Diplomarbeit

# Entwicklung und Implementierung von OBD Funktionen für das AVL Steuergerät AVL RPEMS

Lukas Raschendorfer

Institut für technische Informatik Technische Universität Graz Vorstand: O. Univ.-Prof. Dipl.-Inform. Dr.sc.ETH Kay Römer



Betreuer: Ao.Univ.-Prof. Dipl.-Ing. Dr.techn. Eugen Brenner

Graz, im November 2013

## In Kooperation mit:

## AVL List GmbH



## Kurzfassung

Um die Entwicklung von neuen Motor- und Antriebskonzepten zu unterstützen hat die AVL List GmbH eine eigene Motor- bzw. Fahrzeugsteuerung entwickelt, die AVL RPEMS. Diese Steuerung wird in Motor- und Fahrzeugprototypen verwendet, um neue Funktionalitäten zu testen ohne dafür auf die Hilfe eines Industriepartners im Bereich Motorelektronik angewiesen zu sein.

Eine Funktion, die bisher noch im Funktionsumfang der RPEMS fehlte, war die Möglichkeit Diagnosetätigkeiten außerhalb der Entwicklungsumgebung durchzuführen – ein Feature, das besonders bei Systemen in Kundenhand wichtig ist.

Das Ziel dieser Arbeit ist es, eine solche Diagnosefunktion in das RPEMS Softwarepaket zu integrieren.

In einer Evaluierung werden verschieden Konzepte bezüglich Funktionalität, Kosten und Wartungsaufwand verglichen, wobei sich eine Implementierung des OBD II Diagnoseprotokolls als die praktikabelste Lösung herausstellt.

Die Standards, auf denen OBD II aufbaut werden dann untersucht, um herauszufinden, welche Teile für diese spezielle Aufgabe relevant sind. Danach wird die Architektur der bestehenden Software analysiert um die Interfaces zum Diagnosesystem festzulegen und ein Layout der Diagnosesoftware zu definieren, welches konsistent mit dem Rest des Systems ist.

Die fertige Implementierung wird erst mit üblichen Diagnosetools getestet und schließlich noch die Standardkonformität mit Hilfe von automatisierten Tests nachgewiesen.

## Abstract

To assist the development of engine and drivetrain concepts, AVL List GmbH has developed its own Engine/Vehicle Control unit, the AVL RPEMS. This unit is used on prototype engines and vehicles to test new functionality without having to resort to an industry partner for the control system.

A function that was still missing from the RPEMS system was the ability to do diagnostics independent from the development environment – a feature especially important for systems in customer hands. This thesis' goal is to integrate such a system into the existing RPEMS software package.

In an evaluation of possible concepts to implement diagnostics, different solutions are compared in price, functional range, and support effort. From this, an implementation of the OBD II diagnostic protocol emerges as the most feasible solution.

The standards relating to OBD II are examined to determine which parts are relevant to this specific task. The architecture of the existing software system is then analyzed to determine the interfaces to the diagnostic system and to define a layout of the diagnostic module that is consistent with the rest of the system.

The finished implementation is then tested against common diagnostic tools as well as verified to be compliant with the standard using automated testing.

#### EIDESSTATTLICHE ERKLÄRUNG

Ich erkläre an Eides statt, dass ich die vorliegende Arbeit selbstständig verfasst, andere als die angegebenen Quellen/Hilfsmittel nicht benutzt, und die den benutzten Quellen wörtlich und inhaltlich entnommenen Stellen als solche kenntlich gemacht habe.

Graz, am.....

(Unterschrift)

.....

#### STATUTORY DECLARATION

I declare that I have authored this thesis independently, that I have not used other than the declared sources / resources, and that I have explicitly marked all material which has been quoted either literally or by content from the used sources.

date

(signature)

.....

## **Table of contents**

List of Figures	VI
List of Tables	VIII
1 Introduction	
1.1 Motivation and Goal	1
1.2 Document Structure	2
2 Overview of Diagnostic systems	
2.1 History of OBD	
2.2 Situation in Europe / EOBD	
2.3 Future developments, WWH and UDS	5
2.4 OBD II standards	5
2.4.1 Applicable standards	5
2.4.2 OBD II Connector	7
2.4.3 CAN Communication	
2.4.4 The generic tester	
2.4.5 Manufacturer-specific extensions to OBD II	9
2.4.6 ISO 15765-2 Transport Protocol (ISO-TP)	9
2.4.7 The OBD II standard services in detail	11
2.5 The CAN Bus	20
3 Concepts	27
3.1 Present scenario	
3.2 External data logger	27
3.3 AVL specific software solution	
3.4 OBD II Diagnostics Support	29
3.5 Rating matrix	
4 Design	
4.1 Specification AVL RPEMS Future	
4.2 Requirements for the OBD II implementation	
4.3 Toolchain	
4.4 Current Architecture of the RPEMS FUTURE System	35

4.4.1 Task scheduler	35
4.4.2 Drivers	
4.4.3 Data Consistency	37
5 Implementation	40
5.1 Modifications to existing Software	40
5.1.1 CAN Software Stack	40
5.1.2 EEPROM Driver	42
5.2 New Software Modules	44
5.2.1 Important Shared OBD variables, constants and calibration tables	44
5.2.2 The OBD State Machine	44
5.2.3 The OBD Remapper	45
5.2.4 The OBD Persistent Storage Interface	47
5.2.5 The OBD Vehicle Information Interface	54
5.2.6 The OBD Debouncer	56
5.3 Diagnostics Implementation example	57
5.4 Architecture of the OBD subsystem	58
5.4.1 Timing	58
6 Testing, Results and Outlook	61
6.1 Test Setup	61
6.2 Early Testing	62
6.3 Communication tests	63
6.4 Systematic testing	65
6.5 Conclusion and Outlook	66
Bibliography	67
Appendix	70
Appendix 1: List of Abbreviations	71
Appendix 2: CANoe.DiVa Test Specification	72
Appendix 3: CANoe.DiVa Test Results	73
Appendix 4: Software Module Block Diagrams	74
Appendix 5: Source Code	83

# List of Figures

Figure 2-1: OBD II standards and OSI Layers	6
Figure 2-2: OBD connector schematic (female, facing front)	7
Figure 2-3: OBD connector in vehicle	7
Figure 2-4: ISO-TP single and multiple frame communication	9
Figure 2-5: KWP2000 communication	12
Figure 2-6: KWP2000 application layer message	12
Figure 2-7: DTC Encoding	15
Figure 2-8: ISO 11898 in the OSI model	21
Figure 2-9: Schematic of a typical CAN transceiver	21
Figure 2-10: Typical CAN Bus signal levels	22
Figure 2-11: Composition of a CAN frame	23
Figure 2-12: CAN arbitration example	23
Figure 2-13: CAN error states	25
Figure 3-1: current diagnostic scenario	27
Figure 3-2: Data Logger System Overview	28
Figure 3-3: Proprietary diagnostic system overview	29
Figure 3-4: OBD II diagnostics system overview	29
Figure 4-1: AVL RPEMS toolchain	33
Figure 4-2: ASCET component hierarchy	34
Figure 4-3: RPEMS driver structure	36
Figure 4-4: Data becoming inconsistent as result of a race condition	37
Figure 4-5: Avoiding the race condition	
Figure 4-6: RTA task priority scheme	
Figure 4-7:cooperative scheduling example	39
Figure 5-1: Block diagram of the CAN stack	40
Figure 5-2: Block diagram of the modified CAN stack	42
Figure 5-3: EEPROM page structure	43
Figure 5-4: main OBD state flow diagram	45

Figure 5-5: usage of the ASCET variable model by the OBD remapper	46
Figure 5-6: OBD persistent storage memory organization	48
Figure 5-7: persistent storage element allocation logic	49
Figure 5-8: "Clear Memory" Program Flow	49
Figure 5-9: "Store DTC" Program Flow	50
Figure 5-10: "Read DTC" Program Flow	51
Figure 5-11: deferred DTC storing	51
Figure 5-12 : Program flow for storing and retrieving FF data	53
Figure 5-13: ISO-TP state flow	54
Figure 5-14: Debouncer behaviour	57
Figure 5-15: Exemplaric implementation of trottle pedal diagnostics	57
Figure 5-16: OBD software structure	58
Figure 5-17: OBD response time	60
Figure 6-1: Test Hardware Setup	61
Figure 6-2: INCA I Experiment showing data from the OBD remapper	63
Figure 6-3: CANalyzer used for communication tests	64
Figure 6-4: Successful DTC readout using the Autel scan tool	64
Figure 6-5:Torque App displaying data from OBD II services $02_{H}$ $03_{H}$ and $09_{H}$	65

Picture sources are indicated in footnotes where appropriate.

Figures without reference were created by the author.

## **List of Tables**

Table 2-1: OBD connector pinout	7
Table 2-2: ISO-TP frame types	
Table 2-3: ISO-TP frame structure	
Table 2-4: Flow Control Flag values	
Table 2-5: Separation Time encoding	
Table 2-6: Physical and Functional Addressing	
Table 2-7: Service 1 Request	
Table 2-8: Service 1 Response	
Table 2-9: Service 1 Supported PIDs Response	14
Table 2-10: Service 2 Request	14
Table 2-11: Service 2 Response	
Table 2-12: Service 3 Request	
Table 2-13: Service 3 Response	
Table 2-14: Service 4 request	
Table 2-15: Service 4 response	
Table 2-16: Service 4 negative response	
Table 2-17: Service 6 request	
Table 2-18: Service 6 Response	
Table 2-19: Service 8 request	
Table 2-20: Service 8 Response	
Table 2-21: Service 9 Request	
Table 2-22: Service 9 Response	
Table 2-23: CAN Bus signal levels	
Table 2-24 : Bit stuffing example	24
Table 3-1: Concept rating matrix	
Table 5-1: List of INFOTYPES	55
Table 5-2: processes needed to answer an OBD request	
Table 6-1: Test Hardware	61
Table 6-2: Test Software	62

### **Chapter 1**

## Introduction

One of the business areas of AVL List GmbH (hereafter AVL) is the development of engines and engine control strategies for customers (mostly automotive OEMs). In the later phases of a development project the engine has to run on an engine dynamometer in order to advance mechanical and thermodynamic development. To actuate the ignition and injection systems of modern engines, an electronic control unit is required. In production, ECUs, from e.g. Bosch and Siemens, are used but this is not straightforward possible in the development phase. Many projects, especially ones with exotic or novel mechatronic concepts or innovative control strategies, require corresponding functions within the control system. These functions can only be implemented by the ECU vendor – a process associated with significant costs and lead time - and therefore not suitable for a system in development.

For this reason AVL in-house developed a bespoke control unit – the AVL RPEMS Future (Rapid Prototyping Engine Management System, hereafter RPEMS), a system where AVL has full control over both hard- and software and is thence able to implement new functions in a short timeframe. Because of the versatility this system provides, it can both be used as engine management and as VCU (Vehicle Control Unit) for vehicles with alternative powertrains (e.g. plug-in hybrids)

As a part of development projects, demonstrator vehicles are built on a regular basis and are used to calibrate and evaluate new engines and strategies in a real-world environment. These vehicles are not only used by the development engineers but often also by the customers and, especially with very innovative concepts, these vehicles get to be presented on trade shows and conferences.

It lies in the nature of a prototype that every now and then a problem appears that effectively disables the demonstrator vehicle. If there is no developer with the required specialized soft- and hardware present it is very difficult to correctly diagnose the problem and, if possible, get the vehicle working again.

For this reason AVL was looking for a possibility to perform simple diagnostics on a RPEMS that do not require the deployment of expensive calibration software and trained experts.

#### **1.1 Motivation and Goal**

As a mechanical engineer it may seem surprising for me to take on topic centered almost entirely on electronics and software. Indeed, an interest and knowledge in these topics is not very common among students of mechanical engineering, so my limited experience as an electronics hobbyist predating my studies at TU Graz was enough to put me in the position of "Head of Electronics" on the TU Graz Racing Team<sup>1</sup>. There, in a steep learning curve, I came in contact with a number of automotive electronic components and control systems. This intensified my interest in the topics of electronics and (embedded) software development, so I began taking courses intended for students of telematics and also continued to work on applications of electronic systems in motorsport.

Working on the topic of diagnostics at AVL provided the opportunity to get a glimpse of the development and inner functioning of modern automotive ECUs, after I had been working with them as end user for some time.

The goal of this thesis was to develop a practical diagnostic solution on the AVL RPEMS ECU for use in the field while deepening my understanding of automotive embedded systems development.

The resulting solution should be easy to use, lightweight and robust and enable users to quickly diagnose problems with RPEMS units on-site. Ultimately, this should reduce down-time and support workload for the development engineers, because simple defects can be detected and solved without remote assistance.

#### **1.2 Document Structure**

This document describes the design and implementation of the OBD II diagnostic protocol on the AVL RPEMS in five chapters.

Chapter 2 depicts the historical and technical background of the OBD II protocol and gives an introduction to the fundamentals of CAN communication and real time systems.

The process leading up the decision to use the OBD II protocol to address the need for diagnostics on the RPEMS as well as competing solutions are described in chapter 3.

The existing software and hardware system and the design choices made on that basis are characterized in chapter 4.

Chapter 5 details the resulting implementation and presents the individual software components created for this project.

An exemplary usage scenario, test results and an outlook of possible future work can be found in chapter 6

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}$  TU Graz Racing is a student club competing in the international Formula SAE Series and supported by TU Graz

## **Chapter 2**

## **Overview of Diagnostic systems**

This chapter gives an overview over current on-board diagnostic systems, focusing on OBD II, and the associated standards and regulations.

#### 2.1 History of OBD

Contrary to popular opinion it is not European but US authorities who are leading in emission and OBD legislation. Special attention deserves California's CARB, which was and still is leading in the definition of many emission regulations, including OBD.

In 1940 California's population had already crossed the seven million mark. It is noteworthy that at the same time there were already 2.8 million vehicles registered which covered over 38 billion kilometers per year. [1]

Due to the special geographic and climatic conditions around the city of Los Angeles, it is especially vulnerable to the "SMOG" phenomenon (a portmanteau of "smoke" and "fog"), which is described as drastically increased concentration of air pollutants with simultaneously occurring decreased vision.

This phenomenon already led to first SMOG occurrences in 1943, which were rather serious with people suffering from smarting eyes, respiratory discomfort, nausea, and vomiting. [2] Also, visibility was less than a hundred meters.

Shortly thereafter, the "Bureau of Smoke Control" was founded and newer studies suggested that state-wide measures were needed.

In 1959 California's Department of Health set statewide quality standards for air quality including the concentration of sulfur dioxide, nitrogen dioxide, carbon monoxide and particulate matter.

1960 the "Federal Motor Vehicle Act of 1960" was enacted, which required federal research to address air pollution from motor vehicles. [3]

Already 1961 the first technological solution for emission reduction was presented, the positive crankcase ventilation (PCV). It made sure that blow-by gasses containing hydrocarbonate emissions would not be ventilated to the environment uncontrolled but would be withdrawn from the crankcase and returned to the combustion process together with fresh air and fuel.

1967 the "California Air Resources Board" merged multiple organizations and elaborated multiple, at this time, unique emission requirements for motor vehicles. [4]

After a slew of new regulations in the 70s and 80s had been successful in improving air quality, the focus was set on a new problem – maintaining the emission quality over a vehicle lifetime.

Until then, only the emission behavior of factory-new vehicles had been regulated, but due to modifications, maintenance, defects or wear it can quickly worsen. Monitoring of the emission behavior was deemed necessary. There are several possibilities to guarantee emission quality in the field:

- Periodical tests by the authority
- Self-diagnosing vehicles + control by the executive
- Self-diagnosing vehicles + control by the executive + periodical field tests

After pursuing the first solution with a mandatory bi-annual check in 1984 ("CA SMOG Check Program"), finally the third solution became policy in 1988 when model year (MY) 1994 and later vehicles were required to have on-board computer systems that continuously monitor the emission performance of the vehicle and alert the driver if problems arose. Additionally, the authorities would do spot checks to ensure the systems were working as intended.

Integrated diagnostic systems were already required in the Smoke-Check directive from 1984 (OBD-I), which were introduced with the 1988 MY. These Systems should monitor emission-relevant systems, detect and store malfunctions and also activate a warning light if they do. The interface for accessing the fault memory was not standardized and was usually implemented by blink-codes.

The diagnostic system mandated from the MY 1994 (OBD II) required a correlation between the faults and the actual vehicle emissions. It should not only ensure the correct function of all involved systems but also monitor the chemical, mechanical, etc. functioning of all emission related systems by the means of "indirect" tests. An example for this would be monitoring the catalytic converter efficiency by means of an oxygen sensor both before and after the converter. [5]

If a fault is detected an OBD II compliant vehicle can not only store the fault itself but also the boundary conditions at the time of its occurrence.

Additionally, the OBD II standard defined the functional range and interface of a standardized tool (OBD Scan Tool) which is able to communicate with the vehicle's fault memory and e.g. display the stored fault codes. Thanks to also standardized fault codes this also works across vehicle makes and models.

#### 2.2 Situation in Europe / EOBD

Europe did not have legislation requiring standardized OBD up until the 2000s, however the introduction of increasingly complex microcomputer systems in vehicles necessitated diagnostic functions e.g. for end-of-line programming and in-shop diagnostics. Some of the technologies developed for this purpose (K-Line, KWP2000, and CAN) were then integrated into legislated OBD. Some vehicles, which were also sold on the North American market, (mainly from big manufacturers), were already OBD II compliant before this was required in Europe. With the introduction of the EURO4 [6] emission regulations, OBD (in the form of EOBD) became mandatory for new vehicles in Europe starting with model year 2001 (gasoline engine) and 2004 (Diesel engine). The underlying technical standards, and thus the supported services and functional range are in all major regards identical to their OBD II counterparts. The remaining differences are mainly to be found in the mandated monitors. For example, the idle speed, fuel tank leakage and secondary air system only have to be monitored under US legislation. [7] With the ratification of newer legislation (Euro 6) the gap will be closed even further (see also 2.3). [8]

#### 2.3 Future developments, WWH and UDS

In an effort to separate the diagnostic application from the transport protocol (e.g. KWP 2000, CAN/ISO-TP) the standard ISO 14229 - Unified Diagnostic Services (UDS) was created. It works partially similar to the OBD II diagnostic services, albeit with a much bigger functional range. [9] Nevertheless, it has not yet superseded the legacy protocols in OBD since legislative regulations still require their support.

In contrast to OBD, UDS is session-based. This means that the UDS server (meaning the ECU) has multiple sessions (states), which offer different services. While the default session has to just support session control, ECU reset and fault memory access, other sessions allow direct access to ECU memory and parameters, programming the ECU's flash memory and even establishing an encrypted session for security related tasks.

Another effort currently underway would be the World Wide Harmonized (WWH) – OBD. It focuses on the separation of regulations regarding OBD from the specific emission regulations. The type of diagnostics performed and the diagnostic interface would be regulated by WWH-OBD while the emission thresholds would be defined by local legislation. The standard ISO 27145 has been created for this purpose. The technology used will be UDS over CAN and other interfaces (e.g. Ethernet), incorporating the existing definitions for fault codes and data items SAE J1979-DA and SAE J2012-DA to support a smooth transfer from existing standards. [5]

#### 2.4 OBD II standards

#### 2.4.1 Applicable standards

The function and functionality of OBD II is completely covered by several ISO standards, most of which are based on SAE standards. Since OBD II supports multiple physical media (K-Line, PWM, CAN) the standards describing them have to be considered as well. This thesis only covers diagnostics via CAN, so the relevant standards are:

- ISO 11898 Controller Area Network
- ISO 15031 Communication between Vehicle and external equipment for emissions-related diagnostics

- ISO 15765 Diagnostics on Controller Area Network (CAN)
- SAE J1979-DA Digital Annex of E/E Diagnostic Test Modes (PID definitions)
- SAE J2012-DA Diagnostic Trouble Code Definitions

Figure 2-1 shows the relationship between the different standards and also their position in the OSI model.



