAATAGTTTGCGCAACGTTGTTG GTTCCCAACGATCAAGGCGAGTTACATGATCCCCCATGTTGTGCAAAAAAGCGGTTAGCT CCTTCGGTCCTCCGATCGTTGTCAGAAGTAAGTTGGCCGCAGTGTTATCACTCATGGTTA TGGCAGCACTGCATAATTCTCTTACTGTCATGCCATCCGTAAGATGCTTTTCTGTGACTG GTGAGTACTCAACCAAGTCATTCTGAGAATAGTGTATGCGGCGACCGAGTTGCTCTTGCC CGGCGTCAATACGGGATAATACCGCGCCACATAGCAGAACTTTAAAAGTGCTCATCATTG GAAAACGTTCTTCGGGGGCGAAAACTCTCAAGGATCTTACCGCTGTTGAGATCCAGTTCGA TGTAACCCACTCGTGCACCCAACTGATCTTCAGCATCTTTTACTTTCACCAGCGTTTCTG GGTGAGCAAAAACAGGAAGGCAAAATGCCGCAAAAAAGGGAATAAGGGCGACACGGAAAT GTTGAATACTCATACTCTTCCTTTTTCAATATTATTGAAGCATTTATCAGGGTTATTGTC CATTTCCCCGAAAAGTGCCACCTGACGTCTAAGAAACCATTATTATCATGACATTAACCT ATAAAAATAGGCGTATCACGAGGCCCTTTCGTCTTCAC

#### Supplementary Sequence 2: Nucleotide sequence p15A-AraC-H6-StTrpS.

50-375
403-1314
1723-2655
2894-2921
2964-4021
4118-4145
4203-4220
4233-5426
5426-6232

