Thickness-induced structural transitions in three $PbZr_{1-x}Ti_xO_3/PbZr_{1-z}Ti_zO_3$ coherent superlattices (x/z = 0/0.2, 0.4/0.6, 0.6/0.8)

<u>D. Hesse¹</u>, L. Feigl¹, S. J. Zheng², Y. L. Zhu^{1,2}, I. Vrejoiu¹, K. Boldyreva^{1,3}, B. I. Birajdar¹, N. D. Zakharov¹, and M. Alexe¹

1. Max Planck Institute of Microstructure Physics, Weinberg 2, D-06120 Halle, Germany

2. Shenyang Natl. Lab. for Mater. Sci., CAS, 72 Wenhua Road, 110016 Shenyang, China 3. Leibniz Inst. f. Solid State and Mater. Res., Helmholtzstr. 20, D-01069 Dresden, Germany

3. Leioniz Inst. I. Solid State and Mater. Res., Heimnoitzstr. 20, D-01069 Dresden, Germany

hesse@mpi-halle.de

Keywords: Ferroelectrics, antiferroelectrics, superlattices, strain, structural transitions

The PbZrO₃–PbTiO₃ phase diagram displays several compounds of type PbZr_{1-x}Ti_xO₃, all crystallizing in a structure close to the perovskite structure of CaTiO₃. At room temperature, x = 0 corresponds to the orthorhombic antiferroelectric compound PbZrO₃. Ferroelectric compounds are, e.g., the rhombohedral ones $PbZr_{0.8}Ti_{0.2}O_3$ (x = 0.2) and $PbZr_{0.6}Ti_{0.4}O_3$ (x = 0.4) on the Zr-rich side of the morphotropic phase boundary (MPB; $x \approx 0.5$), and the tetragonal ones PbZr_{0.4}Ti_{0.6}O₃ (x = 0.6) and PbZr_{0.2}Ti_{0.8}O₃ (x = 0.8) on its Ti-rich side. All of them can be considered a derivation from a cubic prototype perovskite with a lattice parameter around 0.4 nm. The specific structural (crystal structure, lattice parameters, antiferroelectric/ferroelectric domains) and electrical properties are the result of various rather small but most significant deviations from this prototype structure. Corresponding small ion shifts result in dielectric dipoles, and in deviations from the cubic symmetry. As will be shown here, the compounds, however, still remember their cubic prototype and therefore can be forced into a different phase by appropriate constraints like strain. From a combination of different of these compounds within one and the same superlattice new or modified properties and an insight into microstructure-property relations, like, e.g., the impact of interfaces, can be expected, which at the end should allow for a tuning of the properties.

 $PbZr_{1-x}Ti_xO_3/PbZr_{1-z}Ti_zO_3$ superlattices with (001) orientation (in cubic notation) of the following types were prepared by pulsed laser deposition (PLD) at elevated substrate temperatures, e.g., 575 °C, in 10...30 Pa oxygen. For experimental details, see [1-3].

(i) $PbZr_{0.4}Ti_{0.6}O_3 / PbZr_{0.2}Ti_{0.8}O_3$ (x/z = 0.6/0.8), i.e. tetragonal/tetragonal ("tet/tet"),

(ii) $PbZr_{0.6}Ti_{0.4}O_3 / PbZr_{0.4}Ti_{0.6}O_3$ (x/z = 0.4/0.6), i.e. rhombohedral/tetragonal,

(iii) $PbZrO_3 / PbZr_{0.8}Ti_{0.2}O_3$ (x/z = 0.0/0.2), i.e. orthorhombic/rhombohedral,

in this way receiving both (i,ii) ferroelectric/ferroelectric and (iii) antiferroelectric/ferroelectric superlattices. Circular platinum top electrodes of, e.g., 0.15 mm diameter were sputtered through a shadow mask. The thickness of the individual layers (2 nm < t < 50 nm) and the overall thickness of the superlattices (50 nm < T < 200 nm) were varied in a systematic way. All superlattices were grown on (100)-oriented SrRuO₃ (SRO) bottom electrodes, which were also grown by PLD, onto (100)-oriented SrTiO₃ (STO) vicinal single-crystal substrates with a miscut of 0.1° in order to achieve step-flow growth. As a rule, the first layers grew pseudomorphically, whereas strain relaxation can be expected at higher thickness. The superlattices were structurally investigated by (HR)TEM, (RSM-)XRD, and AFM. The ferro- and antiferroelectric properties were macroscopically characterized by electrical measurements (polarization-field, capacitance-voltage), and locally by piezoresponse force microscopy (PFM).

In all three types of superlattices, a thickness-dependent and obviously strain-related structural transition was observed by (HR)TEM and (RSM-)XRD below a certain critical

thickness t_c of the individual layers (see Fig. 1). t_c was between 5 nm and 9 nm in all cases, slightly depending on the type of superlattice. Of the two constituents, in all cases the thermodynamically less stable one (the one with the lower Curie temperature) underwent the transition, whereas the thermodynamically more stable one prevailed. As a consequence, the electrical properties of the superlattices significantly changed (see Fig. 2, right), either quantitatively or even qualitatively. For example, in type-(iii) superlattices, the structural transition of PbZrO₃ (from the orthorhombic to a rhombohedral structure) was accompanied by an antiferroelectric-to-ferroelectric transition [3].

- 1. L. Feigl, S. J. Zheng, B. I. Birajdar, B. J. Rodriguez, Y. L. Zhu, M. Alexe, and D. Hesse, Accepted by J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. (2009)
- 2. I. Vrejoiu, Y. L. Zhu, G. Le Rhun, M. A. Schubert, D. Hesse, and M. Alexe, Appl. Phys. Lett. **90** (2007) 072909.
- 3. K. Boldyreva, L. Pintilie, A. Lotnyk, I. B. Misirlioglu, M. Alexe, and D. Hesse, Appl. Phys. Lett. **91** (2007) 122915.
- 4. Contributions by A. Lotnyk (XRD), I. B. Misirlioglu (thermodynamic calculations), L. Pintilie (electric measurements), G. Le Rhun (PFM), B. Rodriguez (PFM), and M. A. Schubert (XRD), are gratefully acknowledged. Work supported *via* SFB 762.



Figure 1. Comparison of two $PbZr_{0.4}Ti_{0.6}O_3$ / $PbZr_{0.2}Ti_{0.8}O_3$ "tet/tet" superlattices. (a) t = 20 nm, T = 200 nm, with a complex pattern of 90°-a-c domains. (b) t = 6 nm, T = 110 nm, with a uniformly extending, rather regular pattern of 90°-a-c domains indicating a common strain state (uniform lattice) of all layers independently on composition. (Images from [1]).



Figure 2. (Left) HRTEM micrograph of a $PbZr_{0.4}Ti_{0.6}O_3 / PbZr_{0.2}Ti_{0.8}O_3$ "tet/tet" superlattice showing the presence of 90°-a-c domains mainly in the $PbZr_{0.2}Ti_{0.8}O_3$ layers (white arrows). (Right) Dependence of the product $P_r \cdot \varepsilon_r$ of remenant polarisation and dielectric permittivity on the reciprocal thickness of the individual layers. The highlighted point No. 1 corresponds to Figure 1(a), whereas point No. 2 corresponds to Figure 1(b). (Images from [1]).