Figure 2-1: OBD II standards and OSI Layers<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Modified from [22], Figure 2

#### 2.4.2 OBD II Connector

ISO 15031-3 specifies the mechanical and electrical characteristics of a common, manufacturer-independent vehicle diagnostics connector with the intention of enabling the owner, garages and authorities to access any vehicle's diagnostic systems with a standardized device. Almost all commercially available testers feature the counterpart as their standard connector, sometimes with adapters for non-standard connectors (e.g. certain BMW and Mercedes models predating legislation that mandates the standard connector)

Pin	Function
1	Discretionary <sup>3</sup>
2	Bus positive line of SAE J1850 <sup>4</sup>
3	Discretionary <sup>3</sup>
4	Chassis ground
5	Signal ground
6	CAN_H line of ISO 15765-4 <sup>4</sup>
7	K line according to ISO 9141-2 and ISO 14230-4 <sup>4</sup>
8	Discretionary <sup>3</sup>
9	Discretionary <sup>3</sup>
10	Bus negative line of SAE J1850 b
11	Discretionary <sup>3</sup>
12	Discretionary <sup>3</sup>
13	Discretionary <sup>3</sup>
14	CAN_L line of ISO 15765-4 <sup>4</sup>
15	L line according to ISO 9141-2 and ISO 14230-4 <sup>4</sup>
16	Permanent positive voltage

Table 2-1: OBD connector pinout
---------------------------------



Figure 2-2: OBD connector schematic (female, facing front)<sup>5</sup>



Figure 2-3: OBD connector in vehicle

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Assignment to this pin is left at the discretion of the vehicle manufacturer

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> This line may have an alternate assignment besides the diagnostic function

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Own artwork, based on [24]

Since pin 16 supplies 12 Volts from the car's battery, testers with moderate power requirements<sup>6</sup> supply themselves off the OBD connection and do not need a dedicated power source.

#### 2.4.3 CAN Communication

As mentioned above, the implementation described in this thesis uses CAN as the physical medium for diagnostic information which is covered in ISO 15765. There the following properties are mandated for a diagnostic CAN interface:

- The standard baud rates for diagnostic services via CAN are 250 and 500kBit/s.<sup>7</sup>
- The external tester shall be an unterminated node on the bus.
- The CAN message address format can either be 11-bit (standard) or 29-bit (extended)<sup>7</sup>

#### 2.4.4 The generic tester

Partly because of the confusion caused by the manufacturer-specific error readout methods during the OBD I era of emission regulations, OBD II regulations define a generic tester, which has to support all of the public (regulated) OBD services, as well as all the regulated communication protocols. This, on one hand, assures the user of such a device, that the tester will work on any (compliant) vehicle, that it will not damage the vehicle and that the readouts will be correct. On the other hand, it relieves the developers of the vehicle's diagnostic systems of the need to design and test their implementation against multiple, differently specified, systems. [10]

Since the OBD II implementation on the RPEMS should have the best possible compatibility, it is assumed that the connected tester does not exceed the functionality described in [10].

As mentioned above, the generic tester must support all the OBD II standard services – from the tester's perspective this means the following minimum functionality: [10]

- Continuously obtaining diagnostic trouble codes (DTCs) from the vehicle, displaying either its code, the related descriptive text (specified in SAE J2012-DA), or both to the user
- Displaying the current values of monitored data (from the data items defined in SAE J1979-DA) in the required format (e.g. value + unit)
- Displaying the data items in a freeze frame in the SAE J1979-DA specified format
- Results from monitors and tests as described in SAE J1939

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> ISO15031-3 mandates that the 12V supply should be able to source at least 4A, meaning that the test equipment may draw at least 48W of power.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The actual speed and address format is determined by the tester during the initialization sequence, only one combination needs to be supported

- Clearing the DTC, freeze frame and diagnostic test status data and having the user confirm this operation.
- Displaying OBD status information such as readiness tests and MIL status

#### 2.4.5 Manufacturer-specific extensions to OBD II

Since the OBD II service is mandated on all new vehicles anyway, lots of OEMs have decided to extend the OBD II services with their own diagnostic services. This is usually done by defining non-standard PIDs for the standard services (Live Data, Freeze Frame), custom DTCs or even additional services like component tests. Some of these manufacturer-specific extensions could possibly add interesting features to the implementation but since they are only supported by very few and specialized testers and the specifications are hard to come by and/or very expensive their inclusion was deemed not useful.

#### 2.4.6 ISO 15765-2 Transport Protocol (ISO-TP)

ISO 15765-2 covers the Network Layer (OSI Model Layer 3) services used in diagnostics via CAN. It describes a network layer protocol for data exchange between nodes on a CAN network and enables them transmit data in excess of the eight bytes offered by ISO 11898 CAN by segmenting the data if needed:



Figure 2-4: ISO-TP single and multiple frame communication<sup>8</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Taken from [25]

As apparent from Figure 2-4, multiple frame types exist. A "frame" in this context is a single CAN message, which encodes the frame type in its first byte.

Frame Type	Type Code	Description
Single	0	This frame type contains the complete payload of the transmission (up to seven Bytes)
First	1	First frame of a multi-frame segmented transmission (more than seven bytes). Contains the complete payload size along with the first bytes of the data.
Consecutive	2	Contains subsequent data of a multi-frame transmission
Flow Con- trol	3	Response of the receiver to a "First Frame". It contains the parame- ters for the transmission of the subsequent frames.
<reserved></reserved>	415	<reserved></reserved>

Table 2-2: ISO-TP frame types

Tahla	2-2.	Ιςυ-Τδ	frame	structure
Table	2-3:	130-11	II alle	suucuie

Bit offset <sup>9</sup>		03	.3 47 815		1663
Single	0	Size	Data	Data	Data
First	1		Size		Data
Consecutive	2	Ι	ndex	Data	Data
Flow	3	FC Flag	Block Size	Separation Time	Data

The "Data" fields in Table 2-3 denote actual "payload" data. Single and consecutive frames can carry up to seven bytes of data, first frames up to six.

The "Size" field encodes the number of used data bytes (0-7) in single frames, since they always have a DLC (size) of eight. The unused bytes are padded, usually with  $00_{\rm H}$  or  $55_{\rm H}$ . Since the type code of the single frame is zero, the complete first byte is identical to <size> and the frame format can also be interpreted as a simple length-data type encoding.

In a first frame the "size" parameter is allowed to be as high as 4095, its full (unsigned) range, and denotes the net transmission size, meaning only payload data bytes. This tells the receiver how many frames it has to expect and how big a buffer it needs to allocate. Consecutive frames encode an index field into the first byte which can take values between 0 and 15.

The index starts at zero and increments with each frame rolling over to 0 after 15. This allows the receiver to detect missing (dropped, lost) frames in a transmission. At the start of a transmission, the first frame is considered the  $0^{th}$  frame and the first consecutive frame will have the index 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Motorola format, MSB first

The FC flag encodes a general response (Table 2-4), indicating if the receiver is at all (due to software or hardware limitations) able to accept a transmission of the size indicated in the First Frame.

FC value	Name	Description
0	Clear to send	Indicates that the receiver is ready for reception
1	Wait	Indicates to the transmitter that the receiver is not yet ready for reception. The receiver then waits for another F/C frame.
2	Overflow/abort	Indicates that the receiver has to abort the transmission

Table 2-4: Flow Control Flag values

The "Block Size" (BS) field tells the transmitter how many consecutive frames the receiver will accept before issuing another flow control frame. This allows receivers to split transmissions bigger than their receive buffer size into multiple parts. A value of zero means that all remaining frames can be sent at once.

The "separation time" (ST) (Table 2-5) tells the transmitter how long it has to wait between frames to allow the receiver to e.g. run its CAN stacks interrupt code.

Table 2-5: Separation Time encoding

ST	Description
0127	separation time in milliseconds (ms)
$F1_{H}$ $F9_{H}$	100900 microseconds (μs) in 100 μs steps

#### 2.4.7 The OBD II standard services in detail

The services described in ISO 15031-4 are implemented in 10 "services" or "modes" with an assigned service ID (SID) ranging from  $01_{\rm H}$  to  $0A_{\rm H}$ .

Generally speaking, the services all work in the same way: the tester sends a request and receives an answer encoded according to ISO 15765-2 from one or more ECUs.

To enable the tester to query either all of the available ECUs or a specific unit, the system of CAN Message IDs laid out in Table 2-6 is used.

Sender	Receiver	CAN Msg. ID	Description
Tester	All ECUs	$07 \mathrm{DF}_{\mathrm{H}}$	Functional Addressing – all ECUs listen to this ID
Tester	Specific ECU	07E0 <sub>H</sub> 07E7 <sub>H</sub>	Physical Addressing – Each ECU listens to a different ID to address it specifically
ECU	Tester	07E8 <sub>H</sub> 07EF <sub>H</sub>	ECU Reply Address – This is the ECU's physical address incremented by $08_{\rm H}$

Table 2-6: Physical and Functional Addressing

The protocol used on the application layer is the KWP2000 (Key Word Protocol 2000) diagnostic protocol, which is designed so that all communication is initiated by the tester (Figure 2-5). The tester is therefore referred to as the "client" and the ECU as the "server". Communication is started by the tester's diagnostic application sending a message containing the diagnostic request to ECU over the network. The application layer informs the diagnostic application on the ECU of the request (indication). The ECU's response is then sent back over the network where the tester's application layer transmits the response to the tester application (confirm).



Figure 2-5: KWP2000 communication<sup>10</sup>

Services of the application layer consist of the following parts (Figure 2-6):

- Address information AI
- Service identifier SID
- Parameters, depending on the specific service.



Figure 2-6: KWP2000 application layer message<sup>10</sup>

Address information is encoded in the message header (CAN ID), the service identifier (SID) is transmitted in the first byte of the user data<sup>11</sup>, followed by the parameters. Depending whether the response is positive or negative, the response user data starts either with (SID +  $40_{\rm H}$ ) or a standard defined error ID (see section Service  $04_{\rm H}$  below).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Translated from [9]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> See ISO 15765-2 Transport Protocol (ISO-TP) (2.4.6), also referred to as "payload"

The following sections will detail the services offered by OBD II over CAN, with the first section, Service  $01_{\rm H}$ , covering many of the fundamentals.

#### Service 01<sub>H</sub>

Service 1 provides "live" information. This can be current data from sensors or other sources, status information about the OBD system and basic capability information. All the available information is indexed by parameter IDs (PIDs), which are defined in SAE J1979-DA and referenced by ISO 15031-5.

Byte#	Field	Example	Comment
1	SID	01 <sub>H</sub>	live data
2	PID	0C <sub>H</sub>	engine speed

Table 2-7: Service 1 Request

Table 2-7 shows the layout of a request frame. Since the request is only 2 bytes long (SID, PID), the single frame format is sufficient. The example request is for the engine speed (rpm), which has the PID  $0C_{H}$  as defined in SAE J1939-DA.

The reply frame shown in Table 2-8 has a similar structure, mirroring the SID and PID from the request. This theoretically allows a (simpler and more robust) stateless software design in the tester, as request and response do not need to be correlated. Considering ISO 15765-4 defines that ECUs shall not respond to unsupported requests (i.e. non-implemented services, unsupported PIDs), this also makes the protocol more robust against timing glitches (e.g. responses arriving late).

Byte#	Field	example	comment
1	SID + 40 <sub>H</sub>	41 <sub>H</sub>	live data reply
2	PID	0C <sub>H</sub>	engine speed
3	PID Data	35 <sub>н</sub>	3450 rpm
4	I ID Data	E8 <sub>H</sub>	5450 i pili

Table 2-8: Service 1 Response

Since the engine speed is encoded in a 16-bit variable, the total transmission (PID, SID, data) is just 4 Bytes and can be transmitted in a single frame. The size and encoding differs depending on the PID and can, for later additions to the standard (PID >  $65_{\rm H}$ ) exceed the capacity of a single frame. SAE J1939-DA defines the encoding of PID  $0C_{\rm H}$  as *speed* =  $((A \cdot 256) + B)/4$ , effectively meaning that the value is encoded as unsigned 16-bit integer with a quantization of 0.25 rpm/bit. In this case it would be  $35E8_{\rm H}/4 = 13800_{\rm D}/4 = 3450$  rpm.

To determine which PIDs a certain ECU supports, the PIDs  $00_{H}$ ,  $20_{H}$ ,  $40_{H}$ ... each return a 32-bit ( $32_{D} = 20_{H}$ ) bit field, which encodes the support for the 32 PIDs following them. So

PID  $00_{\text{H}}$  contains this information for PID  $01_{\text{H}}$  to  $20_{\text{H}}$ , PID  $20_{\text{H}}$  for PID  $21_{\text{H}}$  to  $40_{\text{H}}$  and so on. Usually the tester will query  $00_{\text{H}}$  first, then, if supported,  $20_{\text{H}}$  and so forth. PID  $02_{\text{H}}$  is not supported in service 1.

Byte#	Field	example	comment
1	SID + 40 <sub>H</sub>	41 <sub>H</sub>	live data reply
2	PID	00 <sub>H</sub>	Supported PIDs in range $01_{H}$ $20_{H}$
3		2C <sub>H</sub>	2C10 0000 <sub>H</sub> =
4	PID Data	$10_{H}$	$1010\;1100\;0001\;0000\;0000\;0000\;0000\;000$
5	I ID Data	00 <sub>H</sub>	meaning that
6		00 <sub>H</sub>	PIDs $01_{\text{H}}$ , $03_{\text{H}}$ , $05_{\text{H}}$ , $06_{\text{H}}$ , $0C_{\text{H}}$ are supported

Table 2-9: Service 1 Supported PIDs Response

Since, for most services, the tester is required to "know" the supported PIDs it will send a service  $01_H$  request for PID  $00_H$  using functional addressing. All ECUs supporting service  $01_H$  will respond telling the tester not only the supported PIDs but also capacitating it to enumerate the available ECUs.

#### Service 02<sub>H</sub>

Service  $02_H$  is mostly identical to service  $01_H$ , with the difference that the data included is not "live" but a snapshot ("freeze frame") done at a certain point in the past. This point is usually when a malfunction is detected and an error ("DTC") is stored in the fault memory. Service  $02_H$  will also provide the error code of the fault that caused the storing of the freeze frame (PID  $02_H$ , which is thus not supported in service 1).

OBD II implementations are only required to store one freeze frame, but it is allowed to store and retrieve up to 255. Since it is not required, not all testers support the viewing of additional freeze frames.

Since the ECU may not take a snapshot of all PIDs supported in service  $01_{H}$ , it is required that the tester queries at least PID  $00_{H}$  to determine the available PIDs in the Freeze Frame.

The layout of the service  $02_H$  request and response frame is almost identical to service  $01_H$ , except that in addition to PID and SID a Freeze Frame number (FFID) has to be supplied.

Byte#	Field	example	comment
1	SID	02 <sub>H</sub>	Freeze Frame Data
2	PID	0C <sub>H</sub>	engine speed
3	FFID	00 <sub>H</sub>	Freeze Frame #0

Table 2-10: Service 2 Request

Byte#	Field	example	comment
1	$SID + 40_{H}$	42 <sub>H</sub>	Freeze Frame Reply
2	PID	0C <sub>H</sub>	engine speed
3	FFID	$00_{\rm H}$	Freeze Frame #0
4	PID Data	35 <sub>н</sub>	3450 rpm
5		E8 <sub>H</sub>	51501011

Table 2-11: Service 2 Response

The valid range for FFID, which corresponds to the number of available Freeze Frames minus one, cannot be queried. However, if PID  $02_{\rm H}$  (responsible DTC) is queried and the reported value is  $0000_{\rm H}$  the data reported for this FFID is not valid (no Freeze Frame with this ID is available).

#### Service 03<sub>H</sub>

Service  $03_H$  gives access to stored fault codes (diagnostic trouble codes, DTCs). Their number can be determined by querying service  $01_H$ , PID  $01_H$  - a maximum of 127 is supported. The fault codes have a standardized format and meaning, given in SAE J2012-DA and ISO 15031-6. SAE J2012-DA also specifies certain fault code ranges as "manufacturer specific"; codes from this range can only be decoded with the knowledge of vehicle make & model and the manufacturer's code tables.



Figure 2-7: DTC Encoding

DTCs can only be received "in bulk"; access to individual codes is not possible. Since each DTC is two bytes in size, a maximum of two DTCs can be encoded in an ISO 15765-2 single frame transmission. Therefore service  $03_{\rm H}$  replies are usually segmented transmissions.

Byte#	Field	example	comment
1	SID	03 <sub>H</sub>	Stored DTCs

Byte#	Field	example	comment
1	SID + 40 <sub>H</sub>	43 <sub>H</sub>	Stored DTCs Reply
2	numDTC	0E <sub>H</sub>	number of stored DTCs (14)
3	DTC 1	01 <sub>H</sub>	P0123
4	DICI	23 <sub>H</sub>	10125

#### Table 2-13: Service 3 Response

			·
28	DTC14	03 <sub>H</sub>	P0328
29	DICIT	28 <sub>H</sub>	10320

#### Service 04<sub>H</sub>

Service  $04_H$  is used to clear the ECU's fault memory. This includes:

- MIL status
- DTCs and number of stored DTCs
- Pending DTCs
- Freeze frame data
- Sensor test data
- Status and results of tests and monitors
- Time and distance counters

Service  $04_H$  only provides the possibility for a complete wipe of the fault memory, deleting specific DTCs or Freeze Frames is not possible. The ECU will respond with a negative response message if the memory cannot be cleared for whatever reason.

Table 2-14: Service 4 request

Byte#	Field	Example	Comment
1	SID	$04_{\rm H}$	Clear fault memory

Table	2-15:	Service	4 response
-------	-------	---------	------------

Byte#	Field	Example	Comment
1	SID + 40 <sub>H</sub>	44 <sub>H</sub>	Clear fault memory response

A negative response frame starts with the NR field, the Negative Response identifier, which is always  $7F_{H}$ . It is followed by the SID of the service that provoked the negative response and a Negative Response Code (NRCode). When using ISO 15035 CAN as diagnostic connection the only three allowed negative response codes are:

- 21<sub>H</sub> Busy Repeat Request The ECU cannot process the request due to load
- $22_{\text{H}}$  Conditions not correct The requested data is not available under the current circumstances
- 78<sub>H</sub> Request Received Response Pending The request is supported but the data is not immediately available.

Byte#	Field	Example	Comment
1	NR	7F <sub>H</sub>	Negative Response
2	SID	04 <sub>H</sub>	Clear Fault Memory
3	NRCode	22 <sub>H</sub>	Conditions not Correct

Table 2-16: Service 4 negative response

A response code of  $22_{\rm H}$  or  $21_{\rm H}$  aborts the transmission, while  $78_{\rm H}$  means that the response will be delayed by a maximum of 5000ms. The delay can be extended by re-sending the negative response frame.

#### Service 05<sub>H</sub>

Service  $05_{H}$  provides oxygen sensor test data and is unavailable on implementations which use the CAN protocol. In these cases it is superseded by service  $06_{H}$ .

#### Service 06<sub>H</sub>

Service 6 provides test data from systems that are not /cannot be continuously monitored, like the catalytic converter.

The request which monitor IDs are supported by a system is similar to the corresponding request in service  $01_{\text{H}}$ . When requesting supported monitor IDs, up to six ranges can be requested at once, while only one monitor ID is allowed in a regular request.

Table 2-17: Service 6 request

Byte#	Field	Example	Comment
1	SID	06 <sub>H</sub>	Monitor Result
2	OBDMID	$3D_{\rm H}$	Purge Flow Monitor

The reply will include one or more monitor test results, depending on which tests are supported for a given monitor. Each test record contains the monitor and test ID (OBDMID, S/MDTID) followed by Information about the scaling and unit of the test value as per SAE J1979-DA. The last six bytes are composed from the actual test result value, and the associated upper and lower limit, each encoded in 16-bit values. Since the amount of data

requires a segmented transmission, the total number of tests can be derived from the message length parameter in the first frame of the transmission. The transmission of the actual value of a test result along with the limits instead of a pass/fail indicator is necessary since the pass/fail decision depends on all of the tests (one or more might be required/sufficient). If a test has not been completed at the time of the request, the fields MINTL, MAXTL and TV read zero.