TTAATTAAGATTAAATCAGAACGCAGAAGCGGTCTGATAAAACAGAATTTGCCTGGCGGC AGTAGCGCGGTGGTCCCACCTGACCCCATGCCGAACTCAGAAGTGAAACGCCGTAGCGCC GATGGTAGTGTGGGGTCTCCCCATGCGAGAGTAGGGAACTGCCAGGCATCAAATAAAACG AAAGGCTCAGTCGAAAGACTGGGCCTTTCGTTTTATCTGTTGTTGTCGGTGAACGCTCT CCTGAGTAGGACAAATCCGCCGGGAGCGGATTTGAACGTTGCGAAGCAACGGCCCGGAGG GTGGCGGGCAGGACGCCCGCCATAAACTGCCAGGCATCAAATTAAGCAGAAGGCCATCCT GACGGATGGCCTTTTTGGATAAGCTGTCAAACATGAGAATTAACAACTTATATCGTATGG GGCTGACTTCAGGTGCTACATTTGAAGAGATAAATTGCACTGAAATCTAGAAATATTTTA TCTGATTAATAAGATGATCTTCTTGAGATCGTTTTGGTCTGCGCGTAATCTCTTGCTCTG AAAACGAAAAAACCGCCTTGCAGGGCGGTTTTTCGAAGGTTCTCTGAGCTACCAACTCTT TGAACCGAGGTAACTGGCTTGGAGGAGCGCAGTCACCAAAACTTGTCCTTTCAGTTTAGC CTTAACCGGCGCATGACTTCAAGACTAACTCCTCTAAATCAATTACCAGTGGCTGCCGCC AGTGGTGCTTTTGCATGTCTTTCCGGGTTGGACTCAAGACGATAGTTACCGGATAAGGCG CAGCGGTCGGACTGAACGGGGGGTTCGTGCATACAGTCCAGCTTGGAGCGAACTGCCTAC CCGGAACTGAGTGTCAGGCGTGGAATGAGACAAACGCGGCCATAACAGCGGAATGACACC TATCTTTATAGTCCTGTCGGGTTTCGCCACCACTGATTTGAGCGTCAGATTTCGTGATGC TTGTCAGGGGGGGGGGGGCCTATGGAAAAACGGCTTTGCCGCGGCCCTCTCACTTCCCTGT TAAGTATCTTCCTGGCATCTTCCAGGAAATCTCCGCCCCGTTCGTAAGCCATTTCCGCTC GCCGCAGTCGAACGACCGAGCGTAGCGAGTCAGTGAGCGAGGAAGCGGAATATATCCTGT ATCACATATTCTGCTGACGCACCGGTGCAGCCTTTTTTCTCCTGCCACATGAAGCACTTC ACTGACACCCTCATCAGTGCCAACATAGTAAGCCAGTATACACTCCGCTAGCGCTGATGT CCGGCGGTGCTTTTGCCGTTACGCACCACCCCGTCAGTAGCTGAACAGGAGGGACAGGGT CGACCAAAGCGGCCATCGTGCCTCCCCACTCCTGCAGTTCGGGGGGCATGGATGCGCGGAT AGCCGCTGCTGGTTTCCTGGATGCCGACGGATTTGCACTGCCGGTAGAACTCCGCGAGGT CGTCCAGCCTCAGGCAGCAGCTGAACCAACTCGCGAGGGGATCGAGCCCGGGGTGGGCGA AGAACTCCAGCATGAGATCCCCGCGCGGGGGGGGGCCCCGGGGGGCGTCCCCGGAAAACGA TTCCGAAGCCCAACCTTTCATAGAAGGCGGCGGTGGAATCGAAATCTCGTGATGGCAGGT TGGGCGTCGCTTGGTCGGTCATTTCGAACCCCAGAGTCCCGCTCAGAAGAACTCGTCAAG AAGGCGATAGAAGGCGATGCGCTGCGAATCGGGAGCGGCGATACCGTAAAGCACGAGGAA GCGGTCAGCCCATTCGCCGCCCAAGCTCTTCAGCAATATCACGGGTAGCCAACGCTATGTC CTGATAGCGGTCCGCCACACCCGGCCACAGTCGATGAATCCAGAAAAGCGGCCATT TTCCACCATGATATTCGGCAAGCAGGCATCGCCATGGGTCACGACGAGATCCTCGCCGTC