











- for post-stroke motor rehabilitation: a meta-analysis,” *Ann. Clin. Transl. Neurol.*, vol. 5, no. 5, pp. 651–663, May 2018.
- [16] A. Biasucci *et al.*, “Brain-actuated functional electrical stimulation elicits lasting arm motor recovery after stroke,” *Nat. Commun.*, vol. 9, no. 1, p. 2421, Jun. 2018.
- [17] I. Novak, M. Hines, S. Goldsmith, and R. Barclay, “Clinical Prognostic Messages From a Systematic Review on Cerebral Palsy,” *Pediatrics*, vol. 130, no. 5, pp. e1285–e1312, Nov. 2012.
- [18] M.-A. Bruno *et al.*, “Locked-In Syndrome in Children: Report of Five Cases and Review of the Literature,” *Pediatr. Neurol.*, vol. 41, no. 4, pp. 237–246, Oct. 2009.
- [19] J. N. Mak and J. R. Wolpaw, “Clinical Applications of Brain-Computer Interfaces: Current State and Future Prospects,” *IEEE Rev. Biomed. Eng.*, vol. 2, pp. 187–199, 2009.
- [20] R. A. Ramadan and A. V. Vasilakos, “Brain computer interface: control signals review,” *Neurocomputing*, vol. 223, pp. 26–44, Feb. 2017.
- [21] U. Chaudhary, N. Birbaumer, and A. Ramos-Murguialday, “Brain-computer interfaces for communication and rehabilitation,” *Nat. Rev. Neurol.*, vol. 12, no. 9, pp. 513–525, Sep. 2016.
- [22] J. J. Daly and J. R. Wolpaw, “Brain-computer interfaces in neurological rehabilitation,” *Lancet Neurol.*, vol. 7, no. 11, pp. 1032–1043, Nov. 2008.
- [23] E. Mikołajewska and D. Mikołajewski, “The prospects of brain — computer interface applications in children,” *Cent. Eur. J. Med.*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 74–79, Feb. 2014.
- [24] J. Zhang, Z. Jadavji, E. Zewdie, and A. Kirton, “Evaluating If Children Can Use Simple Brain Computer Interfaces,” *Front. Hum. Neurosci.*, vol. 13, p. 24, 2019.
- [25] S. Letourneau, E. Zewdie, L. Burkholder, J. Andersen, and A. Kirton, “Clinician Awareness of Brain Computer Interfaces and Eligible Pediatric Populations,” in *ANNALS OF NEUROLOGY*, 2018, vol. 84, pp. S416–S416.
- [26] C. Guger *et al.*, “MindBEAGLE — A new system for the assessment and communication with patients with disorders of consciousness and complete locked-in syndrom,” 2017, pp. 3008–3013.
- [27] G. Krausz, R. Ortner, and E. Opisso, “Accuracy of a Brain Computer Interface (P300 Spelling Device) used by People with Motor Impairments,” *J. CyberTherapy Rehabil.*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 148–151, 2011.
- [28] C. Guger, G. Krausz, B. Z. Allison, and G. Edlinger, “Comparison of Dry and Gel Based Electrodes for P300 Brain-Computer Interfaces,” *Front. Neurosci.*, vol. 6, 2012.
- [29] T. Kaufmann, S. M. Schulz, C. Grünzinger, and A. Kübler, “Flashing characters with famous faces improves ERP-based brain-computer interface performance,” *J. Neural Eng.*, vol. 8, no. 5, p. 056016, Sep. 2011.
- [30] C. Guger, R. Ortner, S. Dimov, and B. Allison, “A comparison of face speller approaches for P300 BCIs,” in *2016 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics (SMC)*, 2016, pp. 004809–004812.
- [31] C. Guger *et al.*, “How many people are able to control a P300-based brain-computer interface (BCI)?,” *Neurosci. Lett.*, vol. 462, no. 1, pp. 94–98, Sep. 2009.
- [32] A. Schnurer, A. Espinosa, and C. Guger, “P125. mindBEAGLE: A BCI for communication and assessment of consciousness for patients with disorders of consciousness - ScienceDirect,” *Clin. Neurophysiol.*, vol. 126, no. 8, pp. e152–e153, Aug. 2015.
- [33] B. Z. Allison, W. Cho, R. Ortner, A. Heilinger, G. Edlinger, and C. Guger, “Validation of a Brain-Computer Interface (BCI) System Designed for Patients with Disorders of Consciousness (DOC): Regular and Sham Testing with Healthy Participants,” in *Augmented Cognition. Enhancing Cognition and Behavior in Complex Human Environments*, 2017, pp. 253–265.