Byte	Field		Example	Comment		
1	SID + 40 <sub>H</sub>		SID + 40 <sub>H</sub>		46 <sub>H</sub>	Monitor result reply
2		OBDMID	3D <sub>H</sub>	Purge flow monitor		
3		TID	01 <sub>H</sub>	Test ID		
4	12	UASID	0A <sub>H</sub>	Unit and scaling ID (0.122mV/bit)		
5	<b>DBDMIDREC</b> <sup>12</sup>	TV	79 <sub>H</sub>	Test value (7934 <sub>H</sub> = 3.785V)		
6	11D1	ĨV	34 <sub>H</sub>	1  est value  (7.54  H - 5.765  V)		
7	BDN	MINTL	59 <sub>н</sub>	min. test limit (59A6 $_{\rm H}$ = 2.800V)		
8	0		Абн	$\operatorname{Him} \left( \operatorname{USC} \operatorname{Him} \left( \operatorname{USC} \operatorname{H} \right) - 2.000 \right)$		
9		MAXTL	89	max. test limit ( $89AE_{H} = 4.300V$ )		
10			AE	$\max \left( 0 \right) = 1.5000$		

Table 2-18: Service 6 Response

#### Service 07<sub>H</sub>

Service  $07_{\rm H}$  displays "pending" faults. Emission legislation allows certain faults to be "debounced" or "confirmed", which means, that they may occur multiple times under certain circumstances before they are confirmed and an entry is generated in the vehicle's fault memory (and, depending on the fault, the MIL is lit). Faults that have occurred but not yet passed this threshold are accessible via this service.

The communication is identical to service  $03_{H}$ , except that a SID of  $07_{H}$  is used.

#### Service 08<sub>H</sub>

Service  $08_{\rm H}$  allows the connected tester to control certain on-board systems or tests, for example actuator tests. As of 10/2011 only two tests were defined in SAE J1979-DA: the "Evaporative System Leak Test" (not mandated in Europe) and a "Diesel Particulate Filter Regeneration Request".

The request which test IDs are supported by a system is similar to the corresponding request in service  $01_{\text{H}}$ .

Both the test request and response frames consist of the SID and the test ID (TID) and can contain up to 5 bytes of additional data (e.g. for configuring the test).

 $<sup>^{12}</sup>$  A service 6 response may contain more than one OBDMIDREC, depending on how many tests (TIDs) are associated with the OBDMID.

Byte	Field	Example	Comment
1	SID	08 <sub>H</sub>	Request device control
2	TID	02 <sub>H</sub>	Particulate filter regeneration

Table 2-19: Service 8 request

#### Table 2-20: Service 8 Response

Byte	Field	Example	Comment
1	SID + 40 <sub>H</sub>	48 <sub>H</sub>	Request device control reply
2	TID	02н	Particulate filter regeneration

#### Service 09<sub>H</sub>

Service 9 provides general vehicle information (referred to as "infotypes"), like the VIN (Vehicle Identification Number), Calibration ID and ECU name.

The request which infotype IDs are supported by a system is similar to the corresponding request in service  $01_{\rm H}$ .

The vehicle information request frame just contains two data bytes: the SID and the infotype ID (INFTYP). The response message may contain more than one instance of the requested infotype (e.g. multiple VINs), therefore the third byte contains the number of infotype instances in the transmission. Since each infotype has a fixed size, the infotype data is padded with  $00_{\rm H}$  filler bytes.

Table 2-21: Service 9 Request

Byte	Field	Example	Comment
1	SID	09 <sub>H</sub>	Request vehicle information
2	INFTYP	0A <sub>H</sub>	ECU name

Table 2-22: Service 9 Response

Byte	Field	Example	Comment
1	$SID + 40_{H}$	49 <sub>H</sub>	Vehicle information reply
2	INFTYP	0A <sub>H</sub>	ECU Name
3	NODI	01 <sub>H</sub>	Number of Data Items (1)
4	data 1	45 <sub>H</sub>	'Ε'
5	data 2	43 <sub>H</sub>	ʻC'
6	data 3	4D <sub>H</sub>	'M'
7	data 4	31 <sub>H</sub>	'1'
8	data 5	2D <sub>H</sub>	(_(
9	data 6	41 <sub>H</sub>	'A'
10	data 7	56 <sub>H</sub>	'V'

Byte	Field	Example	Comment
11	data 8	4C <sub>H</sub>	۲'
12	data 9	20 <sub>H</sub>	( (
13	data 10	52 <sub>H</sub>	'R'
14	data 11	50 <sub>H</sub>	'P'
15	data 12	45 <sub>H</sub>	'Ε'
16	data 13	4D <sub>H</sub>	'M'
17	data 14	53 <sub>H</sub>	'S'
18	data 15	00 <sub>H</sub>	
19	data 16	00 <sub>H</sub>	
20	data 17	00 <sub>H</sub>	Filler bytes
21	data 18	00н	Finer Dytes
22	data 19	00 <sub>H</sub>	
23	data 20	00н	

#### Service 0A<sub>H</sub>

Service 10 stores "permanent" DTCs. A DTC usually gets the "permanent" status when it is confirmed (debounced) and requires the MIL to be lit. It cannot be deleted by service  $04_{\rm H}$  but is automatically removed when the underlying fault is not detected any more (the removal is debounced, too)

The communication is identical to service  $03_{H}$ , except for the SID of  $0A_{H}$ .

#### 2.5 The CAN Bus

Ever since the introduction of microprocessor-controlled systems in vehicles it was necessary to exchange data between these systems. While the first solutions to this problem consisted mainly of simple switched lines or analog signals, more complex demands for off-board diagnostics (e.g. in the workshop) had OEMs looking for manufacturerindependent solutions for digital data communication.

BOSCH, as one of the leading European manufacturers of electronic control units at the time, introduced a simple (ISO9141, similar to RS232C/V.24) serial interface.

As the demands on in-car-interconnects rose, BOSCH, together with European OEMs introduced the CAN Bus, which was later standardized as ISO 11898.

The defining properties of CAN as a bus system are:

- Differential signaling
- Speed up to 1 Mbit/s
- Message-oriented event-triggered protocol
- Linear topology
- Multimaster architecture using CSMA/CR

Looking at the OSI Model (ISO 7498-1) for communication systems, ISO 11898 defines layer 1 (Physical Layer) and layer 2 (Data Link Layer). Layers 3-7 are not defined in ISO 11898 and are dependent on the specific application.



Figure 2-8: ISO 11898 in the OSI model<sup>13</sup>

The physical medium for CAN is usually a single twisted pair of wire, optionally shielded, one wire being the "CAN High" and the other one the "CAN Low" line. Both shielded and unshielded twisted pair lines are widely used and portray no significant cost impact in CAN Applications.

Data is transmitted by means of differential signaling, meaning the data is encoded in the voltage difference between the two wires. This gives the CAN bus excellent immunity against EMI since interference usually shifts the voltage levels in both conductors of twisted pair wiring (common mode interference) and leaves the differential voltage unaffected.



Figure 2-9: Schematic of a typical CAN transceiver<sup>14</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Redrawn according to [26],[27]

<sup>14</sup> Taken from [28]

Figure 2-9 shows the block diagram of a typical High-Speed CAN Transceiver, the NXP/Philips PCA82C251. It is clearly visible how both transmitter and receiver operate on the same lines, forcing half-duplex operation. This means that, while any node can both receive and transmit, it can only do one at a time. The RXD and TXD lines are the digital serial interface to the microcontroller and usually operate on TTL voltage levels.

The differential side of the CAN Transceiver has two defined states – dominant and recessive. These states are defined by the voltage levels shown in Table 2-23 and Figure 2-10 below.

	recessive			D	ominan	t
	min.	typ.	max.	min.	typ.	max.
V <sub>CANH</sub> <sup>15</sup>		2,5V	7,0V		3,5V	7,0V
V <sub>CANL</sub> <sup>15</sup>	-2,0V	2,5V		-2,0V	1,5V	
$V_{\rm Diff}$	-0,12V	0V	<i>0,012V</i>	1,2V	2,0V	3,0V

Table 2-23: CAN Bus signal levels



Figure 2-10: Typical CAN Bus signal levels

The recessive state, as the name suggests, is the state of the bus when all transmitters are set passive. Since the transmitter can only push or pull each line in one "direction" (CANL towards GND, CANH towards  $V_{CC}$ ), a correctly wired CAN network cannot have transmitters working against each other, leading to possible damage. Also, monitoring the lines during a transmission allows the transceiver to detect collisions when the line is in its dominant state despite the transceivers own transmitter being passive.

Transmissions on the CAN bus are datagrams by the name of "frames"; a typical CAN frame is shown below. Note, that logic one is the recessive state, while logic zero is the dominant state. Also, the number of bits represents the net length. The physical transmission may contain additional stuff bits (see Table 2-24).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> V<sub>CANL</sub>, V<sub>CANL</sub> are common-mode voltages, i.e. measured towards the ground of the respective can node.



Figure 2-11: Composition of a CAN frame<sup>16</sup>

A transmitter willing to transmit a CAN frame first has to wait for the bus to become recessive for the "Inter Frame Space" (IFS) time, which is equivalent to three bit times<sup>17</sup>. Then it pulls the bus into the dominant state, indicating "Start of Frame" (SOF).

This is followed by eleven bits of message identifier (ID); during this time period the collision resolution is performed: If the transmitting node detects a "zero" (dominant state) when transmitting a "one" (recessive state) it stops the transmission by switching to the recessive state and waits for the next inter frame space to retry. This process is called "arbitration" and integrates a collision resolution with a prioritization mechanism (Carrier Sense Multiple Access / Collision Resolution – CSMA/CR). Since the logical zero is dominant and "wins" the arbitration, CAN frames with lower ID therefore have higher priority on the bus system meaning a higher chance of getting transmitted as the next frame.



Figure 2-12: CAN arbitration example

The next bit after the ID is the "Remote Transmission Bit" (RTR) which indicates that the frame is a "Remote Request Frame". If an extended identifier is used, it becomes the SRR bit, which is also written dominant and is considered during arbitration.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Modified version of [29]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> "bit time" means the time it takes to transmit a single bit and is calculated as 1/<bit rate >

The next bit is the identifier extension bit (IDE); if it is logic one, it indicates that this CAN frame uses a 29-bit identifier (extended) instead of an eleven-bit (standard) one. If this would be the case, the IDE Bit is followed by 18 more identifier bits, a replacement RTR bit and two reserved (dominant) bits. The IDE bit is considered during arbitration. When an eleven-bit identifier is used, the IDE bit is just followed by one reserved (dominant) bit.

The next four bits, which together with RTR and IDE form the "Control Field", indicate the length of the following data section in bytes (DLC). Valid values are 0...8 (Bytes).

The data field consists of the "payload" data (DATA), the actual data that is transmitted by the CAN frame, and is variable in length, depending on the contents of the DLC field.

The data field is followed by 15 bits of CRC data which uses all the data from SOF to DATA as input.

A "CRC Delimiter" bit is followed by the acknowledge slot/bit (ACK). Any device on the bus that correctly received the frame up to this point will pull the bus in the dominant state and give the transmitter the information that the frame has been received by at least one node.

After the ACK bit and the "ACK Delimiter Bit" seven recessive bits will follow, those indicate the end of the frame (EOF). After the EOF and an IFS the bus nodes may send another SOF, starting the next frame.

To make sure that an ID or data sequence consisting of seven consecutive recessive bits falsely triggers EOF detection, a mechanism called "bit stuffing" is applied to all fields except ACK, EOF and the CRC delimiter.

It works by introducing ("stuffing") an extra bit of inverse polarity after the fifth bit of a bitstream containing more than five bits of the same polarity, regardless of the polarity of the next bit in the stream. This can sometimes lead to additional stuffing to be necessary, as demonstrated in example 2 below.

	Example 1	Example 2		
Bitstream	01011111010	10100000111101		
Stuffed bitstream	01011111 <u>0</u> 010	10100000 <u>1</u> 1111 <u>0</u> 01		
Note: 0 = dominant, 1= recessive. Stuff bits are <u>underlined</u>				

Table 2-24 : Bit stuffing example

Besides the standard CAN frame, three "special" frames are specified in the CAN standard:

- Remote Request Frame
- Error Frame
- Overload Frame

A "Remote Request Frame" is a standard frame, in which the RTR bit is set (0) and the "Data Field" is missing. It indicates to (requests from) another CAN node in the network to send a standard frame with the indicated ID.

The error frame is used to notify other nodes in the network that a transmission error has occurred. There are multiple mechanisms to detect errors, e.g. incorrect bit stuffing and incorrect CRC. If an active network node detects an error, it pulls the bus to the dominant state for six bit times, starting with the next bit (active error frame). This is detected by the other nodes on the network which respond themselves by doing the same. Afterwards the bus is left at the recessive state for eight bits (error frame delimiter) and the communication restarts with an IFS. Error passive nodes (see below) may only send passive error frames, which start with six recessive bits so that they can mark their own transmission as erroneous but cannot disturb the transmission of other nodes. This concept assures that defects causing transmission errors are confined to the affected node so that it does not bring down the whole bus.

The overload frame is identical to the (active) error frame; with the exception that the start of the overload frame is fixed after the last bit of the EOF (i.e. it replaces/overwrites the IFS)

To prevent a faulty node from blocking the bus by continuously transmitting active error frames, each node has to implement an error handling and mitigation system.

It is implemented as a state machine consisting of three states, visualized in Figure 2-13:

- Error active (initial state, TX and RX active)
- Error passive (TX and RX active, must not transmit active error frames)
- Bus Off (disconnected from bus)



\*) some implementations have an automatic transition back to this state as specified in the original BOSCH standard. The ISO implementation does not allow this.

Figure 2-13: CAN error states

The transition between these states is controlled by the transmit (TEC) and receive (REC) error counters, which are incremented or decremented according to the following rules:

- Frame received correctly •
- decrement REC by 1 increment REC by 1
- Bit error while sending Error Flag •
- Frame sent correctly •
- Transmit fault •

Receive fault

•

- increment REC by 8 decrement TEC by 1
- increment TEC by 8
## **Chapter 3**

# Concepts

As stated in chapter 1, the goal of this thesis is to integrate diagnostic capabilities into the RPEMS' software package. Naturally, diagnostics require not only an ECU that offers the corresponding capabilities but also an external unit that performs the diagnostics, what makes it part of the overall diagnostics concept.

Starting with the scenario currently in use, three additional concepts have been developed and compared on the basis of per-unit deployment cost, maintenance effort and ease-ofuse for the person performing diagnostics.

### 3.1 Present scenario

Diagnostics are generally performed by monitoring ECU-internal values using the PCbased software ETAS INCA and a CAN CCP connection.

To interpret the readings, detailed knowledge of the software modules used on the ECU is needed. Fault events can only be registered / recorded while the PC is connected. Each additional unit that should have diagnostic abilities requires significant investment in Hardware and Software Licenses.



Figure 3-1: current diagnostic scenario

Hardware Cost (per unit): high (ETAS CAN card) Software Cost (per unit): high (ETAS INCA license) Development effort: none Maintenance effort: none

Ease of use: low (expert knowledge required for both tools and data analysis)

#### 3.2 External data logger

Each RPEMS could be paired with an external data logger which records CAN data provided by the ECU. A PC could then be used to download the data from either the logger or the storage medium it uses (e.g. SD Card) and analyze the data.

The modifications to the RPEMS software would at least include writing the variables and values intended for logging on the CAN bus. To be human-readable, in most cases a conversion would need to be performed.

As an example of this class of device the Kvaser Memorator HS/HS and the Vector GL1000. Both devices are stand-alone loggers need nothing more than a CAN connection and power. Upon startup, they capture the CAN traffic and save it to an SD Card. Both feature a ring buffer for continuous long-time recording and configurable event-triggered recording. Aside from their respective native formats, both loggers (and most competing products) support standard formats such as CSV<sup>18</sup>. [11], [12] This allows the data to be read by any PC with a card reading device and spreadsheet software such as Excel (or even a text editor). The interpretation of the data however, is still up to the user. Depending on the capabilities of the logger, the data will already be the individual data items in the correct format or only the raw CAN data, necessitating documentation for conversion.



Figure 3-2: Data Logger System Overview

The hardware cost per unit would be roughly similar to the cost of the ETAS hardware required for INCA – about \$1000. However, no INCA license is needed, instead readily available software can be used, such as a text editor or excel. Another possibility would be less expensive generic data analysis software like GEMS GDA pro (\$200).

Hardware Cost (per unit): high (CAN logger) Software Cost (per unit): low (analysis software) to none Development effort: low (adapt CAN software) Maintenance effort: low (maintain conversion data or logger configuration) Ease of use: medium to low (expert knowledge required for data analysis)

#### 3.3 AVL specific software solution

To-be-designed PC Software could replace the basic functionality of ETAS INCA (display and recording of measurement data) and could also download stored faults from the ECU. This would waive the need for expensive ETAS hardware and licenses for the diagnostics PC.

Since PC software development cannot be done by the RPEMS embedded software developers, it would have to be outsourced to another unit in the company or external contractor. To estimate the cost for this software solution, it is assumed that the project will contain around 10.000 lines of code (LoC), a typical number for small applications. According to [13], the development pace for this type of software can be estimated at roughly 2500 LoC/staff month, leading to a 4 man-month development phase for the initial version

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 18}$  Comma Separated Values, a format for storing tabular data in plain-text form

translating to over \$10000 in labor cost. If the Software ought to contain "expert knowledge", e.g. allowed values and pass/fail thresholds, it has to be continuously adapted to new functionality on the RPEMS, what considering the many and rapidly evolving ECU programs would require significant effort and expenditure.

The hardware cost would be a bit lower than in the current scenario, since many available CAN interfaces are much cheaper than the ETAS interface.

On the ECU side, the amount of changes depends on the architecture of the PC software. If it were to use CCP, only the fault memory would have to be implemented. If the PC software should communicate by a custom protocol, this would have to be implemented, too.



Figure 3-3: Proprietary diagnostic system overview

Hardware Cost (per unit): medium (generic CAN interface) Software Cost (per unit): medium (high development cost spread over few units) Development effort: high (implement fault storage and custom protocol) Maintenance effort: high (maintain ECU and PC side of system) Ease of use: high (software can be made as end-user friendly as necessary)

#### 3.4 OBD II Diagnostics Support

The OBD II Diagnostic Protocol is implemented as a software module on the RPEMS. Stored faults and live measurements can be downloaded/displayed using an OBD II/EOBD compatible tester.

While the initial effort for creating the required ECU software modules is relatively high, it is only a one-time effort. All the maintenance work (adding new error codes etc.) can be done by the ECU software developers; since both the communication and the content is standardized, only one "end" has to be maintained. Compatible devices for data display are commercially available, as well as software testers for many platforms, including mobile devices, at a very low cost (starting at about \$50). Alternatively, most internet-connected devices are able to gain diagnostic abilities in a matter of minutes by means of free software.



Figure 3-4: OBD II diagnostics system overview

Hardware Cost (per unit): low (generic OBD II tester) Software Cost (per unit): none (included in hardware cost) Development effort: high (OBD software module) Maintenance effort: medium-low (maintain OBD software module integration) Ease of use: high (every available scanner works, no specialized knowledge needed)

#### 3.5 Rating matrix

The concepts mentioned in 3.1 - 3.4 are summed up in Table 3-1, with the rating criteria listed for each entry converted tokens, from  $\ominus \ominus$  (worst) to  $\oplus \oplus$  (best).

With a token sum of +2, the OBD implementation gets the highest overall rating, with the low per-unit cost as one decisive factor, user-friendliness being the other one.

Therefore it was decided that the diagnostic solution for the AVL RPEMS should be an OBD II implementation.

	present scenario	external logger	special software	OBD II implementation
SW modifications required	-	add module for CAN ex- port of variables	add modules for persis- tent storage and CAN data export	add modules for persis- tent storage and OBD II communication
Hardware required (per RPEMS unit)	E I AS compatible UAN PC-CAN Interface (e.g. ETAS ES580 PCMCIA Card)	Data logger wiring	CAN – PC Interface	OBD compatible tester / PC-CAN interface
Software required (per RPEMS unit)	ETAS INCA compiled ECU Firmware files (HEX + A2L)	data analysis software / driver software	Custom Analysis Soft- ware	none (hardware tester) or diagnostic software
Documentation re- quired for diagnos- tics	complete ECU firmware documentation	complete ECU firmware documentation	Depending on Software features	none
HW Cost	00	00	$\odot$	Ф
SW Cost	00	⊕/⊙	Ō	$\oplus \oplus$
Development	$\oplus \oplus$	Ð	00	00
Maintenance	$\oplus \oplus$	Ð	00	Θ
Ease of Use	ΘΘ	Ф	$\oplus \oplus$	$\oplus \oplus$

Table 3-1: Concept rating matrix

## **Chapter 4**

# Design

This chapter covers the environment the OBD II software should be integrated into, meaning aspects of the RPEMS hardware, toolchain and existing software that influence the implementation described in Chapter 5.