GGGCATGCGCGCCTTGAGCCTGGCGAACAGTTCGGCTGGCGCGAGCCCCTGATGCTCTTC ATGTTTCGCTTGGTGGTCGAATGGGCAGGTAGCCGGATCAAGCGTATGCAGCCGCCGCAT TGCATCAGCCATGATGGATACTTTCTCGGCAGGAGCAAGGTGAGATGACAGGAGATCCTG CCCCGGCACTTCGCCCAATAGCAGCCAGTCCCTTCCCGCTTCAGTGACAACGTCGAGCAC AGCTGCGCAAGGAACGCCCGTCGTGGCCAGCCACGATAGCCGCGCTGCCTCGTCCTGCAG TTCATTCAGGGCACCGGACAGGTCGGTCTTGACAAAAAGAACCGGGCGCCCCTGCGCTGA CAGCCGGAACACGGCGGCATCAGAGCAGCCGATTGTCTGTTGTGCCCAGTCATAGCCGAA TAGCCTCTCCACCCAAGCGGCCGGAGAACCTGCGTGCAATCCATCTTGTTCAAGCATGCG AAACGACCGTCATCCTGTCTCTTGATCAGATCTTGATCCCCTGCGCCATCAGATCCTTGG CGGCAAGAAAGCCATCCAGTTTACTTTGCAGGGCTTCCCAACCTTACCAGAGGGCGCCCC AGCTGGCAATTCCGGTTCGCTTGCTGTCCATAAAACCGCCCAGTCTAGCTATCGCCATGT AAGCCCACTGCAAGCTACCTGCTTTCTCTTTTGCGCTTGCGTTTTCCCTTGTCCAGATAGC CCAGTAGCTGACATTCATCCGGGGTCAGCACCGTTTCTGCGGACTGGCTTTCTACGTGTT CCGCTTCCTTTAGCAGCCCTTGCGCCCTGAGTGCTTGCGGCAGCGTGAAGCTTATCGATG CGGCCGCCTCGAGAAAAGGCCATCCGTCAGGATGGCCTTCTTCCGCGCACATTTCCCCCGA AAAGTGCCACCTGCATCGATTTATTATGACAACTTGACGGCTACATCATTCACTTTTCT TCACAACCGGCACGGAACTCGCTCGGGCTGGCCCCGGTGCATTTTTTAAATACCCGCGAG AAATAGAGTTGATCGTCAAAAACCAACATTGCGACCGACGGTGGCGATAGGCATCCGGGTG GTGCTCAAAAGCAGCTTCGCCTGGCTGATACGTTGGTCCTCGCGCCAGCTTAAGACGCTA ATCCCTAACTGCTGGCGGAAAAGATGTGACAGACGCGACGGCGACAAGCAAACATGCTGT GCGACGCTGGCGATATCAAAATTGCTGTCTGCCAGGTGATCGCTGATGTACTGACAAGCC TCGCGTACCCGATTATCCATCGGTGGATGGAGCGACTCGTTAATCGCTTCCATGCGCCGC AGTAACAATTGCTCAAGCAGATTTATCGCCAGCAGCTCCGAATAGCGCCCTTCCCCTTGC CCGGCGTTAATGATTTGCCCAAACAGGTCGCTGAAATGCGGCTGGTGCGCTTCATCCGGG CGAAAGAACCCCGTATTGGCAAATATTGACGGCCAGTTAAGCCATTCATGCCAGTAGGCG CGCGGACGAAAGTAAACCCACTGGTGATACCATTCGCGAGCCTCCGGATGACGACCGTAG CCCTGATTTTTCACCACCCCCTGACCGCGAATGGTGAGATTGAGAATATAACCTTTCATT CCCAGCGGTCGGTCGATAAAAAAATCGAGATAACCGTTGGCCTCAATCGGCGTTAAACCC GCCACCAGATGGGCATTAAACGAGTATCCCGGCAGCAGGGGATCATTTTGCGCTTCAGCC ATACTTTTCATACTCCCGCCATTCAGAGAAGAAACCAATTGTCCATATTGCATCAGACAT TGCCGTCACTGCGTCTTTTACTGGCTCTTCTCGCTAACCAAACCGGTAACCCCGCTTATT AAAAGCATTCTGTAACAAAGCGGGACCAAAGCCATGACAAAAACGCGTAACAAAAGTGTC TATAATCACGGCAGAAAAGTCCACATTGATTATTTGCACGGCGTCACACTTTGCTATGCC