## 4.1 Specification AVL RPEMS Future

The AVL RPEMS Future is the successor to the RPEMS NG (Next Generation) rapid prototyping ECU and has been developed to fulfil the needs of modern GDI engine concepts.

Its core is a System on Module (SoM) produced by Phytec based upon Infineon's TriCore TC1797 (phyCORE-TC1797), which is mounted on a proprietary motherboard. The motherboard contains the power supply, the required power drivers, signal conditioning, interface components and a number of peripheral devices. The only periphery relevant to this thesis is the ST M95640-W type EEPROM, which is connected to the SoM by SPI, providing 64kBit of nonvolatile memory.

The main specifications of the phyCORE SoM according to [14] are:

- 180MHz MCU clock
- Up to 8Mbyte SRAM
- 64MByte Flash
- 2 x I<sup>2</sup>C controllers
- 2 x SPI controllers
- 2 x RS232 UART with one UART/USB converter
- 4 x high-speed CAN interface
- on-board 3,3 V regulators and reset controller

Of the 10 communication channels listed above, the four CAN interfaces and the USB interface of the USB / RS232 converter on the first UART are routed to the ECU main connector.

## 4.2 Requirements for the OBD II implementation

As presented in Chapter 2, OBD II's primary focus is monitoring emission-related systems, which is not the primary objective here. Instead, the focus lies on more general diagnostic functions, especially:

- Displaying sensor data and other internal values
- Non-volatile incident memory
- Recording boundary conditions to incidents
- Identifying the software/calibration version.

For these reasons the following OBD services were chosen to be implemented:

- Service 01<sub>H</sub> live data
- Service 02<sub>H</sub> freeze frames
- Service 03<sub>H</sub> DTC storage
- Service 04<sub>H</sub> clear DTCs
- Service 09<sub>H</sub> query vehicle information

Since the software package for each RPEMS project is unique (software modules in use, project specific versions of software modules) the OBD software should be as selfcontained as possible with minimal changes to the environment required. Ideally, it should only be necessary to import the OBD package into an ASCET library and assign its processes to the scheduler to make it work.

The Implementation does not have to be fully OBD II compliant, except for the communication with the external test tool as it should support any OBD II compliant tester.

Since cooperative multitasking is employed, it is important that the software components are non-blocking and take up as few CPU time as possible.

#### 4.3 Toolchain

The toolchain used to build the RPEMS software is illustrated in the diagram below:



Figure 4-1: AVL RPEMS toolchain

**DAVE** is short for Digital Application Virtual Engineer and is a code generator for Infineon Microcontrollers provided by Infineon. It is designed to help with the generation of driver and initialization code and is the source of many of the low-level functions inside the RPEMS Firmware.

**ASCET** organizes software projects in "databases". Databases contain a tree structure with all the software modules inside the database as well as "projects". Projects contain several of the software modules inside the database and configuration information that is used to build the project (Figure 4-2).



Figure 4-2: ASCET component hierarchy

ASCET supports several different ways of implementing software. The most basic is to write "raw" C, where no checks additional to those done by the compiler are performed. However, even in "C" mode, the user code is just the function body and part of a larger, generated, file that is compiled. ASCET adds the function headers and variable definitions during code generation to make the implementation compatible with the rest of the environment.

Other options of code generation are block diagrams, state diagrams and ESDL, which is a programming language with a Java-like syntax.

**OSEK** is a European automotive industry standards effort to produce open system interfaces for vehicle electronics. OSEK is an acronym formed from a phrase in German, which translates as "Open Systems and Corresponding Interfaces for Automotive Electronics".

The goals of OSEK are to support portability and reusability of software components.

**RTA/OSEK** is an implementation of a Real Time Operating System based on OSEK standards, namely AUTOSAR OS SC1 and OSEK/VDX OS V2.2.3. The Code that is generated by it is also MISRA-C compliant. Its kernel manages task execution, -switching and -communication and provides optional instrumentation for debugging and timing analysis.

For this project, Version 5.0.1 has been used.

**Tasking** VX for TriCore (Version 3.3r1) is used as the macro assembler, C compiler and linker. It also provides static and runtime libraries to the compiler.

**ETAS INCA** is a calibration tool that allows reading and writing of internal data (variables, maps, characteristic curves) on a connected ECU. The communication with the ECU is carried out via Can using the CCP (CAN Calibration Protocol) standard. Since the protocol works by directly accessing and manipulating the ECU's memory, INCA needs the compiled

firmware (.hex file) and a ASAM2 descriptor file containing type information (.a2l file), which are generated during the compile process.

**The "Flash Tool"** Software is a small PC Software tool to load compiled firmware onto the Flash Memory of the TriCore MCU used in the RPEMS. This is done via a serial connection to the target and is even possible if the firmware currently running on the MCU is non-responsive.

If a suitable firmware supporting CCP is already flashed on the MCU, it is possible to reflash via CAN connection using CCP and INCA on the PC side.

### 4.4 Current Architecture of the RPEMS FUTURE System

#### 4.4.1 Task scheduler

When starting with a fresh ASCET project for the RPEMS target the only functionality present is the one provided by the RTA kernel, the most important of which is the task scheduler.

The configuration of the scheduler is directly possible via a GUI provided in ASCETSs project editor. The editor is used to define tasks for the scheduler and to assign processes to tasks.

Typically, there are four types of tasks present in a project:

- Init tasks
- Alarm tasks
- Interrupt tasks
- Software tasks

The **Init task** is called upon startup and typically contains hardware initialization code. It offers no scheduling options since it is only called once before the actual scheduling starts. Several **Alarm-type tasks** fire periodically at a selectable frequency. Typically there are tasks present with a period of 0.25, 0.50, 1, 10, 50 and 100 milliseconds. The scheduling mode is set to cooperative and the highest-frequency task carries the highest priority. All of the application code processes are assigned to these tasks.

**Hardware interrupts** are handled by Interrupt-type tasks. Each task is assigned an interrupt vector and has a selectable priority. Usually only one process, the interrupt handler, is assigned to an interrupt task although it is possible to have multiple processes called by the interrupt.

The "sync" task is a **software task**, which means it is can be activated by a system call somewhere in the application software. The "sync" name is derived from the fact that it is called synchronous to the revolutions of the combustion engine that is controlled by the RPEMS. This mode of operation is important for cycle-synchronous processes like injection, ignition and knock and misfire detection.

#### 4.4.2 Drivers

Drivers and the Hardware Software Interface / HAL in general are realized as regular software modules. The low-level hardware interface that deals directly with the control registers of the microcontroller is typically comprised of two parts. One part is code generated by Infineon's DAVE, which provides appropriately named wrapper functions for accessing the hardware registers and initialization functions. The second part uses various processes that reference and call these functions, usually an init process and several cyclic worker processes.

On top of the driver software there is a remapper process that connects the variables provided by the low-level driver to application-specific variables performing data conversion if necessary.



Figure 4-3: RPEMS driver structure

As an example, this is (a simplified version of) how an ADC driver would work:

The low-level driver process is called by the ADC interrupt task and copies the ADC conversion result register value into global ADC value variables. A periodically called second process sets the ADC up to capture another sample, therefore setting the sampling frequency.

In a cyclic process the remapper uses the ADC result variables and characteristic curves to calculate a physical value represented by the digitized value, e.g. temperature or throttle position and stores the result in another global variable to make it available for e.g. the load calculation process.

The advantage of this architecture is a high degree of modularization and interchangeability. If the source of a certain signal changes, (different ADC channel or from ADC to CAN) only the remapper(s) have to be adopted, the application software and drivers remain unchanged. This makes the application software relatively hardware-independent increasing its portability. However, this model of complete abstraction is not ideally suited for all applications. In chapter 5.1.1 it is shown how the CAN driver has to be modified alongside with the remapper to provide the functionality needed for the OBD application software.

#### 4.4.3 Data Consistency

With a multitude of processes accessing shared data elements, also known as concurrency [15], the aspect of data consistency has to be considered, especially in interrupt-driven systems, where the execution order cannot be predicted during code creation. A common problem occurring on such systems is that a shared variable is read in multiple locations in the same process, expecting the result to be the same while an interrupting process changed the variable, leading to errant, nondeterministic behavior. This type of situation is known in programming as a "race condition", defined by the following three properties: [16]

- Concurrency at least two control flows are executing in parallel
- Existence of a shared property a shared "race object" is accessed by the concurrent control flows
- Changing of state at least one of the concurrent control flows alters the state of the race object

Hence the name race condition, because two processes "race" for access to the race object, the timing of which determines the outcome of the control flow as illustrated in Figure 4-4.



Figure 4-4: Data becoming inconsistent as result of a race condition

A possible remedy for this situation is, to create working copies for all shared variables at the beginning of a process and use these private copies for all operations, as demonstrated in Figure 4-7.

This solution to data consistency is implemented by protected global variables, so-called "messages", in ASCET. When using variables declared as messages, copies are automatically created for each receiving process.



Figure 4-5: Avoiding the race condition

The message system, however, has a few limitations

- Messages cannot be used in classes
- Messages cannot be used for non-scalar types (arrays, ...)
- Messages only offer protection in 1-to-n relations (1 sender, n receivers), for the case of multiple senders additional resource management is needed to avoid race conditions leading to the "lost update" problem [17], when concurrent processes try to change a shared variable.

Messages are used in many of the existing modules of the RPEMS software, although not exhaustively and consistently. Why this does not lead to problems is apparent when looking at the scheduling algorithm of the RTA/OSEK kernel, taking into account that all processes in the RPEMS software are defined as cooperative.



Figure 4-6: RTA task priority scheme<sup>19</sup>

While cooperative tasks do have the lowest priority, the processes spawned by them will not be interrupted by of a task with higher priority. The scheduler will wait until the cooperative process has finished and interrupt the task to run the higher-priority tasks pro-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Taken from [30]

cess(es), then returning to the lower-priority task. In the example below, processes p1 and p2 belong to Task 1, while processes p3, p4 and p5 belong to Task 2:



Figure 4-7:cooperative scheduling example

While the order in which processes are executed is not predictable on this system, each process relies on two paradigms:

- A process will not be interrupted by another process, except for interrupts.
- For each task, the processes will be executed in-order, e.g. p1-p2-p3

Since the interrupt-driven driver software is abstracted by scheduled remappers, interrupt processes are also of no concern to the application layer software, only remappers need to be aware of the possibility of being interrupted.

## **Chapter 5**

# Implementation

This chapter describes the structure and functioning of the OBD II software modules as well as the changes that had to be made to existing RPEMS software

#### 5.1 Modifications to existing Software

While one of the design aims of the OBD II software was to keep it as self-contained as possible, some changes to external software were unavoidable. The CAN driver stack had to be extended with a handshaking mechanism while the EEPROM driver had be configured to allocate additional memory.

#### 5.1.1 CAN Software Stack

Like the other I/O drivers, the CAN Software interface is also composed of a "Low Level" driver and a remapper.



Figure 5-1: Block diagram of the CAN stack

The TC17xx integrates four hardware CAN interfaces ("nodes") and 128 memory-mapped CAN Message object structures ("MObs"), that can be dynamically assigned to the interfaces. By setting the ID, acceptance filters and status registers of the MObs, the nodes are configured to listen to the messages their MObs match.

Upon arrival of a new CAN Message, the node that accepted it copies it from the receive buffer to the "data" field in the corresponding hardware MOb structure.

The "MultiCAN" process periodically copies the contents of the hardware registers of all MObs configured to receive data into Software MObs. From there MultiCAN copies the contents of the data field to shared (global) data arrays.

The "CANremap" process periodically takes the array data and copies it into the appropriate internal ECU variables and messages, thereby being the node where the association between CAN Data and internal data takes place.

For Internal data that is to be put on the CAN bus, the process works in the exact reverse order with the exception that MultiCAN also sets a status register of the corresponding hardware MOb to indicate the message as "to be sent".

This correlates to the producer-consumer software pattern used in object-oriented programming, where the application software and MultiCAN conduct the roles of producer and consumer and CANremap acts as the pipe.

Using this pattern without a flow control mechanism may result in problems depending on program timing. [18] The way existing remappers take care of this problem is to act as what can be described as a single-element LIFO (Last-In-First-Out) buffer, discarding all but the last element sent by the producer, before the consumer is ready to process the next element. This solution is viable, since there is no need for synchronization between producer and consumer and producer data lost between two runs of the consumer, does not matter, as long as the consumer gets recent data.

The protocol side of OBD II, on the other hand, is entirely dependent on data only being sent and received in a certain order and a specific number of times (once). Therefore the CAN stack has to be modified so that end-to-end information about the status of CAN data (received / read / sending /sent) can be conveyed.

This is accomplished by implementing a simple flow-control mechanism, using binary semaphores as suggested in [18].

In the receiving direction, the "NEWDAT" bit provided by the hardware CAN stack for each MOb has to be monitored. It is set whenever the CAN controller copies a new message from the receive buffer into the MOb and has to be cleared by software. So whenever MultiCAN sees the NEWDAT bit set, it should copy the data into the receiving array and then clear the bit to mark it as "read", while setting a "new data" flag visible to CANremap/OBD II.

In the transmitting direction a software solution has to be implemented in a way that the OBD II software can indicate that a message has to be sent. MultiCAN copies the content to a HW MOb, starts the transmission by setting TXRQ and clears the "ready to send" flag which has in the meantime blocked the OBD II software from overwriting its own data before it could be sent. MultiCAN then monitors TXRQ and does not overwrite the HW MOb before it is cleared, indicating a successful transmission.

This establishes a simple flow control mechanism as depicted in Figure 5-2. Note that while CANremap is shown as transparent, the information is really passed on through different variables.



Figure 5-2: Block diagram of the modified CAN stack

As mentioned in chapter 4.4.2 this modification breaks with the idea of complete abstraction through remappers as the application software requires specific changes to be made to both underlying levels. This is however, done deliberately for two reasons:

- The changes to the low-level CAN driver take up very few resources and could be seen as an effort to make it "OBD-ready". If the OBD functions are not used, the overhead would be just two unused MObs, a few bytes of variables and a couple of "if" statements which never branch.
- The CAN driver could be modified so that all MObs support handshaking. However, since there are 128 Mobs, a few bytes each would accumulate to a considerable overhead over the original driver while the mechanism is unlikely to be used by application software other than the OBD server.

#### 5.1.2 EEPROM Driver

The EEPROM driver was built as an extension of the SPI driver developed in [19] and has so far only been used in an proof-of-concept application. The driver provides access to the EEPROMs by means of pages, each 16 bytes wide containing 14 bytes user data and two command/control bytes.



Figure 5-3: EEPROM page structure<sup>20</sup>

Pages can be either static or dynamic:

- Static pages are read to RAM from the EEPROM at startup and written back on request. Accessing them causes neither overhead nor delays, since all read and write operations take place in RAM. RAM expense is identical to the page total.
- Dynamic pages work by mapping one page in RAM to different physical EEPROM pages by setting eepDynAdr (the EEP dynamic address). Both writes and reads (especially across page borders) experience delays since both transfers have to be requested first and not till after completion of the EEP/SPI cycle the next physical page can be addressed. RAM expense is constant at the size of one page.

Since the OBD II persistent storage module will need to access a memory area much bigger than a single page, reading on demand is out of the question for performance reasons, since the read would at least take  $(n_{pages} + 1) \cdot 100ms$ . It would be possible to read the pages at startup and write them back in the background but that would not offer a memory advantage over static pages and would also mirror part of the functionality in the EEPROM driver.

Therefore the use of static pages has been chosen as memory access strategy.

The modifications to the EEPROM driver were thence limited to adding more pages to the multiplexer in the page selection logic.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 20}$  translated from the EEPROM driver documentation

## 5.2 New Software Modules

#### 5.2.1 Important Shared OBD variables, constants and calibration tables

A number of data elements are shared among multiple modules of the OBD software. The most important ones are briefly introduced here to aid the understanding of their usage in the individual software modules:

- EOBDPIDData[] ("Live Data Array") is an array of 32-bit wide elements indexed by PID number that stores the current value of the supported PIDs
- EOBDPIDSize[] ("Size Info Array") is indexed by PID number and contains information about how big (how many bytes) the representation of each PID is. This information is taken from SAE J1979DA:2011 table B2 ff.
- EOBDPIDsAvailable[] ("Service 1 Support Array") is indexed by PID number and contains a bit value that has to be set for each PID which should be supported by the ECU. This is used to build the  $00_{\rm H}$ ,  $20_{\rm H}$ , ... PIDs
- EOBDFFPIDs[] ("Service 2 Support Array") stores the PIDs that should be saved when a freeze frame is captured. While the PIDs have to be arranged consecutively in the array, they do not need to be in a certain order. This array is a parameter and can therefore be calibrated at runtime.

### 5.2.2 The OBD State Machine

The OBD state machine (Figure 5-4) implements the core logic of the OBD protocol. While it offers no functional advantage to implement as a block diagram in comparison to C, it is more comprehensible and offers an easy entry point for future modification and expansion.

Upon startup ("idle" state), the state machine listens for new CAN Messages with its functional or physical ID (see Table 2-6) and looks into the message to identify the SID. Then it changes its state to invoke the appropriate SID handler on the next execution cycle. Some of the handlers complete in a single cycle and immediately reset the state to "idle", others require multiple execution cycles (multi-message replies) and only reset upon completion. To avoid lock-ups due to e.g. protocol errors, these handlers include internal time-out mechanisms that reset the state machine to "idle" if they get stuck.

All diagrams associated with the OBD state machine can be found in Appendix 4: Block Diagram 1ff.



Figure 5-4: main OBD state flow diagram

#### 5.2.3 The OBD Remapper

As mentioned in chapter 4.4.2, the software architecture of the RPEMS uses the concept of remappers to abstract hardware registers and driver variables from variables used in the application software. This offers not only the advantage of a more structured design; it also enables the use of ASCETs automated conversion between the physical and the implementation domain.

The physical domain in this context means the physical value/meaning of a variable (e.g. 21°C, 31%) while the implementation describes how the value is stored in memory. The relation between the two defines the limits to the physical values, which can be stored as well as the quantization and thus the resolution of the data.

These relations are stored in the ASCET project as "formulas", using the  $f(x) = \frac{a+b\cdot x}{c+d\cdot x} + e$  notation as the most complex form, other options being linear ( $f(x) = a \cdot x + b$ ) and "identity" (1:1). [20]

One aspect that has to be considered when using formulas is that when used in block diagrams only the physical value of a variable can be accessed, while using a variable in C code only returns the implementation value.

These characteristics of the ASCET variable model are used in the EOBD remapper. In it, the internal ECU variables, which are to be used in the OBD II module, are copied to the OBD variables using block diagram specification. Because the OBD variables have been associated with formulae that implement the value quantization defined in SAE J1979:2011 table B2 ff., the implementation value of the OBD variables is identical to the binary value that needs to be loaded in the CAN packets during ISO 15031 communication.



Figure 5-5: usage of the ASCET variable model by the OBD remapper

From the more common PIDs (<  $40_{\rm H}$ ) some are not representations of ECU internal values, but contain special information about the OBD service. One that is supported by this implementation would be PID  $01_{\rm H}$ , which contains information about the number of stored DTCs and the state of the MIL and various OBD tests and is calculated directly in the remapper (see Block Diagram 11: EOBD\_remapper EOBD\_01\_DTC\_CNT subdiagram in the appendix)

Another type of special PID are  $00_{H}$ ,  $20_{H}$ ,  $40_{H}$  ... which bit-encode the support for ranges of 32 PIDs each. Since the support for certain PIDs does not usually change during runtime, the bit patterns for these PIDs are calculated from the Service 1 Support Array during initialization by the EOBDpopulateArray::update\_supported\_PIDs\_Mode1 function.

Since each of the bitfields encodes if the next one is supported, this is done by walking the array from end and only marking non-zero bitfields as supported. The full implementation can be found as Listing 3 in the appendix.

To enable the other OBD Software modules to access the PID Values by their number (and therefore to enable any kind of generic response) the PID values have to be stored in the Live Data Array. Since the value that needs to be stored is the implementation value this needs to be done in C. The following code snippet represents what executed by EOBDpopulateArray::updateEOBDlivedata\_100ms – see Listing 5 in the appendix for the full code.

```
001 #define EOBD_VAL(PID, VAL) EOBDPIDData[PID] = VAL << ((4-EOBDPIDSize[PID]) * 8);
002
003 // EOBD_VAL(0x00, 0);
004 EOBD_VAL(0x01, EOBD_01_DTC_CNT);
005 // EOBD_VAL(0x02, 0);
006 // EOBD_VAL(0x02, 0);
006 // EOBD_VAL(0x03, 0);
007 EOBD_VAL(0x04, EOBD_04_LOAD_PCT);
008 EOBD_VAL(0x05, EOBD_05_ECT);
009 // EOBD_VAL(0x06, 0);
```

Listing 5-1: Loading PID Values in the PID Array

#### 5.2.4 The OBD Persistent Storage Interface

Code fragments (C, ESDL, block diagram) can be in one of two containers: a function or a process.

- Functions can take arguments and provide a return value. They cannot be attached to tasks.
- Processes do neither take arguments nor provide return values, but they can be attached to tasks.

Each executable module (C, ESDL, block diagram) may either be a regular or a class module.

- Regular Modules can only be instantiated once in a project, which means that function calls can be made by at most one other module. Usually they instantiated at the project root and called only by the scheduler.
- Class modules can be instantiated multiple times, e.g. by different modules. Although the generated code is C, the framework emulates the behavior of C++ class members with local variables becoming instance variables and public (ASCET: "exported") variables become class variables. ASCET classes do, however, not exhibit the other traits of C++ OOP classes like inheritance or derivation.

The DTC Storage Interface Module (EOBD\_DTC\_Interface) provides access to the EEPROMbacked nonvolatile memory areas where DTCs and freeze frame data are stored.

The module should be integrated into each software module that needs to store DTCs as well as in the OBD software to retrieve them. This mandated the use of a class module (multiple instances) and the use of functions (arguments and return values).

The Storage Interface Module provides the following (public) functions

- Init() performs some one-time tasks to initialize the memory. It only has to be called once by only one instance.
- EOBD\_DTC\_MGMT\_100ms checks if the EEPROM memory is yet available. When it is, it restores state variables from it and generally enables memory access.
- StoreDTC() adds an entry (DTC) to the fault memory, which can be read by service 03<sub>H</sub>. The fault code can be specified as well as if the MIL should be turned on and if a Freeze Frame should be stored. While StoreDTC will not store duplicate DTCs, it will create multiple freeze frames for the same DTC if requested.
- ClearDTCs() deletes all stored DTCs and Freeze Frames. It is called by service  $04_{H}$ .
- getFFPID() returns the data of a certain PID in a Freeze Frame.
- DTCMessageStream() provides an ISO 15765-2 compatible (see 2.4.6) stream of CAN messages for service  $03_{\rm H}$ .
- commitToEEP() makes the module write its nonvolatile memory back to the EEP.

All C sources associated with the persistent storage interface are included in Appendix 5: Listing 7: EOBD\_DTC\_Interface implementation code

#### **Memory Organization**

During the initialization phase, EOBD\_DTC\_Interface loads an array of the type uint8\*[] (equal to a uint8\*\*) with the array pointers (uint8\*) to the EEPROM pages reserved for this use. This way the available memory appears as a flat structure that can be programmatically accessed as a 2D array even if the EEPROM pages are non-consecutive.

The example implementation uses 9 EEPROM pages with a total of 126 bytes of memory available as storage. Using constants defined in the C header, the memory is segmented like this:

		Page Byte															
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
	1			Number of DTCs	Number of FFs	first DTC	MIL status	first FF									
e Number	2 3 4 5	Status byte	Command Byte	DTCs													
Page	6 7 8 9	Sta	Com						FFs								

Figure 5-6: OBD persistent storage memory organization

The DTC storage shows the following characteristics:

- DTCs take up a constant space (2 bytes each).
- DTCs are only cleared as a whole, so memory fragmentation is not possible.
- When the DTC memory is full, it wraps around and the oldest DTC is overwritten.

This mandates, that two values are kept in the nonvolatile memory: the number of DTCs stored and the position of the oldest one (first DTC). Also, since the memory size is always a multiple of the DTC size, the full memory can be utilized, creating a ring-type memory. The FF storage has the following characteristics:

- The space a FF takes up is dependent on the PIDs that are included
- FFs are only cleared as a whole, so memory fragmentation is not possible
- When the FF memory is full the oldest FF will be overwritten.

As with the DTCs the number of stored FFs and the index of the oldest one have to be stored in nonvolatile memory. Since the composition of a FF can be calibrated via the Service 2 Support Array, the size and maximum number of freeze frames is variable and recalculated on startup and only stored in RAM. Since the memory size is likely not a multiple of the FF size, there is always some unused memory (worst case: sizeof(FF memory)/2 - 1 is unused when one FF takes up just over half the available memory). The rest of the memory creates a ring with floor(sizeof(FF memory)/sizeof(FF)) elements.



Figure 5-7: persistent storage element allocation logic

#### **Clearing the DTC & FF memory**

To clear the persistent memory, resetting the pointers to the start and zeroing the element counts is sufficient. If new elements are stored, the old ones will be overwritten. To overwrite the complete FF and DTC memory, a calibration parameter (EOBD\_DTCFullerease) can be set.

In contrast to the other functions dependent on the EEPROM Memory, this one does not check the memory availability. This is done externally in the service  $04_H$  logic to enable the service to abort and send a negative response (see Memory Organization) if it is not available.



Figure 5-8: "Clear Memory" Program Flow

#### Storing and retrieving a DTC

When a new DTC should be stored, the code checks the DTC memory if that DTC is already there to keep a reoccurring error from flooding the DTC memory. If the DTC is not found, the index of the next available DTC slot is requested from the memory management (see Memory Organization) and then translated in an address (page number and offset) in the DTC memory block. Subsequently, the 16-bit DTC is split into two bytes and written at this location.

The MIL request is honored even if the DTC is a duplicate.

Finally, commitToEEP() is called to save the changed RAM content to the EEPROM.



Figure 5-9: "Store DTC" Program Flow

To retrieve a certain DTC from the fault memory, first the absolute position of the DTC is determined in the ring memory (may be different to its relative position due to overflowing of the ring see Figure 5-6) and then the page number and offset are requested from memory management.

Finally, two bytes are read from this location and assembled to form the 16-bit DTC.



Figure 5-10: "Read DTC" Program Flow

#### **Deferred DTC storing**

While slow calls to e.g. CAN and EEPROM drivers have been avoided, writing to the fault memory can consume significant time, especially compared to the system's fastest timebases (0.25 to 1ms). To avoid deadline misses if the DTC interface is to be used in a module that runs on such a quick timebase, storing of DTCs and FFs can be deferred (see Figure 5-11).



Figure 5-11: deferred DTC storing

To do so, the function StoreDTCdeferred() can be called, which takes the same arguments as the regular Store DTC function but just copies the arguments to global class variables, what causes the management function running in the 100ms grid to take over actually

storing the DTC or FF. This is not without disadvantage: while the up to 100ms offset in the FF data are negligible (the PID data is only refreshed in 100ms intervals, anyways), the function is prone to race conditions causing "lost data" effects. This happens when StoreDTCdeferred() is called multiple times between two executions of the management function, where the DTC in the arguments of the last call will be the only one actually stored with the rest discarded.

#### Storing and retrieving Freeze Frame Data

A freeze frame is a snapshot of a number of PIDs and the DTC that caused the freeze frame to be captured. The PIDs included in a freeze frame are a subset of the ones available in service  $01_{\rm H}$  and are set via the service 2 support array. To determine the total size of a freeze frame and thus the number of FFs that fit in the available EEPROM memory, this array is walked and the corresponding entries from the PID size Array are added together. When a new FF needs to be stored, the function first requests the ID of the next available FF from memory management and then requests the memory address of the start of the FF with this ID. Then first the DTC is written, followed by all of the PIDs listed in the service 2 support array. After each byte, the memory address is incremented and retranslated in a page number and offset, since the PID boundaries do not necessarily match the page boundaries.

To retrieve a PID from a stored freeze frame, the PIDs location in the memory blob, containing the FF, has to be determined. Since the PIDs are stored in the same order they appear in the service 2 support array, this array is walked, adding up the PID sizes from the PID size array, until the PID is found in the service 2 support array. Then, using this byte offset and the FF ID, the memory location of the PID can be requested from memory management. Finally, the PIDs data is read and assembled to give the PID value.



Figure 5-12 : Program flow for storing and retrieving FF data

#### Answering a Service 03<sub>H</sub> request with a ISO-TP data stream

Since the response to any service  $03_{\rm H}$  request with more than 2 DTCs in the fault memory will result in a transmission payload of more than 7 bytes, an implementation of the ISO-TP protocol as described in chapter 2.4.6 is required.

The chosen implementation is a state machine (Figure 5-13) which is started when the OBD state machine detects a Service  $03_{\rm H}$  request. The OBD state machine then periodically calls the ISO-TP state machine until its return value indicates that the transfer is complete. "Complete" in this context also includes "aborted", since the client has no means of restarting the transfer in case of a problem. This can only be done by the master (in this case, the tester) from the OBD idle state.

To avoid blocking or excessive execution time, no active wait states are included in the state machine. If a resource is not ready or the host requests a transmission interruption, processing is deferred to the next call of the state machine, where the condition is re-evaluated.



Figure 5-13: ISO-TP state flow

#### 5.2.5 The OBD Vehicle Information Interface

Service  $09_{H}$ , as described in 2.4.7, provides general Information about the vehicle and control unit connected to the tester.

Per SAE J1979-DA:2011-10 there are 10 standardized INFOTYPES available when using Diagnostics over CAN, summarized in Table 5-1. Evident from this table, only three INFO-TYPEs need to be supported in this service  $09_{\rm H}$  implementation:

- 00<sub>H</sub>: list of supported ITIDs
- 04<sub>H</sub>: calibration IDs
- 0A<sub>H</sub>: ECU Name

ITID  $00_H$  and  $0A_H$  are completely static, meaning they don't change between different software versions. The CALID, however, should be indicative of the exact software version, meaning that it should be different for each build. In production, this would be e.g.

the build number or the release ID of a certain software build for a specific vehicle. In development, there are often multiple versions in use at the same time and multiple versions may be flashed during a working day. Manually assigning CALIDs to these builds would mean a significant overhead for the developers, as the data has to be manually changed for each build and a database of CALIDs has to be maintained.

Therefore it is reasonable to have the CALID generated automatically so that it is both unique and easily identifiable by the developer.

The way this is achieved in this implementation is to use the time and date of the build. It is easy to cross-reference it to the file date of the firmware files on the PC and is human-readable. To integrate the time and date into the ANSI C predefined macros \_DATE\_ and

\_\_TIME\_\_ are used, which are supported by all standard-compliant C compilers [21] and thus also by the tasking compiler used in the RPEMS toolchain. The resultant CALID is visible in Figure 6-5.

ITID	Name	Short	Relevance for Implementation
00 <sub>H</sub>	Supported INFOTYPES	-	Must be supported
02 <sub>H</sub>	Vehicle Identification Number	VIN	N/A: vehicles are changing prototypes or engines on testbeds
04 <sub>H</sub>	Calibration ID	CALID	Relevant: Identifying the software ver- sion is an important use-case
06 <sub>H</sub>	Calibration Verification Numbers	CVN	N/A: anti-tampering measures are not important for this application
08 <sub>H</sub>	In-use Performance Tracking	-	N/A: Monitors are not supported
0A <sub>H</sub>	ECU Name	ECUNAME	Relevant: can be useful to identify the RPEMS in a multi-ECU environment
0B <sub>H</sub>	In-use Performance Tracking	-	N/A: Monitors are not supported
0D <sub>H</sub>	Engine Serial Number	ESN	N/A: ECU is not tied to a specific engine
0F <sub>H</sub>	Exhaust Regulation Or Type Approval Number	EROTAN	N/A: not relevant and usually not ex- istent on prototypes
10 <sub>H</sub>	Protocol Identification	-	N/A: only relevant for UDS implemen- tations

Since all INFOTYPEs (except  $00_H$ ) need to be transferred in multiple ISO-TP segments because of their size, an ISO-TP module similar to the one outlined in Figure 5-13 is implemented.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Based on [31]

All code associated with the Vehicle Information Interface can be found in Appendix 5: Listing 7: EOBD\_DTC\_Interface implementation code

### 5.2.6 The OBD Debouncer

The modules described in 5.2.1 - 5.2.6 make up the OBD core system, meaning the parts of the software that enable the RPEMS software to communicate with standardized test equipment and which generate and store the data that is provided.

The substantially bigger part of an OBD implementation seeking legislative approval, is the conforming application of the extensive number of monitors and error thresholds to make sure that emission-relevant condition is reliably detected while minimizing the number of false positives.<sup>22</sup>

The implementation described here does have a different goal; nevertheless, a system gating the creation of DTCs and FFs is required to prevent flooding of the fault memory.

A reasonably simple implementation of such a system is the EOBD\_debouncer class module. The EOBD\_debouncer class gates logic (Boolean) signals that control e.g. the creation of a DTC and takes three parameters:

- The short term (DebST) parameter controls how often the condition has to be detected consecutively, which is used to filter short-term glitches
- The long term (DebLT) parameter controls how often a condition debounced with the DebST parameter has to be detected to enable the output signal. The Boolean output (return value) does not latch; it only generates a single pulse. A continuous-ly appearing condition would therefore, even with DebLT = 1, cause a single pulse (and e.g. a single DTC entry).
- The AutoReArm parameter controls if the debouncer should reset after creating the pulse. If the parameter were logical false it would not generate another pulse.

Figure 5-14 shows an example of EOBD\_debouncer behavior over time.

The C source of EOBD\_debouncer is listed under Appendix 5:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> On systems for the US market, OBD takes up about 30% of the overall time needed for ECU calibration



Listing 1 : EOBD\_infotype\_interface implementation code



#### 5.3 Diagnostics Implementation example

Figure 5-15 shows a typical way of adding OBD II diagnostic capability to other software modules. The top flowchart shows the conversion of an ADC result (adc0\_6) to the throttle pedal position (aped1) in the ADC remapper. KLFWG1 represents characteristic curve, mapping ADC (and this sensor output) voltage to a pedal value between 0% and 100%.

In this case, the valid range for the sensor voltage is 1.0V to 4.0V. If the voltage is outside this range (comparison in the lower left) once (debLT =1) for at least 100ms (debST = 100cycles at 1ms intervals) the debouncer calls "StoreDTC" from the imported EOBD\_DTC\_interface class with the DTC code P0120 (Throttle/Pedal Position Sensor/Switch "A" Circuit Malfunction). A freeze frame is not stored and the MIL is not lit (store\_FF and enables\_MIL are false).



Figure 5-15: Exemplaric implementation of trottle pedal diagnostics

#### 5.4 Architecture of the OBD subsystem

Figure 5-16 shows how the OBD software modules interface with each other and the rest of the RPEMS software. The run\_NNms functions are attached to the respective cyclic tasks in the task scheduler. The modules shaded in grey are existing RPEMS software elements the OBD software interacts with.



Figure 5-16: OBD software structure

The EOBD module represents the OBD state machine from 5.2.2, which also integrates the DTC and Infotype interface. To gain access to the CAN bus, the EOBD module imports the relevant data elements from the RPEMS' CAN software stack.

To provide recent data to the OBD system, EOBDremap converts supported variables from other software modules to the format required by OBD every 100ms. After the conversion, it calls EOBDpopulateArray, which has access to the main OBD arrays and copies the remappers' converted data items there.

Any RPEMS software that wants to use the diagnostic system just imports EOBD\_DTC\_Interface (and optionally EOBD\_debounce) to use their public functions to store DTCs and freeze frames.

#### 5.4.1 Timing

In the OBD II standards, the time  $P2_{CAN}$  is defined as the maximum response time to any request. The response time is defined as the time between reception of an OBD II request and the response in form of an ISO\_TP single- or first frame.  $P2_{CAN,max}$  is 50 milliseconds,

which means that every tester should wait for an response at least 50ms while no ECU should take longer than 50ms to respond.[22]

Since data is passed between multiple processes running in the 10ms grid, a few measures have to be taken to make sure this deadline is met. The processes involved in producing the response (in the order data passes through them during an OBD request/response) are listed in Table 5-2.

process in module::process notation	task	Description
MultiCAN::receiveNode3_10ms	_10ms	get CAN request data from MOb
CANremap::receive_10ms	_10ms	remap CAN request data and perform flow control
EOBD::EOBD_handler_10ms	_10ms	parse request and craft response $(x^2/x^3)^{2^3}$
CANremap::transmit_10ms	_10ms	remap response data and perform flow control
MultiCAN::transmitNode3_10ms	_10ms	load response data in CAN MOb

Table 5-2: processes needed to answer an OBD request

The time needed for the CAN data to be moved between the bus and the corresponding MObs can be considered as nearly instant (<0,5ms at 500kBit/s), so the response time depends mainly on the number of executions of the \_10ms task necessary to complete the operation.

Figure 5-17 shows the best and worst case scenario of how the order of execution of processes inside a task can affect the total time to completion of an OBD II request. The grayed-out blocks represent processes which cannot do useful work during the respective execution cycle.

In Figure 5-17 A, the processes are optimally arranged inside the task; in the first and third run, multiple processing steps can be executed because the interdependent processes were called in the correct order by the task scheduler. Figure 5-17 B is the worst-case scenario – each processing step has to be executed in a subsequent call of the \_10ms task. No speedup is possible since no two processes are executed in the correct order and so the  $P2_{CAN}$  deadline is missed by at least 20ms.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> This step takes more than one cycle. During the first execution the OBD state machine will change its state depending on the request. For single-frame responses, the response is created during the second execution. When a multi-frame response is required, one additional cycle is required to setup the ISO\_TP state machine.





## **Chapter 6**

# **Testing, Results and Outlook**

#### 6.1 Test Setup

The setup which was used during development and testing is laid out in Figure 6-1; Details are listed in Table 6-1 and Table 6-2. For the use with standard OBD testers an adapter harness had to be manufactured to provide power to the tester and to allow the connection of a third node to the CAN bus connected to CAN node 3 on the RPEMS.



Figure 6-1: Test Hardware Setup

Table 6-1: Te	est Hardware
---------------	--------------

Item	Description			
12V Power Supply	230V mains voltage to 12VDC / 3A regulated power supply			
AVL RPEMS Future	RPEMS Future, HW Version 1.3, VCU Configuration <sup>24</sup> , modified to			
AVL KPEMS FULUIE	have a termination resistor on CAN interface 3			
CAN Interface INCA	ETAS ES580 PCMCIA to CAN Interface (identical to vector CANCar-			
CAN IIItellate INCA	dXL), with Type 251 Transceiver Cable (82C251Tranceiver Chip)			
CAN Interface CAN-	vector CANcaseXL or vector VN1610 (depending on application			
alyzer + CANoe	due to licensing limitations)			
OBD Tester 1	Autel Maxiscan MS509 OBD II/EOBD diagnostic tester with built-in			
	DTC database			
OBD Tester 2	Generic ELM327-based OBD-to-Bluetooth Interface			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> RPEMS in VCU configuration do not have their high-power and high-voltage output drivers populated, as they do not have to drive injectors and ignition coils

Vendor	Product Name	Functions				
ETAS	ASCET 5.2.2	Software Specification				
		Firmware flashing				
ETAS	INCA 7.0.0/14	Parameterization				
		Runtime Variable Monitoring				
Vector	CANalyzer 7.6.27	Interactive sending and receiving of CAN data				
Vector	CANoe 8.1	Run automated test cases				
Vector	CANCE 0.1	Software OBD Tester				
Vector	CANoe.DiVa 3.1 SP1	Generate automated tests for OBD II Imple-				
VECTO	CANCELDIVA 5.1 SP1	mentations				

## 6.2 Early Testing

ASCET 5.2 only supports the software development cycle up to the build process, there are no testing or debugging facilities integrated.