ATAGCATTTTTATCCATAAGATTAGCGGATCCTACCTGACGCTTTTTATCGCAACTCTCT ACTGTTTCTCCATACCCGTTTTTTTGGTACCGGAAAAAGGAGATCTGCATATGAGAGGAT CGCATCACCACCACCATAGCAGCGGCCATATGACAACACTTCTCAACCCCTACTTTG GTGAATTCGGCGGCATGTATGTGCCGCAGATCCTGATGCCTGCGCTGAACCAGCTTGAAG AGGCCTTCGTCAGCGCGCAAAAAGATCCTGAATTTCAGGCGCAATTCGCCGATCTGCTAA AAAACTACGCGGGACGCCCACCGCGCTGACGAAATGCCAGAACATTACCGCCGGTACGC AGGTACTGGGTCAGGCGCTGCTGGCCAAACGGATGGGTAAAAGCGAGATTATCGCTGAAA CCGGCGCCGGTCAGCACGGCGTCGCCTCTGCGCTCGCCAGCGCCCTGCTGGGTCTGAAAT GCCGTATCTATATGGGCGCCAAAGACGTTGAGCGCCAGTCGCCGAACGTCTTCCGTATGC GTCTGATGGGCGCTGAGGTCATCCCGGTTCATAGCGGCTCCGCTACGCTAAAAGATGCCT GTAACGAGGCGCTGCGCGACTGGTCCGGTAGTTACGAAACCGCGCACTATATGCTCGGCA CGGCGGCAGGACCGCATCCCTATCCCACCATCGTTCGCGAGTTCCAGCGCATGATTGGCG AAGAGACGAAAGCGCAAATCCTCGACAAAGAGGGCCGTCTGCCAGATGCCGTTATCGCTT GCGTCGGTGGCGGCTCAAACGCTATCGGGATGTTTGCCGATTTTATTAATGATACCAGCG TCGGGCTAATAGGCGTTGAACCTGGTGGTCATGGTATTGAAACCGGCGAGCATGGCGCGC CGCTTAAACATGGTCGCGTTGGCATCTATTTCGGGATGAAAGCGCCGATGATGCAAACAG GGCCGCAGCATGCGTACCTGAACAGCATCGGACGCGCGGATTATGTCTCCATTACCGATG ATGAGGCGCTGGAAGCCTTCAAAACGTTGTGCCGCCATGAGGGAATTATCCCGGCGCTGG AGTCCTCCCACGCGTTGGCGCACGCTCTGAAAATGATGCGCGAGCAGCCGGAAAAAGAGC AACTGCTGGTGGTCAATCTCTCTGGCCGCGGAGATAAAGACATCTTTACCGTACACGATA CGATCGCCGGGAAGGCGCTTTTGTCCCCTTCGTGACCCTGGGCGACCCTGGCATTGAACA GTCACTGAAAATTATTGACACACTGATTGACGCCGGCGCCGACGCTCTAGAACTGGGGGGT TCCCTTCTCCGATCCGCTGGCCGATGGCCCTACCATCCAGAATGCGAACTTACGCGCCTT CGCCGCTGGCGTCACGCCGGCTCAGTGTTTTGAAATGCTGGCGCTGATTCGTGAAAAACA CCCGACCATTCCGATTGGCCTGCTAATGTACGCGAATCTGGTGTTCAATAACGGCATAGA TGCGTTCTATGCCCCGTTGTGAACAGGTTGGCGTAGATTCCGTGCTGGTCGCAGATGTCCC GGTTGAAGAATCGGCCCCCTTCCGCCAGGCAGCGTTACGGCATAATATCGCGCCCGATCTT CATCTGCCCGCCAAATGCGGATGACGATCTTCTGCGCCAGGTCGCATCTTACGGCCGCGG TTACACCTACCTGCTTTCGCGTTCGGGTGTCACCGGCGCGGAAAACCGTGGCGCATTGCC GTTGCATCATCATTGAGAAGCTTAAAGAGTACCATGCCGCCCCGCCTTACAGGGCTT CTCCGGCTCAGCCATTGTCAAGATTATCGAGAAAAACCTCGCGTCTCCCAAACAGATGTT GGCGGAGCTCAGGTCCTTTGTCTCAGCCATGAAAGCCGCCAGCCGCGCATAA