Limited debugging can be done by using INCA; after flashing a new software file on the ECU, INCA can establish a CCP connection and use the A2L<sup>25</sup> file generated by ASCET.

This provides access to the values of all data items marked for calibration in ASCET. If the value in question has been marked as "Parameter", it can also be changed, which serves as a limited method to provide stimulus. The limitations of this method are:

- There is no way to slow down, pause, or single-step execution
- Since the CCP protocol runs as a regular process on the RPEMS, the highest possible resolution is one execution of a given process, intermediate values cannot be viewed
- Due to an unconfirmed bug in the handling of parameters, they cannot be used with class modules that have more than one instance
- Since the CCP protocol is implemented in software on the ECU, it cannot be used if the CAN modules or the initialization is not working correctly or if another software module hangs.

The first module to be implemented was EOBD\_remap to test the value conversion using formulas, which could easily be confirmed by having the values displayed in INCA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> An ASAP2 description file (also called A2L) contains all information on the relevant data objects in the ECU such as characteristics (parameters, characteristic curves and maps), real and virtual measurement variables and variant dependencies. Information is needed for each of these objects, such as memory address, storage structure, data type and conversion rules for converting them into physical units.[32]
<u> </u>	riment Bearbeiten Ansicht Variablen Me		_	E-Target Instrument Fenster	?	
CCP:	:1::RPEMS_FUTURE14_080 - 🐒 C AS 🖲 RS	AS: temp_1 RS: temp	0			
	Messfenster [5] EOBD_numDTC.EOBD_DTC_Interfac. EngineLoad.swadap_AlfaV6_v1 teng.ADCremap_MiniFuture_v1 EngineSpeed.OperatingModeStateM ub.ADCremap_MiniFuture_v1 Messfenster [4] EOBDPIDData [0].EOBD			DBDremap_2		I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
	EOBDPIDData_[0].EOBD EOBDPIDData_[1].EOBD EOBDPIDData_[4].EOBD EOBDPIDData_[5].EOBD EOBDPIDData_[12].EOBD EOBDPIDData_[66].EOBD		8500100 [he> 0 [he> A000000 [he> 0 [he> 35FC0000 [hex]	250 200 150 100 50		DTCrunr 63.0000
	Anzeige läuft / Standardrekorder angehalten			$\begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 $	60 80 100 (5) Max. Pufferfü	< Ⅲ. ► Ilstand: 0%

Figure 6-2: INCA I Experiment showing data from the OBD remapper

The next milestones were the modified CAN software and the foundations of the OBD state machine. The first real challenge was the persistent storage interface, because of its implementation in C. Variables declared in C code are not added to the A2L file, therefore helper variables had to be introduced to monitor them. Also, simple bugs like boundary violations or null-pointer exceptions were hard to debug because they crashed the ECU which disabled CCP.

#### 6.3 Communication tests

Early communication tests were carried out by sending hand-crafted CAN packets mimicking an OBD tester using Vector CANalyzer. Once the OBD software was able to send meaningful responses, most tests were carried out using the Autel standalone scan tool, which supports most functions implemented. Only the "ECU Name" Infotype and multiple freeze frames are not supported; these functions were tested using the App Torque on an LG Nexus 4 Android smartphone and the ELM327 Bluetooth interface. The adapter harness, as mentioned above, enabled the connection of CANalyzer as third node on the bus to trace the communication between tester and ECU and helped working out, at which point it went wrong.

Figure 6-3 shows an unsuccessful connection attempt of the tester (repeat "02 01 0C ..." messages) in the trace window and faux ECU responses (ID 7E8) in the generator window. Figure 6-4 shows the Autel MaxiScan displaying the DTC code P0666 after successfully reading four DTCs (top right display corner) from the persistent storage interface.

않 Vector CANalyzer.J1939 /pro - obd.cfg						
File View Start Mode Configuration Window Help						
📗 🗅 🚰 具 🗑   🚀 🖾 🚿 🖼 🛃 😹 🏄 🗒 👂 🔲 🕺 🔍	0 💌 sym bex ? (	۶				
Trace - C:\[]\CANWIN.blf				-		
76 💷 🐮 😤 🎘 🗶 🗮 🖬 🔺 🔜 🖓	🦑 🐴   🛄 • 🗟 📬 • 🗚 • 🔲	Initial 🗸				
Inter Group 0 ★ 8 Time Chn ID	Name Dir D	LC Data	Cou	nter Diff time		
♥ Filter Group 0         ★         Time         Chn         ID           ♥ € Sop filter         ₩         ₩         ₩         75.8         1         70F           ₩ <td>Rx 8</td> <td>02 01 00 00 00 00 00 00</td> <td></td> <td>m 0.313873</td>	Rx 8	02 01 00 00 00 00 00 00		m 0.313873		
ErrorFrame	Rx 8	02 01 OC 00 00 00 00 00		rn 0.745964		
97 🤍 🤤 76.8 1 7DF	Rx 8	02 01 <b>0C</b> 00 00 00 00 00		rn 0.340276		
Pass filter	Rx 8	02 01 0C 00 00 00 00 00		m 0.391200		
Drop lines to filter         77.6         77.6         77.6	Rx 8 Rx 8	02 01 0C 00 00 00 00 00 02 01 0C 00 00 00 00 00		rn 0.370074 rn 0.247354		
79.1 1 750	RX 8	02 01 0C 00 00 00 00 00 02 01 0C 00 00 00 00 00		m 0.247354		
Other * 78.4 1 7E0	Rx 8			m 0.246376		
Show changed events only 78.6 1 7E0	Rx 8			m 0.247606		
78.8 1 7E0	Rx 8	02 01 0C 00 00 00 00 00		m 0.246122		
<ul> <li>79.1 1 7E0</li> </ul>	Rx 8	02 01 0C 00 00 00 00 00		rn 0.257640		
: 🗢 — 🖂 82.8 1 7DF	Rx 8	02 01 <b>00</b> 00 00 00 00 00	Inter	m 3.729994		
🖓 — 🖂 83.0 1 7DF	Rx 8	02 01 <b>0D</b> 00 00 00 00 00	Inte	m 0.141244		
🖓 — 🖂 83.2 1 7DF	Rx 8	02 01 OC 00 00 00 00 00	Inte	m 0.242633		
¶ ♀ ── 🖂 86.8 1 7DF	Rx 8	02 01 <b>00</b> 00 00 00 00 00		m 3.596506		
Q ─ ≥ 86.8 1 7E8	Tx 8	04 41 0C 05 FF 00 00 00	Inte	m 0.000248		
G IG						
Message Parameters	Trigg	ering	Data Field			
Message Name Identifier Channel Frame DLC Send	Key Cycle Time	[ms] Burst HighLoad Gateway	0 1 2 3	4 5 6 7		
200 200 CAN 1 - Data - 8 - now	□ Test □ 1 □ 200	1 🗌 off 🔄	AA AA A	1 2 3 4		
> 7e8 7e8 CAN 1 - Data - 8 - now	🗌 t 🔲 10 🗕	1 off	3 42 2 0	1 30 55 55		
Standard CAN J1939				•		
New         Clone         Special Frame         Delete         Cut         Copy         Paste         Layout						
RANN/Trace & Configuration & Analysis /						
			~			

Figure 6-3: CANalyzer used for communication tests



Figure 6-4: Successful DTC readout using the Autel scan tool



Figure 6-5:Torque App displaying data from OBD II services  $02_H$   $03_H$  and  $09_H$ 

#### 6.4 Systematic testing

While working data displays on the testers show that the implementation works in principle, it does not show that it is really compliant to the standards. The testers only represent "good case" tests, since they should always produce valid requests and are usually, aiding robustness, very tolerant regarding violations by the ECU's implementation.

Also, even all possible valid and supported combinations of SID, PID, etc... would present a large number of test cases, taking a lot of time to go through.

A more systematic approach is to use a tool that automatically generates test cases, runs them and analyzes the responses.

A software tool that does this is CANoe.DiVa<sup>26</sup>, an extension to Vector's CANoe ECU software development environment designed to test implementations of diagnostic services.

"DiVa is a CANoe extension for automated testing of diagnostic software implementations in ECUs. Reproducible test cases are generated based on an ECU diagnostic description." [23]

DiVa has a built-in description of the standard services based on the standards mentioned in chapter 2.4 and generated over 400 test cases applicable to this implementation (see Appendix 2: CANoe.DiVa Test Specification).

The first test run indeed found a lot of implementation problems which had left the testers unfazed; only 48% of the tests resulted in a pass.

Most of the errors were due to a wrong ISO-TP header format and a couple of builds later, these kinks were ironed out and CANoe reported a pass rate of 100% (see Appendix 3: CANoe.DiVa Test Results), confirming the compliance of the protocol implementation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> DiVa = Diagnostics Validation

#### **6.5 Conclusion and Outlook**

The OBD software module has been implemented successfully and has proofed its functioning in several test environments.

Once integrated, with little configuration, it allows viewing live data, e.g. from sensors. To take advantage of all provided functionality some work has to be invested by the individual modules' to define diagnostic thresholds and conditions to store DTCs and Freeze Frames.

Not all OBD services were supported, mostly because the effort required for their calibration would exceed their usefulness (Monitors and Tests). A possible area of future work would be the inclusion of a multi-tiered fault memory distinguishing between sporadic and confirmed faults (service  $07_{\rm H}$ ).

Another goal for optimization could be the further reduction of the memory footprint of the OBD II module; the current version is optimized for ease of use and readability, which wastes some memory in the layout of the main data and configuration arrays.

During the writing of this thesis, a second project has been started to integrate an SD-card interface into the RPEMS hardware, so that the unit can store extended logging data on board, which should extend the diagnostic abilities of the system even further. A combination with the OBD module would be beneficial, e.g. for marking the acquired data on the SD card when OBD events happen.

### **Bibliography**

- [1] California Enviromental Protection Agency Air Resources Board, "Key Events in the History of Air Quality in California," 2012. [Online]. Available: http://www.arb.ca.gov/html/brochure/history.htm. [Accessed: 05-Jul-2013].
- [2] W. Grant, *Autos, smog, and pollution control: the politics of air quality management in California.* Edward Elgar Publishing Company, 1995.
- [3] J. E. Krier and E. Ursin, *Pollution and Policy: A Case Essay on California and Federal Experience with Motor Vehicle Air Pollution, 1940-1975.* University of California Press, 1977.
- [4] T. Schneider, *Air Pollution in the 21st Century: Priority Issues and Policy*. Elsevier, 1999.
- [5] K. Beiter, C. Rätz, and O. Garnatz, "Gesetzliche On-Board-Diagnose und ODX," in *Diagnose in mechatronischen Fahrzeugsystemen III : neue Verfahren für Test, Prüfung und Diagnose von E/E-Systemen im Kfz*, 2010, pp. 44–56.
- [6] European Parliament, Directive 98/69/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 October 1998 relating to measures to be taken against air pollution by emissions from motor vehicles and amending Council Directive 70/220/EEC. EU: EUR-Lex, 1998.
- [7] D.-I. F. Albrecht, D.-I. M. Krauß, and D.-I. G. Meder, "Der neue Sechszylindermotor mit 3 l Hubraum von BMW für die ULEV-Abgasgesetzgebung und OBD," *MTZ Mot. Zeitschrift*, vol. 61, no. 11, pp. 750–757, Nov. 2000.
- [8] European Parliament, Regulation (EC) No 715/2007 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 June 2007 on type approval of motor vehicles with respect to emissions from light passenger and commercial vehicles (Euro 5 and Euro 6) and on access to vehicle repair and mai. EU, 2007.
- [9] W. Zimmermann and R. Schmidgall, *Bussysteme in der Fahrzeugtechnik: Protokolle, Standards und Softwarearchitektur*, vol. 2010. Vieweg+Teubner Verlag, 2010.
- [10] ISO International Organization for Standardization, "ISO 15031-4: Communication between vehicle and external equipment for emissions-related diagnostics — Part 4: External test equipment," 2005.
- [11] Kvaser Inc., "Kvaser Memorator Light HS." [Online]. Available: http://www.kvaser.com/index.php?option=com\_php&Itemid=259&eaninput=7330 130005136&lang=en&product=Kvaser Memorator Light HS.
- [12] Vector Informatik, "Vector GL1000 Series Logger." [Online]. Available: http://vector.com/vi\_gllogger\_de.html#!../vi\_gllogger\_gl1000\_iframe\_de.html. [Accessed: 11-Dec-2013].

- [13] S. McConnell, *Software Estimation: Demystifying the Black Art: Demystifying the Black Art,* vol. 2009. O'Reilly Media, Inc., 2009.
- [14] Phytec Europe, "phyCORE-TC1796 / TC1797 / TC1793 product overview." [Online]. Available: http://www.phytec.eu/europe/products/modules-overview/cuttingedge-soms/product-details/p/phycore-tc1796tc1797.html. [Accessed: 20-Aug-2013].
- [15] E. W. Dijkstra, "Cooperating sequential processes," in in *The origin of concurrent programming*, New York: Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., 2002, pp. 65–138.
- [16] R. C. Seacord, *Secure Coding in C and C++ (Google eBook)*. Pearson Education, 2005, p. 368.
- [17] P. Mandl, Grundkurs Betriebssysteme: Architekturen, Betriebsmittelverwaltung, Synchronisation, Prozesskommunikation (Google eBook). Springer DE, 2009.
- [18] Q. Li and C. Yao, *Real-Time Concepts for Embedded Systems*. 2003.
- [19] W. Müller, "Entwicklung von Klopfregelfunktionen für das RPEMS NG Steuergerät," 2009.
- [20] ETAS GmbH, Ascet v5.2 Manual. 2007.
- [21] ISO/IEC, ISO/IEC 9899:201x Programming languages C. 2011.
- [22] ISO International Organization for Standardization, "ISO 15031-5: Communication between vehicle and external equipment for emissions-related diagnostics — Part 5: Emissions-related diagnostic services," 2011.
- [23] Vector Informatik, "CANoe.DiVa Automated Testing of the Diagnostic Protocol in ECUs." [Online]. Available: http://vector.com/vi\_canoediva\_en.html. [Accessed: 27-Nov-2013].
- [24] ISO International Organization for Standardization, "ISO 15031-3: Communication between vehicle and external equipment for emissions-related diagnostics — Part 3: Diagnostic connector and related electrical circuits, specification and use," 2004.
- [25] ISO International Organization for Standardization, "ISO 15765-2: Diagnostics on Controller Area Networks (CAN) — Part 3: Implementation of unified diagnostic services (UDS on CAN)," 2004.
- [26] ISO International Organization for Standardization, "ISO 11898-2: Controller area network (CAN) — Part 2: High-speed medium access unit," 2003.
- [27] ISO International Organization for Standardization, "ISO 11898-1: Data link layer and physical signalling; Road vehicles Controller area network (CAN)," 2003.
- [28] NXP Semiconductor, "PCA82C251 CAN transceiver for 24 V systems," no. August. 2011.

- [29] User: Fröstel, "File:CAN telegramm 2.0A.svg Wikimedia Commons." [Online]. Available: http://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:CAN\_telegramm\_2.0A.svg.
- [30] ETAS GmbH, Ascet v5.2 Reference Guide. 2007.
- [31] SAE Society for Automotive Engineers, "SAE J1979-DA," 2011.
- [32] Vector Informatik, "Description Files for Internal ECU Parameters." [Online]. Available: http://vector.com/vi\_datadescription\_ecu1\_en.html. [Accessed: 10-Nov-2013].

# Appendix

Appendix 1: List of Abbreviations	71
Appendix 2: CANoe.DiVa Test Specification	72
Appendix 3: CANoe.DiVa Test Results	73
Appendix 4: Software Module Block Diagrams	74
Appendix 5: Source Code	83

# Appendix 1: List of Abbreviations

ADC	Analog to Digital Converter
ASCET	Advanced Simulation and Control Engineering Tool
CALID	Calibration ID
CAN	Controller Area Network
CARB	California Air Resources Board
ССР	CAN Calibration Protocol
CSMA/CR	Carrier Sense Multiple Access / Collision Resolution
DAVE	Digital Application Virtual Engineer
DTC	Diagnostic Trouble Code
ECU	Engine Control Unit
EEPROM	Electrically Erasable Programmable ROM
EMI	Electromagnetic Interference
EMS	Engine Management System
EOBD	European On Board Diagnostics
ESDL	Embedded Systems Description Language
FF	Freeze Frame
GDI	Gasoline Direct Injection
ID	Identifier
INCA	Integrated Calibration and Acquisition System
ITID	InfoType ID
KWP	Key Word Protocol
MCU	Micro Controller Unit
MIL	Malfunction Indicator Light
MOb	Message Object
MY	Model Year
OBD	On Board Diagnostics
OSI	Open Systems Interconnect
PID	Parameter ID
RPEMS	Rapid Prototyping Engine Management System
SID	Service ID
SoM	System on Module
SPI	Serial Peripheral Interface a.k.a. Four-Wire-Bus
TID	Test ID
ТР	Transport Protocol

## Appendix 2: CANoe.DiVa Test Specification

	DiVa Test Specification
Table of contents	
ECU Specification	
Tests (401 generated)	
<b>1</b> Powertrain Diagnostic Data (139 tests	)
1.1 Read Supported PIDs (1 test)	
1.2 Read PIDs (138 tests)	
<b>2</b> Monitored Systems (89 tests)	
2.1 Read Supported MIDs (2 tests)	
<b>2.2</b> Read MIDs (87 tests)	
<b>3</b> System, Test or Component (10 tests)	)
<b>3.1</b> Read Support ed TIDs (1 test)	
3.2 Read TIDs (9 tests)	
<b>4</b> Vehicle Information (20 tests)	
<b>4.1</b> Read Supported Info Types (1 test)	
<b>4.2</b> Read Info Types (19 tests)	
<b>5</b> Fault Memory (143 tests)	
<b>5.1</b> Emission-Related Diagnostic Trouble Codes (1 test)	
5.1.1 Request Emission-Related DTCs (1 test)	
5.2 Freeze Frame Data (139 tests)	
5.2.1 Read Supported PIDs (1 test)	
5.2.2 Read Freeze Frame Data (138 tests)	
5.3 Emission-Related Diagnostic Trouble Codes Detected Du	ring Current or Last Completed Driving Cycle (1 test)
5.3.1 Request Emission-Related DTCs (1 test)	
5.4 Request Emission-Related Diagnostic Trouble Codes with	n Permanent Status (1 test)
5.4.1 Request Emission-Related DTCs (1 test)	
<b>5.5</b> Clear/Reset Emission-Related Diagnostic Information (1	test)
5.5.1 Clear Emission-Related DTCs (1 test)	
5.6 Active Fault Memory test (0 tests)	
5.6.1 Test Emission-Related DTCs (0 tests)	
<b>6</b> Transport Layer (0 tests)	
ECU Specification	
ECUInfo [ OBD ]	
File Version 1.20	
Name OBD	
Variant COMMON_DIAGNOSTICS	