#### Supplementary Table 1: Used primers.

Primer name	Sequence
Primer 1	CGCAACTCTCTACTGTTTCTCCATACCCGTTTTTTTGGTACCGGAAAAAGGAGATCTGCATATGAG
Primer 2	TGTTTTATCAGACCGCTTCTGCGTTCTGATTTAATCTTAATTAA
Primer 3	CGCATCACCATCACCGGATCCATGGTGAGCAAGGGCGAG
Primer 4	CAAGCTCAGCTAATTAAGCTTTTATCACTTGTACAGCTCGTCCATGC

# 6.2 NMR SPECTRA OF 5OH-TRP AND 5F-TRP



Supplementary Figure 3: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of 5OH-Trp.



Supplementary Figure 4: <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra of 5F-Trp.

#### Supplementary Sequence 3: Amino acid sequence of ECFP.

Single letter code of the N-terminally hexahistidine-tagged ECFP (251 amino acids, 2 Trp). The Trp residues are highlighted in blue with the corresponding amino acid residue numbers in subscript.

MRGSHHHHHHGSM1VSKGEELFTGVVPILVELDGDVNGHKFSVSGEGEGDATYGKLTLKFICTTGKLPVPW57PTLVTTLTW66GVQCFSRYPDHM KQHDFFKSAMPEGYVQERTIFFKDDGNYKTRAEVKFEGDTLVNRIELKGIDFKEDGNILGHKLEYNYISHNVYITADKQKNGIKANFKIRHNIE DGSVQLADHYQQNTPIGDGPVLLPDNHYLSTQSALSKDPNEKRDHMVLLEFVTAAGITLGMDELYK

# 6.3 EXPRESSION AND PURIFICATION OF ECFP[NcTrp]

## Supplementary Table 2: Composition of 5x SDS loading dye.

All chemicals are commercially available at Roth.

Component	Concentration
Sodium dodecyl sulfate	5 % (w/v)
Glycerol	1.6 % (v/v)
Bromphenol blue	0.4 % (w/v)
β-mercaptoethanol	0.16 % (v/v)
Tris/Cl pH 6.8	6.4 mM

#### Supplementary Table 3: Minimal medium composition

The chemicals are commercially available at Roth, unless indicated otherwise.

Description	Component	Concentration
Trace elements	FeSO <sub>4</sub> ·7 H <sub>2</sub> O	9 μM
	MnSO <sub>4</sub> ·H <sub>2</sub> O	3.5 μΜ
	AICl <sub>3</sub> ·6 H <sub>2</sub> O	2.5 μΜ
	CoCl₂·6 H2O	2 μΜ
	ZnSO₄·7 H₂O	0.4 μΜ
	Na <sub>2</sub> MoO <sub>4</sub> ·2 H <sub>2</sub> O	0.5 μΜ
	CuCl <sub>2</sub> ·2 H <sub>2</sub> O	0.4 μΜ
	H <sub>3</sub> BO <sub>3</sub>	0.5 μΜ
Salts	Na <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub>	47.8 mM
	KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	22.0 mM
	NaCl	8.6 mM
	NH <sub>4</sub> Cl	18.6 mM
Other ingredients	Glucose	20.0 mM
	MgSO <sub>4</sub> ·7 H <sub>2</sub> O	1.0 mM
	$CaCl_2 \cdot 2 H_2O$	7 μM CaCl₂
	19 amino acid supplementation	50 mg/L
	(without Trp)	
	Trp	18 μM
	D(+)-Biotine	4.0 μΜ
	Thiamine hydrochloride	3.3 μΜ
	Ampicillin (Sigma-Aldrich)	100 mg/L
	Kanamycin	50 mg/L



Supplementary Figure 5: Effect of the different arabinose concentrations on growth of the Trp auxotrophic *E. coli* strain during the co-expression of the tryptophan synthase from *Samonella typhimurium* (*St*TrpS).

The expression of *St*TrpS was induced with different arabinose concentrations: 200 mg/L, 20 mg/L and 2 mg/L at  $D_{600}$  of 0.5 (A), 1 (B) and 1.5 (C). The induction at  $D_{600}$  of 0.5 with 200 mg/L arabinose showed a different growth behavior compared to the other cultures, which were induced with less arabinose (A). We observed homogeneous growth patterns of the expression strains, when the defined arabinose concentrations were added at  $D_{600}$  of 1 (B) and 1.5 (C). The addition of 25  $\mu$ M Trp after the growth arrest (dotted horizontal line) is marked with an arrow.  $D_{600}$  values were determined in triplicates and the calculated relative mean deviations are shown.

## 6.4 MASS ANALYSIS OF ECFP[NCTRP]

# Supplementary Table 4: Calculated and found masses for ECFP variants produced with the one-step incorporation protocol and the two-step incorporation protocol.

An undefined mass peak was detected at 28,281.19 (labeled with \*) in the sample produced with the one-step incorporation protocol. This was not the case with the two-step incorporation protocol.

ECFP[ncTrp]	chromophore formation	mass <sub>calculated</sub> / Da	number of ncTrp <sub>incorporated</sub>	mass <sub>found</sub> with protocol two-step incorporation protocol / Da	mass <sub>found</sub> with protocol one-step incorporation protocol / Da	
		28,286.12	none	n.d.	n.d.	
	no	28,301.13	1	n.d.	n.d.	
		28,316.14	2	n.d.	n.d.	
ECFP[4NH <sub>2</sub> -1rp]		28,266.09	none	n.d.	28,265.20	
	yes	28,281.10	1	n.d.	28,280.29*	
		28,296.11	2	28,296.26	28,296.28	

Supplementary Table 5: Calculated and found masses for ECFP[5OH-Trp] and ECFP[5F-Trp] expressed with (+)StTrpS and (-)StTrpS strains.

ECFP[ncTrp] variant	chromophore formation	mass <sub>calculated</sub> / Da	number of ncTrp <sub>incorporated</sub>	mass <sub>found</sub> in (+) <i>St</i> TrpS / Da	mass <sub>found</sub> in (-) <i>St</i> TrpS / Da
ECFP[5OH-Trp]	no	28,286.12	none	n.d.	n.d.
		28,302.11	1	n.d.	n.d.
		28,318.11	2	n.d.	n.d.
	yes	28,266.09	none	n.d.	28,266.47
		28,282.08	1	n.d.	28,282.44
		28,298.08	2	28,297.48	n.d.
ECFP[5F-Trp]	no	28,286.12	none	n.d.	n.d.
		28,304.11	1	n.d.	28304.36
		28,322.100	2	n.d.	n.d.
	yes	28,266.09	none	n.d.	n.d.
		28,284.08	1	n.d.	28,284.40
		28,302.07	2	28302.46	28,301.65

# 6.5 LISTED DEVICES, INSTRUMENTS CHEMICALS AND REAGENTS

Instrument /Device	Supplier
Analytical scale	Sartorius, Göttingen, Germany
Avanti J-20 XP centrifuge	Beckmann Coulter Inc.; Brea, CA
Centrifuge tubes	Thermo Fischer Scientific Inc.; Waltham, MA
Centrifuges	Centrifuge 5415R: Eppendorf; Hamburg, Germany
	Centrifuge 5424: Eppendorf
ddH20 device (arium <sup>®</sup> basic)	Sartorius
Eppendorf tubes	Sarstedt, Nümbrecht, Germany
Flasks	2000 mL (Schott Duran); Bartelt; Graz, Austria
	250 mL (Schott Duran); Bartelt
HPLC	Agilent 1100, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA
Incubator HT MultitronII	InforsAG, Bottmingen, Switzerland
Lab scale	Binder GmbH, Tuttlingen, Germany
Laminar air flow hood AirClean	Woerden, Netherlands
Petri dishes	Greiner Bio-one International AG, Kremsmünster, Austria
Photometer	Beckman Clouter Inc
	BioPhotometer; Eppendorf
Pipette tips	Greiner Bio-one International AG
Pipettes	1000 μL, 200 μL, 20 μL; Denville; South Plainfield, NJ
	10 μL (Biohit); Sartorius
Plate reader(SPECTRAmax Plus384)	Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA
Scanner	Tevion USB Scanner, Mühlheim, Germany
Sonifier	Branson, Danbury, CT
Sterile filters	Sartorius
UV cuvettes	Greiner Bio-one International AG
Vortex	IKA <sup>®</sup> -Werke GembH & Co. K; Staufen, Germany
ZORBAX Eclipse XDB-C8	Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA

Supplementary Table 6: Used instruments and devices.

Supplementary Table 7: Used chemicals, regents and enzymes.