## Appendix 3: CANoe.DiVa Test Results

eport CANoe.DiVa Test	Module				
Test passed					
eneral Test Information					
Test Engineer					
Windows Login Name: Luke					
Test Setup					
Version:	CANoe 8.1.60 (SI	P2)			
Configuration:			Vector\CANoe\8.1\CANoe Demos\OBD.cfg		
Configuration Comment:					
Test Module Name:	RPEMS2				
Test Module File:	C:\Users\Luke\Dr	opbox\Dipl	omarbeit\DiVa\RPEMS2.vxt		
Last modification of Test Module File:	2013-11-21, 18:24	4:22			
Test Module Library (CAPL):	C:\Users\Luke\Dr	laid/xodqo	omarbeit\DiVa\RPEMS2.callback.can		
Test Module Library (CAPL):		• •	omarbeit\DiVa\RPEMS2.001.can		
Windows Computer Name:	LUKE-HP				
Nodelayer Module osek_tp:	OSEK_TP (VC10 Vector CANoe 8.1		.20.51, Build 51), C:\Program Files (x86)\ sek_tp.dll		
Nodelayer Module DiVa:	DiVaTestExtensio	n, C:\Prog	ram Files (x86)\Vector CANoe 8.1\Exec32\DiVa\DiVa.dll		
Diagnostic Specification:					
DiVa Project:	C:/Users/Luke/Dr	opbox/Dipl	omarbeit/DiVa/RPEMS2.diva		
DiVa Configuration:	Config				
ECU Name:	OBD				
ECU Variant:	COMMON_DIAG	NOSTICS	NOSTICS		
Specification Version:	1.20				
TestModuleGenerationTime:	2013-11-21T17:24	4:21.048			
Start Timings					
P2 Time: 150ms					
P2* Time: 2000ms					
S3 Time: 5000ms					
EcuTimings: This are only the sta	rt Timings. P2 and P2	2* will char	ge as specified by the response of session control service		
P2 Time Metrics					
P2Time Average: 37ms					
P2Time Min.: 30ms					
P2Time Max.: 50ms					
est Overview					
Test hegin: 2012-11 21 10:21:22 //	ing timestamp 2250 (	266015)			
Test begin: 2013-11-21 19:21:33 (logg Test end: 2013-11-21 19:23:46 (logg					
Statistics		,			
Overall number of test cases		401			
Executed test cases		401	100% of all test cases		
Not executed test cases		0	0% of all test cases		
		401	100% of executed test cases		
Test cases passed Test cases with warning		401 0	100% of executed test cases 0% of executed test cases		

## Appendix 4: Software Module Block Diagrams

### List of Block Diagrams

Block Diagram 1: EOBD state machine main Diagram	75
Block Diagram 2: EOBD state machine idle state subdiagram	75
Block Diagram 3: EOBD state machine SID 1 subdiagram	76
Block Diagram 4: EOBD state machine SID 2 subdiagram	76
Block Diagram 5: EOBD state machine SID 3 subdiagram	77
Block Diagram 6: EOBD state machine SID 4 subdiagram	77
Block Diagram 7: EOBD state machine SID 9 subdiagram	78
Block Diagram 8: EOBD state machine invalid SID subdiagram	78
Block Diagram 9: EOBD_DTC_Interface test program	79
Block Diagram 10 : EOBD_remapper main diagram	80
Block Diagram 11: EOBD_remapper EOBD_01_DTC_CNT subdiagram	81
Block Diagram 12: EOBD_remapper init subdiagram	81
Block Diagram 13: EOBD_debouncer	82



Block Diagram 1: EOBD state machine main Diagram



Block Diagram 2: EOBD state machine idle state subdiagram



Block Diagram 3: EOBD state machine SID 1 subdiagram



Block Diagram 4: EOBD state machine SID 2 subdiagram



Block Diagram 5: EOBD state machine SID 3 subdiagram



Block Diagram 6: EOBD state machine SID 4 subdiagram



Block Diagram 7: EOBD state machine SID 9 subdiagram



Block Diagram 8: EOBD state machine invalid SID subdiagram



Block Diagram 9: EOBD\_DTC\_Interface test program

EOBDPIDData contains the Live Data items, indexed by OBD PID# EOBDPIDsAvailable contains a bitfield that encodes the PIDs available on this ECU. (see data\_update)

So for every Data item set, the corresponding Capabilities bit has to be set, too, so that the ECU can report the availability to athe tester. (online) edit EOBDPIDsAvailable to manually activate/deactive available PIDs on the OBD Interface

The EOBD\_xx\_yyyy Variables are used for conversion. Each has a formula attached to it that converts the physical data in the format used by OBD

This Module has to be called in pair with updateEOBDlivedata::updateEOBDpopulateArray



Block Diagram 10 : EOBD\_remapper main diagram









Block Diagram 12: EOBD\_remapper init subdiagram



Block Diagram 13: EOBD\_debouncer

# Appendix 5: Source Code

### List of Listings

Listing 1 : EOBD_infotype_interface implementation code	84
Listing 2: EOBD_infotype_interface header code	89
Listing 3: EOBD_remap::update_supported_PIDs_Mode1() implementation code	89
Listing 4: EOBDpopulateArray::data_update_100ms() header code	90
Listing 5: EOBDpopulateArray::data_update_100ms implementation Code	90
Listing 6: EOBD_DTC_Interface header code	91
Listing 7: EOBD_DTC_Interface implementation code	92
Listing 8: EOBD_bytesplit implementation code	109
Listing 9:EOBD_assemble_canArray implementation code	109
Listing 10: CANremap OBD transmit code	109
Listing 11:CANremap receive Code	110

Listing 1 : EOBD\_infotype\_interface implementation code

```
001 static uint8 msg pos,inftyp len,inftyp num;
002 uint8 inftyp_idx, inftyp_pos, i;
003 static uint8 cf wait count, cf block count,cf index;
004
005
006 // mandatory pattern: (pad with '')
007 //
                               "XXXn-YYYYYYYYYYYYYY
008 static char *EOBD_ECUNAME1 = "ECM\0-AVL RPEMS VCU\0\0";
009 //char EOBD ECUNAME2[] = "ECM\0-EngineControl\0\0";
010
011 /*
012 Automated Build Time generation:
013 date will expand to a 11-digit string like "Nov 15 2013"
014 time will expand to a 8-digit string like "13:28:23"
015 CALID is 16 characters wide:
016
                             "1234567890123456" */
017 static char *EOBD CALID1 = "RPEMS 08012013 2";
018 static char *EOBD_CALID2 = "Date:" __DATE__; //adjacent strings are automagically
concatenated
019 static char *EOBD CALID3 = "Time:" TIME "\0\0\0";
020
021 static char *EOBD CALID[3];
022 EOBD_CALID[0] = EOBD_CALID1;
023 EOBD CALID[1] = EOBD CALID2;
024 EOBD CALID[2] = EOBD CALID3;
025
026 static char *EOBD ECUNAME[1];
027 EOBD ECUNAME[0] = EOBD ECUNAME1;
028
029 // check if NEW RX Message = 0x02 0x09 0xYY .... (Service 9 request)
030 // This resets the state machine
031 // HINT: CANRX[0] is 2 for physical Addressing!
032
033 if (CANRX[0] &&
034
     CANRX[1] == 0x02 &&
035
      CANRX[2] == 0 \times 09
036 {
037
     StreamStatus = sm_setup;
038
     CANRX[0] = 0; //message = read
      inftyp = CANRX[3];
039
040
      streamSize = 0;
041 }
042
044
              State Machine
```

Appendix

```
046
047 switch(StreamStatus)
048 (
050
      case sm_setup:
051
052
          //determine stream size
053
          switch (inftyp)
054
           {
055
              case 0x00:
056
                  // supported INFTYPs
057
                  StreamStatus = sm single;
058
                  streamSize = 6; //SID + ITID + 4
059
                  break;
060
              case 0x04:
061
                  my_inftyp = EOBD_CALID;
062
                  inftyp len = 16;
063
                  inftyp num = sizeof(EOBD CALID)/sizeof(EOBD CALID[0]);
                  //SID+ITID+NODI + n*(INFTYP)
064
065
                  streamSize = (inftyp num * inftyp len) + 3;
066
                  //abort if CALID is not defined
067
                  if (streamSize > 3)
068
                      StreamStatus = sm first;
069
                  else
070
                      StreamStatus = sm_finished;
071
                  break;
072
              case 0x0A:
073
                  my inftyp = EOBD ECUNAME;
074
                  inftyp_len = 20;
075
                  inftyp_num = sizeof(EOBD_ECUNAME)/sizeof(EOBD_ECUNAME[0]);
076
                  //SID+ITID+NODI + n*(INFTYP)
077
                  streamSize = (inftyp_num * inftyp_len) + 3;
078
                  //abort if CALID is not defined
079
                  if (streamSize > 3)
080
                      StreamStatus = sm_first;
081
                  else
082
                      StreamStatus = sm_finished;
083
                  break;
084
              default:
085
                  //no other INFTYPs are supported
086
                  StreamStatus = sm finished;
087
           }
880
089
       break;
090
   091
092
       case sm single:
```

```
093
           //this only supports INFTYPs up to 0x1F
094
            //{TXen}[PCI][0x49][#ITID = 0x00][4-Byte INFTYP][0x55]
095
           CANTX[1] = ISO15765_SF | (streamSize & OxFF);
           CANTX[2] = 0x49; //SID 9 Response
096
097
           CANTX[3] = 0 \times 00; //INFTYP 0x00
098
           CANTX[4] = 0x10; //support 0x04 (CALID)
099
           CANTX[5] = 0 \times 40; //support 0x0A (ECUNAME)
100
           CANTX[6] = 0x00; //no support 0x11 - 0x18
101
           CANTX[7] = 0x00; //no support 0x19 - 0x20
102
           CANTX[8] = 0x55; //padding
           CANTX[0] = 1; //transmit
103
104
105
           StreamStatus = sm finished;
106
           break;
107
108 /****
           109
       case sm first:
           //{TXen}[PCI][PCI][0x49][#ITID][4 bytes INFTYP]
110
111
           CANTX[1] = ISO15765 FF | ((streamSize >>8) & 0x0F); //highest nibble
112
           CANTX[2] = streamSize & OxFF; //lower two nibbles
113
           CANTX[3] = 0x49; //service 9 Response
114
           CANTX[4] = inftyp;
115
           CANTX[5] = inftyp num;
116
           CANTX[6] = my_inftyp[0][0];
117
           CANTX[7] = my_inftyp[0][1];
118
           CANTX[8] = my_inftyp[0][2];
119
120
           CANTX[0] = 1;
121
122
           msg_pos = 6;
           StreamWaitCounter = 0; //reset timeout
124
           StreamStatus = sm_wait_FC; //now wait for the flow control frame
125
           break;
126
127 /*********************Flow Control********************/
128
       case sm wait FC:
129
130
           //wait max 2s (200 * 10ms) for F/C frame
           if (StreamWaitCounter++ == 200)
131
132
            £
133
                StreamStatus = sm finished;
134
               break;
           }
136
137
           if (CANRX[0] == 2&&
                (CANRX[1] & ISO15765_FC) == ISO15765_FC) //is this a new FC frame?
139
            ł
```

```
140
                CANRX[0] = 0;
                                 //ok, we got this
141
142
                //===Status===
143
                // 0 = OK, go ahead
144
                // 1 = WAIT; Tester not ready, wait for another F/C frame
                // 2 = OVERFLOW; Tester buffer too small. Nothing we can do about that.
146
                // N USDATA.confirm(OVERFLW) is carried out by returning true (=finished)
                // 3+ = Reserved. Not supported.
147
149
                if ((CANRX[1] & 0x0F) == 1)
150
                Ł
                    break;
152
                Ъ
153
154
                if ((CANRX[1] & 0x0F) >= 2)
155
                 £
156
                     StreamStatus = sm finished;
157
                    break;
158
                }
159
                //===Block Size:====
160
161
                //0x00: no further F/C just send the rest
162
                //0x01-0xFF: nuber of frames before the next F/C negotiation
163
164
                Stream_BS = CANRX[2];
165
                //===Separation Time:===
166
                //0x00-0x7F (127d) 0..127ms
168
                //0x80-0xF0 -reserved-
                //0xF1-0xF9 100..900s
169
                //0xFA-0xFF -reserved-
171
                //since this code does run every 10ms, we can only do multiples of 10ms
ST.
172
                //<=11ms: no wait; 11-20ms= wait 1 cycle etc...</pre>
173
174
                Stream ST = CANRX[3];
175
                if (Stream_ST < 127)</pre>
176
                     Stream ST = Stream ST/10;
177
                else if ((Stream ST > 0xF0) && (Stream ST < 0xFA))</pre>
178
                     Stream ST = 0;
179
                else
180
                     Stream ST = 12;
182
                cf_wait_count = 0;
183
                cf_block_count = 0;
184
                if (msg_pos == 6)
85
                     cf index = 1; //after the First Frame
```

```
else
                   cf index = 0;
187
188
189
               StreamStatus = sm CF;
190
           }
191
           break;
192
    193
194
       case sm CF:
195
           //wait lockout
           if (cf_wait_count++ == Stream_ST)
196
197
               cf wait count = 0; //reset wait cycle counter
198
           else
199
               break; //wait for next exec cycle
200
201
202
           for (i=0; i<7; i++)</pre>
203
           {
204
           inftyp_idx = (msg_pos - 3 )/inftyp_len;
205
           inftyp pos = (msg pos - 3 )%inftyp len;
206
           CANTX[i+2] = my_inftyp[inftyp_idx][inftyp_pos];
207
           msg_pos++;
208
           if (msg pos == streamSize)
209
               break;
210
           }
211
212
           CANTX[1] = ISO15765_CF | cf_index; //PCI
213
           CANTX[0] = 1; //transmit
214
215
           cf_index = ++cf_index%16; //0,1..15,0,1,...
216
           cf block count++;
217
218
           if (msg_pos == streamSize)
219
           {
220
               //transfer complete
221
               StreamStatus = sm finished;
222
               break;
223
           }
224
225
           if (Stream_BS && (cf_block_count == Stream_BS))
226
           Ł
227
               //reached the Block Size Limit - wait for next F/C Frame
               StreamWaitCounter = 0; //reset timeout
228
229
               StreamStatus = sm wait FC;
230
           }
231
           break;
```

```
233 case sm_finished:
234 return true;
235
236 default:
237 StreamStatus = sm_finished;
238 }
239
240 return false; //transmission not yet finished
```

#### Listing 2: EOBD\_infotype\_interface header code

```
1 char **my_inftyp;
2
3 //----IS015765_SF 0x00
5 #define IS015765_SF 0x10
6 #define IS015765 CF 0x20
7 #define IS015765 FC 0x30
```

Listing 3: EOBD\_remap::update\_supported\_PIDs\_Mode1() implementation code

```
01 uint32 capa bitfield;
02 uint8 i,j, done, PID TOP;
04 EOBD max PID = sizeof(EOBDPIDsAvailable)/sizeof(EOBDPIDsAvailable[0]);
05
06 #define PID RANGE 0x20
08 PID_TOP = (EOBD_max_PID/PID_RANGE) * 0x20; //must be multiple of 0x20
09
10 i = PID_TOP;
11 done = 0;
14 while (!done)
15 {
16
      capa_bitfield = 0x00000000;
17
      for (j=1; j<=PID_RANGE; j++)</pre>
18
19
       -{
20
            //do not check out of bounds of PIDsAvailable array
            if (EOBDPIDsAvailable[i + j] && ((i+j) < (EOBD_max_PID +1)))</pre>
22
            {
23
                capa bitfield |= (1 << (PID RANGE-j));</pre>
24
            }
25
        }
        if (capa_bitfield)
26
```

```
27
        £
28
            EOBDPIDData[i] = capa bitfield;
29
            EOBDPIDsAvailable[i] = 1;
        }
       if (i == 0)
        {
34
           done = 1;
        ł
36
       else
        Ł
38
           i-=PID RANGE;
39
        ъ
40 }
```

Listing 4: EOBDpopulateArray::data\_update\_100ms() header code

#define EOBD\_VAL(PID, VAL) EOBDPIDData[PID] = VAL << ((4-EOBDPIDSize[PID]) \* 8);</pre>

Listing 5: EOBDpopulateArray::data\_update\_100ms implementation Code

```
002 * This puts the EOBD Mode 1 PID Values ("Live data") into a PID-indexed
003 * array. The values are assigned in EOBDremap.
004 *
005 * To add/remove supported PIDs, edit the Data of EOBDPIDsAvailable
********
008 // EOBD VAL(0x00, 0);
009 EOBD_VAL(0x01, EOBD_01_DTC_CNT);
010 // EOBD_VAL(0x02, 0);
011 // EOBD VAL(0x03, 0);
012 EOBD_VAL(0x04, EOBD_04_LOAD_PCT);
013 EOBD VAL(0x05, EOBD 05 ECT);
014 // EOBD VAL(0x06, 0);
018 // EOBD VAL(0x0A, 0);
019 EOBD VAL (0x0B, EOBD 0B MAP);
020 EOBD_VAL(0x0C, EOBD_0C_RPM);
021 EOBD VAL(0x0D, EOBD 0D VSS);
022 // EOBD_VAL(0x0E, 0);
023 // EOBD VAL(0x0F, 0);
024 // EOBD VAL(0x10, 0);
025 EOBD_VAL(0x11, EOBD_11_TP);
026 // EOBD VAL(0x12, 0);
```

```
035 // EOBD VAL(0x1B, 0);
036 EOBD_VAL(0x1C, 0x07); //OBD support: EOBD, OBD II
037 // EOBD VAL(0x1D, 0);
042 // EOBD_VAL(0x22, 0);
043 EOBD_VAL(0x23, EOBD_23_FRP);
044 // EOBD VAL(0x24, 0);
058 // EOBD_VAL(0x32, 0);
059 EOBD_VAL(0x33, EOBD_33_BARO);
060 // EOBD VAL(0x34, 0);
073 // EOBD VAL(0x41, 0);
074 EOBD_VAL(0x42, EOBD_42_VPWR);
075 // EOBD VAL(0x43, 0);
078 // EOBD VAL(0x46, 0);
079 EOBD_VAL(0x47, EOBD_47_TP_B);
080 // EOBD VAL(0x48, 0);
155 // EOBD_VAL(0x93, 0);
```

#### Listing 6: EOBD\_DTC\_Interface header code

```
01 //--- EEP Memory Map --> see init()---
02 #define EOBD EEP SIZE 9
03 #define EOBD EEP DTC SIZE 4
04 #define EOBD_EEP_FF_SIZE 5
05
06 uint8* EEP_EOBD_mem[EOBD_EEP_SIZE];
07
08 #define getbyte(A, B) (((A) >> ((B)*8)) & 0xFF)
09
10 //---All Pages -----
11 #define EEP_CMD 1
12 #define EEP_STATUS 0
13 #define EEP PAGESIZE 14
14
15 //----Page0-----
16 #define EEP EOBD NUMDTC 2
17 #define EEP EOBD NUMFF 3
18 #define EEP EOBD FIRSTDTC 4
19 #define EEP EOBD MIL 5
20 #define EEP_EOBD_FIRSTFF 6
  //----DTCs----
```

```
23 #define DTC STARTPAGE 1
24 #define MAX_DTC ((EOBD_EEP_DTC_SIZE * EEP_PAGESIZE) / 2)
25
26 //----FFs----
27 #define FF STARTPAGE 5
28
29 //----EEP-----
30 #define EEP WRITE 8
31 #define EEP READ 1
32 #define EEP READOK 1
33 #define EEP WRITEOK 8
34 #define EEP READERR 4
35 #define EEP WRITEERR 128
36
37 //----ISO15765-----
38 #define IS015765 SF 0x00
40 #define ISO15765 CF 0x20
41 #define IS015765 FC 0x30
```