Chemical /Reagent /Enzyme	Cat. Nr./ order code	Supplier
$\alpha$ -D-glucose monohydrate	6780.2	Roth
β-mercaptoethanol	4227.1	Roth
β-Nicotinamid-adenin-dinucleotid	AE11.2	Carl Roth
1,4-dithiotreit (DTT)	6908.1	Roth
4-Aminoindole	5192-23-4	ABCR, Karlsruhe, Germany
5-Fluoroindole	399-52-0	Molekula
5-Hydroxyindole	1953-54-4	Molekula
Acetic Acid	6755.2	Roth
Acetonitrile	75-05-8	Roth
Acrylamide / Bis	161-0156	BioRad
Agarose	840004	BioZyme; Hessisch-Oldendorf, Germany
Amersham Protran 0.45 NC	10600002	GE Helathcare, Little Chalfont, UK
Ammonium chloride	6923-52-0	Roth
Ammonium Persulfate (APS)	13375.01	Serva; Heidelbrerg, Gemany
Ammonium Sulfate	7783-20-2	Roth; Karlsruhe, Gemany
Bovine Serum Albumin	9048-46-8	Sigma-Aldrich
Bradford protein assay	5000201	BioRad, Vienna, Austria
Calciumchloride dihydrate	CN93.1	Roth
Cell lytic B reagent	MFCD02097909	Sigma-Aldrich
Coomassie Blue R-250	6104-59-2	Roth
D(+)-Biotin	58-85-5	Roth
Di-sodium hydrogen phosphate	T875.2	Roth
DNAse I	DN25-100mg	Sigma-Aldrich
dNTPs	K039.1	Roth
D-a-Aminobenzylpenicillin sodium salt	69-52-3	Both
Ethanol	20821 330	VWR international Radnor PA
EastAP Thermosensitive Alkaline Phosphatase	EE0654	Thermo Fisher Scientific
(1 U/uL)		Thermo Haner Scientine
ED EcoBI	FD0274	Thermo Fisher Scientific
ED Notl	ED0596	Thermo Fisher Scientific
ED Xhol	FD0695	Thermo Fisher Scientific
Fermentas Gene let TM Plasmid	K0502	Thermo Fisher Scientific
Glycerol	3908 3	Both
Hydrochloric acid fuming	4625 1	Both
Imidazole	1047161000	Merck Billerica MA
Indole	120-72-9	Sigma-Aldrich
Iron(III)chloride	7705-08-0	Both
Isopropyl B-D-1thiogalactopyraposide (IPTG)	CN03 3	Both
Kanamycin sulfate	T832.2	Both
L-(+)-Arabinose	5328-37-0	Sigma-Aldrich
LB-Agar Lennox	X65.3	Roth
I B-medium Lennox	X964.2	Both
	13831082-100G	Molekula
	8259-2	Roth
Magnesium chloride	8.14733.0500	Merck
Magnesium sulfate hentahydrate	A537.4	Both
N. N. N'. N'-tetramethylethylenediamine	161-0800	BioBad
nButanol	71-36-3	Both
Ninhydrin	485-47-2	Both
Ni-NTA agarose beads	30250	Qiagen, Hilden, Germany
NuPAGE sample buffer	NP0008	Thermo Fisher Scientific
PageRuler prestained protein ladder	SM0671	Thermo Fisher Scientific
P-dimethylaminibenzaldehyde	100-10-7	Sigma-Aldrich
PEG-8000	P5413-500G	Sigma-Aldrich
Physion <sup>®</sup> High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase 2U/ul	M0530S	New England Biolabs
Potassium dihydrogen phosophate	P018.2	Roth
Propanol	71-23-8	Roth
Pyridoxal 5'nhosphate monohydrate	41468-25-1	Sigma-Aldrich
Silica gel 60	7631-86-9	Both
SOB Medium	AE27.1	Both
Sodium chloride	9265 1	Both
Sodium dihydrigen nhosnhate monohydrate	7879.2	Both
Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)	2326.1	Both
Sulfuric acid	7664-93-9	Both
SuperSignal® West Dura Extended Duration	34075	Life Technologies
Substrate	5.075	Life recimologies
T4 Ligase	FL0014	Thermo Fisher Scientific
· ·	LL0017	

T5 Exonuclease	162340	BoiZym
TaKaRa Ex Tag Polymerase (5U/ml)	RR001A	Takara Bio, Saint-Germain-en-Laye
Thiamine hydrochloride	67-03-8	Sigma-Aldrich
TLC Silica gel 60 F <sub>254</sub>	1055490001	Merck Millipore, Billerica, MA
Tris(hydroxymethyl)-aminomethane	1185-53-1	Roth
Triton-X100	3051.3	Roth
Tween20	9005-64-5	Sigma-Aldrich
Urea	57-13-6	Roth
Wizard <sup>®</sup> SV Gel and PCR Clean-Up System	A9285	Promega; Madison, WI