Listing 7: EOBD\_DTC\_Interface implementation code

```
002 * This is pseudo-file compiled from all the c-functions in EOBD_DTC_Interface
003 \star Since ASCET only allows editing of the function BODY, the function wrappers
004 * have been added by hand to show the name and arguments of each function,
005 * replacing ASCET-specific types by more general ones such as "uint" and "bool"
006 * the modifier "private" indicates that the function is declared private in ASCET
007 * and can only be called from inside EOBD_DTC_Interface as "self::some_function()"
009
010 void StoreDTC(EOBD_DTC_SystemID System, uint Code, bool enables_MIL, bool store_ff)
011 (
      int tmpDTC;
013
     int _commit = 0;
014
015
    if (EOBD memRdy)
016
     {
017
          tmpDTC = (System << 14) | (Code & 0x3FFF);</pre>
018
019
          if (enables_MIL)
020
          £
            EOBD MILStatus = true;
             commit = 1;
          }
024
          if (store FF)
```

```
026
           ł
027
               self.StoreFF(_tmpDTC);
028
               _commit = 1;
029
           }
030
           if(!self.checkForDuplicateDTC( tmpDTC))
032
           Ł
033
               _nextDTCIdx = self.insertNewDTC();
034
035
               _memPage = self.getDTCpage(_nextDTCIdx);
036
               _memOffset = self.getDTCoffset(_nextDTCIdx);
037
038
               EEP_EOBD_mem[_memPage][_memOffset] = (_tmpDTC >> 8) & 0xFF;
039
               EEP_EOBD_mem[_memPage][_memOffset+1] = _tmpDTC & 0xFF;
040
041
               commit = 1;
042
           }
043
044
           if (_commit)
045
               self.commitToEEP();
046
       ł
047 }
048
                      049 /***********
051 void StoreFF(uint DTC)
052 (
       uint8 i,j, current PID, mem offset, p, o, f;
054
055
       if (_EOBD_memRdy)
056
       £
057
           //get next "free" frame / memory location
058
           _f = self.insertNewFF();
059
           mem offset = self.getFFTotalOffset( f,0);
060
061
           //write the responsible DTC
062
           _p = self.getFFpage(mem_offset);
063
           _o = self.getFFoffset(mem_offset++);
064
           EEP EOBD mem[ p][ o] = (DTC >> 8) & 0xFF;
065
066
           p = self.getFFpage(mem offset);
           o = self.getFFoffset(mem offset++);
067
068
           EEP_EOBD_mem[_p][_0] = DTC & OxFF;
069
070
           //Dump the PIDs in the FF Memory Area
071
           for (i=0; EOBDFFPIDs[i]; i++)
            £
```

```
current PID = EOBDFFPIDs[i];
074
075
               //for each PID, write the bytes
076
               for (j=0;j<EOBDPIDSize[current PID];j++)</pre>
077
               £
078
                   _p = self.getFFpage(mem_offset);
079
                    o = self.getFFoffset(mem offset++);
080
            //the PID Data is left-aligned in a 32 bit field -> MSB = (uint32)>>24 !
081
                   EEP_EOBD_mem[_p][_o] = getbyte(EOBDPIDData[current_PID],(3-j));
082
               }
083
            }
084
085
            //self.commitToEEP();
086
       }
087 }
088
089
                     090
091 void clearDTCs()
092 {
093
       //since DTCs cannot be deletet individually, it is OK to just zero their count.
094
       //{\rm No} management of the actual DTC storage has to be done.
095
096
       int i,j;
097
098
      EOBD numDTC = 0;
099
       _EOBD_firstDTCIdx = 0;
       EOBD MILStatus = false;
       EOBD numFF = 0;
       _EOBD_firstFFIdx = 0;
104
       //\mathrm{If} the Paramater is selected, the memory Area is "formatted".
105
       //if(EOBD DTCFullerease == true)
106
       {
           for (i = 0; i < EOBD_EEP_SIZE; i++)</pre>
108
            ł
               for (j = 2; j<16; j++)</pre>
               Ł
                   EEP EOBD mem[i][j] = 0;
               }
            }
114
           passed FE = true;
       }
116
       //immediately commit, so errors don't "come back"
118
       self.commitToEEP();
119 }
```

```
123 void commitToEEP()
124 (
       //commits Data from RAM to EEP
126
      uint8 i;
128
129
     EEP EOBD mem[0][EEP EOBD NUMDTC] = EOBD numDTC;
      EEP_EOBD_mem[0][EEP_EOBD_FIRSTDTC] = _EOBD_firstDTCIdx;
      EEP EOBD mem[0][EEP EOBD MIL] = EOBD MILStatus;
      EEP_EOBD_mem[0][EEP_EOBD_NUMFF] = _EOBD_numFF;
       EEP_EOBD_mem[0][EEP_EOBD_FIRSTFF] = _EOBD_firstFFIdx;
134
       for (i=0; i<EOBD EEP SIZE; i++)</pre>
136
       £
          EEP EOBD mem[i][EEP CMD] = EEP WRITE;
138
       }
139 }
140
      141
142
143 void EOBD_DTC_MGMT_100ms()
144 (
145
       // copy EEP stuff to RAM as soon as the EEP becomes available
146
       // and skip the block after that (! EOBD:memRdy)
147
148
       if((!EOBD memRdy) && self.DTCMemCheck())
149
       ł
          EOBD numDTC =
                             EEP EOBD mem[0][EEP EOBD NUMDTC];
          _EOBD_firstDTCIdx = EEP_EOBD_mem[0][EEP_EOBD_FIRSTDTC];
          EOBD_MILStatus =
                             EEP_EOBD_mem[0][EEP_EOBD_MIL];
          EOBD numFF =
                             EEP EOBD mem[0][EEP EOBD NUMFF];
154
          _EOBD_firstFFIdx = EEP_EOBD_mem[0][EEP_EOBD_FIRSTFF];
156
          EOBD memRdy = true;
157
       }
158
159
      DTCrunning += 1;
160
      sizeof 0 = self.getFFSpecialPID(0x00);
       sizeof_1 = self.getFFSpecialPID(0x20);
       sizeof 2 = self.getFFSpecialPID(0x40);
       sizeof 3 = self.getFFSpecialPID(0x80);
164
165 }
66
```

```
168
169 void init()
170 (
      //This maps "real" EEP Pages in the EOBD Memory Map
172
      //The EEP Pages don't need to be consecutive.
174
      uint8 i;
176
     EEP EOBD mem[0] = eepPage 2;
                                  //bookkeeping
     EEP_EOBD_mem[1] = eepPage_3; // DTCs 0-6
178
      EEP EOBD mem[2] = eepPage 4;
                                  // DTCs 7-13
179
      EEP_EOBD_mem[3] = eepPage_5; // DTCs 14-20
180
      EEP_EOBD_mem[4] = eepPage_6;
                                 // DTCs 21-27
181
      EEP EOBD mem[5] = eepPage 7;
                                  // FF Data
182
      EEP EOBD mem[6] = eepPage 8; // FF Data
183
      EEP EOBD mem[7] = eepPage 9;
                                  // FF Data
184
      EEP EOBD mem[8] = eepPage 10; // FF Data
185
186
187
     //calculate the Size of a EOBD FF
188
     //it is the sum of the PID sizes contained in it + 2 Bytes for the associated DTC
189
      _EOBD_FFSize = 2;
191
192
      for (i=0; EOBDFFPIDs[i]; i++)
193
      £
194
          EOBD FFSize += EOBDPIDSize[EOBDFFPIDs[i]];
195
      ÷.
196
197
      //calculate the maximum amount of FFs that can be stored in the allocated memory
198
      //this might be 0 if the list of PIDs is too long
199
      EOBD MaxFF = (EOBD EEP FF SIZE*EEP PAGESIZE) / EOBD FFSize;
201 }
204
205 bool DTCMessageStream(uint8[] CANRXData, uint8[]CANTXData)
206 (
207
      uint16 tmp dtc;
208
     uint8 i;
209
      static uint8 cf wait count, cf block count, half dtc pending, half dtc byte,
cf index;
211
      // check if NEW RX Message = 0x01 0x02 .... (Service 2 request)
```

```
// This resets the state machine
214
       // HINT: CANRXData[0] is 2 for physical Adressing!
215
216
      if (CANRXData[0] &&
217
          CANRXData[1] == 0 \times 01 &&
218
          CANRXData[2] == 0 \times 03)
219
       {
220
          StreamStatus = sm_setup;
          CANRXData[0] = 0; //message = read
222
       ÷.
223
224
       /*****
225
                 State Machine
       ******
226
228
       switch (StreamStatus)
229
       £
230
       case sm_setup:
232
              //we can transmit up to 2 complete DTCs in a SF, for
              //more we have to do a segmented transfer
234
              if (_EOBD_numDTC <= 2)</pre>
235
              {
236
                 StreamStatus = sm_single;
                  DTCStreamNumDTC = _EOBD_numDTC;
238
              ÷.
239
              else
240
                  StreamStatus = sm first;
241
              break;
242
       243
244
          case sm_single:
245
              //{TXen}[PCI][0x43][#DTC][DTC1L][DTC1H][DTC21][DTC2H][0x55]
              CANTXData[1] = ISO15765 SF | (2 + DTCStreamNumDTC * 2);
246
247
              CANTXData[2] = 0x43; //SID 3 Response
248
              CANTXData[3] = DTCStreamNumDTC;
249
              for (i=0;i < DTCStreamNumDTC; i++)</pre>
              Ł
                  tmp dtc = self.ReadDTCFromEEP(i);
                 CANTXData[4 + 2*i] = (tmp_dtc >> 8) & 0xFF;
253
                  CANTXData[5 + 2*i] = tmp dtc & 0xFF;
254
              }
              CANTXData[8] = 0x55;
256
              CANTXData[0] = 1;
258
              StreamStatus = sm_finished;
              break;
```

case sm\_first: //{TXen}[PCI][PCI][0x43][#DTC][DTC1L][DTC1H][DTC21][DTC2H] DTCStreamNumDTC = EOBD numDTC; DTCStreamLen = 2 + DTCStreamNumDTC \* 2; //1DTC = 2 bytes + [0x43][#DTC] CANTXData[1] = ISO15765\_FF | ((DTCStreamLen >>8) & 0x0F); //highest 266 nibble CANTXData[2] = DTCStreamLen & OxFF; //lower two nibbles 268 CANTXData[3] = 0x43; //service 3 Response CANTXData[4] = DTCStreamNumDTC; 269 271 DTCStreamNextDTC = 0; for (i=0;i < 2; i++)</pre> £ tmp dtc = self.ReadDTCFromEEP(DTCStreamNextDTC++); CANTXData[5 + 2\*i] = (tmp dtc >> 8) & OxFF; //MSB first CANTXData[6 + 2\*i] = tmp\_dtc & OxFF; 276 } 278 CANTXData[0] = 1; //transmit 279 half\_dtc\_pending = 0; // we have to do that here, since F/C can also ba after a "half" DTC 281 cf index = 1; //this is the 0th frame... 282 StreamWaitCounter = 0; //reset timeout StreamStatus = sm\_wait\_FC; //now wait for the flow control frame 285 break; 286 287 288 **case** sm wait FC: 289 //wait max 2s (200 \* 10ms) for F/C frame if (StreamWaitCounter++ == 200) 292 £ StreamStatus = sm finished; break; } 297 if (CANRXData[0] == 2&& 298 (CANRXData[1] & ISO15765\_FC) == ISO15765\_FC) //is this a new FC frame? { CANRXData[0] = 0; //ok, we got this //===Status=== // 0 = OK, go ahead 304 // 1 = WAIT; Tester not ready, wait for another F/C frame
<mark>305</mark> that.	// 2 = OVERFLOW; Tester buffer too small. Nothing we can do about
<mark>306</mark> (=finished)	// N_USDATA.confirm(OVERFLW) is carried out by returning true
307	<pre>// 3+ = Reserved. Not supported.</pre>
308	
309	if ((CANRXData[1] & $0xOF$ ) == 1)
310	(
311	break;
312	}
313	
314	if ((CANRXData[1] & $0 \times 0F$ ) >= 2)
315	(
316	<pre>StreamStatus = sm_finished;</pre>
317	break;
318	}
319	
320	
321	//===Block Size:====
322	//0x00: no further F/C just send the rest
323	//0x01-0xFF: nuber of frames before the next F/C negotiation
324	
325	<pre>Stream_BS = CANRXData[2];</pre>
326	
327	//===Separation Time:===
328	//0x00-0x7F (127d) 0127ms
329	//0x80-0xF0 -reserved-
330	//0xF1-0xF9 100900s
331	//0xFA-0xFF -reserved-
332	//since this code does run every 10ms, we can only do multiples of 10ms ST.
333	//<=11ms: no wait; 11-20ms= wait 1 cycle etc
334	
335	<pre>Stream_ST = CANRXData[3];</pre>
336	if (Stream_ST < 127)
337	Stream_ST = Stream_ST/10;
338	<pre>else if ((Stream_ST &gt; 0xF0) &amp;&amp; (Stream_ST &lt; 0xFA)) </pre>
339	<pre>Stream_ST = 0;</pre>
340	else Stream ST - 12.
341	$Stream_{ST} = 12;$
342 343	cf wait count = $0;$
344	cf block count = 0;
345	<pre>if (DTCStreamNextDTC == 2)</pre>
346	cf index = 1; //after the First Frame
347	else
348	cf index = 0;
349	·
350	<pre>StreamStatus = sm CF;</pre>
000	

394

```
}
               break;
       354
           case sm CF:
356
               //wait lockout
               if (cf wait count++ == Stream ST)
358
                   cf wait count = 0; //reset wait cycle counter
               else
360
                   break; //wait for next exec cycle
               //try to write up to 3 DTC in Message - if there is a half DTC pending,
               //offset them by 1 byte to leave room for that.
364
               //if the end of the DTCs is reached before, break out of the loop
               for (i=0;i < 3; i++)</pre>
               £
367
                   if (DTCStreamNumDTC == DTCStreamNextDTC)
368
                       break; //no more DTCs to send, maybe a half one
                   tmp dtc = self.ReadDTCFromEEP(DTCStreamNextDTC++);
                   CANTXData[2 + 2*i + half_dtc_pending] = (tmp_dtc >> 8) & 0xFF; //MSB
First
                   CANTXData[3 + 2*i + half dtc pending] = tmp dtc & OxFF;
374
               ł
375
               //is there still "half" a DTC to transmit?
376
               if (half dtc pending)
378
               Ł
379
                   CANTXData[2] = half dtc byte;
                   half dtc pending = 0;
381
               ł
               //we didnt have a half left so we produce one if needed
               else if (!(DTCStreamNumDTC == DTCStreamNextDTC))
384
               £
                   tmp dtc = self.ReadDTCFromEEP(DTCStreamNextDTC++);
                   CANTXData[8] = (tmp dtc >> 8) & 0xFF; //MSB first
386
387
                   half dtc byte = tmp dtc & OxFF;
388
                   half dtc pending = 1;
389
               }
391
               CANTXData[1] = ISO15765 CF | cf index; //PCI
               CANTXData[0] = 1; //transmit
393
```

```
cf_index = ++cf_index%16; //0,1..15,0,1,...
cf block count++;
```

```
397
              if ((DTCStreamNumDTC == DTCStreamNextDTC) & !half dtc pending)
              ł
399
                  //transfer complete
400
                  StreamStatus = sm finished;
401
                  break;
402
              }
403
404
              if (Stream_BS && (cf_block_count == Stream_BS))
405
              ł
406
                  //reached the Block Size Limit - wait for next F/C Frame
407
                  StreamWaitCounter = 0; //reset timeout
408
                  StreamStatus = sm wait FC;
409
              -F
410
411
              break;
412
413
          case sm_finished:
414
              return true;
415
416
           default:
417
              StreamStatus = sm_finished;
418
       }
419
420
      return false; //transmission not yet finished
421 }
422
424
425 getFFPID(uint frame, uint PID, uint8[] CANTXdata)
426 (
427
       //-->See headers!!
428
429
     uint32 _tmpPID =0;
     uint8 i,current_PID,mem_offset,_p,_o;
430
431
432
     if ( EOBD memRdy)
433
      {
434
          mem_offset = 0;
435
           tmpPID = 0;
436
437
           switch (PID)
438
           £
439
              case 0x00:
440
              case 0x20:
441
              case 0x40:
442
              case 0x60:
443
              case 0x80:
```

_	<pre>tmpPID = self.getFFSpecialPID(PID);</pre>
	f (_tmpPID)
446 (	
447 non-zero	<pre>//only set current_PID if the returned value (supported PIDs) is</pre>
448	<pre>//empty support bitfields will be reported as not supported and</pre>
therefore wil not be	
449	<pre>current_PID = PID;</pre>
450 }	
451 <b>b</b>	reak;
452	
453 <b>defau</b>	lt:
454 <b>i</b>	<b>f</b> (PID == 0x02)
455 {	
456	//PID 0x02 is the FF DTC, which is at offset 0 $$
457	current_PID = $0x02;$
458 }	
459	lse
460 <b>(</b>	
461	//the first 2 bytes are for the FF DTC
462	<pre>mem_offset = 2;</pre>
463	- //calculate the PID memory position inside a FF
464	//this assumes that the PID exists - The tester is required by
ISO15031	
465	<pre>//to query this information before making a request.</pre>
466	
467	<pre>current_PID = 0;</pre>
468	<pre>for (i=0; EOBDFFPIDs[i]; i++) //traverse until we hit a 0</pre>
469	£
470	<pre>current_PID = EOBDFFPIDs[i];</pre>
471	<pre>if (current_PID == PID)</pre>
472	break;
473	else
474	{
475	<pre>mem_offset += EOBDPIDSize[current_PID];</pre>
476	
477	$//{ ext{if}}$ the next PID in the list is 0 and the current is
not the one we	
478	<pre>//want, it is not in the list, therefore not supported</pre>
479	<pre>if (EOBDFFPIDs[i+1] == 0)</pre>
480	{
481	//indicate that the PID is not in the list
482	<pre>current_PID = 0;</pre>
483	}
484	}
485	}
486	
487 }	

```
488
                     //only if supported
490
                     if(current_PID)
491
                     Ł
492
                         //get the "real" position of the data in the FF/DTC Memory blob
493
                         mem offset = self.getFFTotalOffset(frame,mem offset);
494
495
                         //bytewise assemble PID from EEP Memory
496
                         for (i=0;i<EOBDPIDSize[PID];i++)</pre>
                         £
                             _p = self.getFFpage(mem_offset);
498
499
                             o = self.getFFoffset(mem offset);
500
                             tmpPID <<= 8;
501
                             _tmpPID |= EEP_EOBD_mem[_p][_0] & OxFF;
                             mem offset++;
503
                         }
504
                     }
            }
506
507
            if (current PID || (PID == 0))
508
            ł
509
                //left-align PID data int the CAN Msg
510
                CANTXdata[1] = ISO15765_SF | (EOBDPIDSize[PID] + 3);
511
                CANTXdata[2] = 0x42; //0x02 + 0x40
512
                CANTXdata[3] = PID;
513
514
                CANTXdata[4] = frame;
515
                CANTXdata[5] = 0x55;
516
                CANTXdata[6] = 0x55;
517
                CANTXdata[7] = 0 \times 55;
518
                CANTXdata[8] = 0x55;
519
520
                for(i=0; i < EOBDPIDSize[PID]; i++)</pre>
                 £
522
                     CANTXdata[i+5] = (_tmpPID >> ((EOBDPIDSize[PID] - 1-i)*8)) & 0xFF;
523
                }
524
525
                CANTXdata[0] = 1;
526
            }
527
            else
528
            Ł
                CANTXdata[0] = 0;
            }
        }
532 }
533
```

```
536 uint getDTCpage(uint DTCAdr)
537 (
538
      //zero-indexed!
539
      //The DTCAdr Argument is the ABSOLUTE Adress of the DTC in the DTC Storage
540
      //Memory space. It does not account for wrap-around etc.
541
542
     if (DTCAdr <= MAX DTC)
543
          return (((DTCAdr) / (EEP_PAGESIZE/2)) + DTC_STARTPAGE);
544
       else
545
          return 1;
546 }
547
                  ******
548
550 uint getDTCoffset(uint DTCAdr)
551 (
552
      //zero-indexed!
553
      //The DTCAdr Argument is the ABSOLUTE Adress of the DTC in the DTC Storage
554
      //Memory space. It does not account for wrap-around etc.
       //(EEP_PAGESIZE/2) = number of DTCs (2 byte) per Page - works only for even page
sizes!
556
      // .. * 2 converts the DTC number inside the page to the byte offset
557
      // add 2 since the usable offsets start at 2. (0,1) are command & control.
558
559
     if (DTCAdr <= MAX DTC)
          return (((DTCAdr) % (EEP PAGESIZE/2) * 2) + 2);
560
       else
562
          return 2;
563 }
564
566
567 uint getFFTotalOffset(uint frame, uint mem offset)
568 {
569
       // get the page on which a certain memory location (offset)
       // within a certain frame# (frame) resides
572
      uint8 total offset, real frame;
573
574
575
      //calculate real frame locaion
576
      real frame=(( EOBD firstFFIdx + frame) % EOBD MaxFF);
578
       total_offset = real_frame * _EOBD_FFSize + mem_offset;
579
       return (total offset);
```

```
581 }
582
584
585 bool DTCMemCheck(void)
586 (
587
       int i;
588
       int _memOK = 1;
589
590
       for (i=0; i < EOBD_EEP_SIZE; i++)</pre>
591
       ł
592
           if (!(EEP EOBD mem[i][EEP STATUS] & (EEP READOK|EEP WRITEOK)))
593
               _memOK = 0;
594
       }
596
       if (_memOK)
597
           return true;
598
       else
599
           return false;
600 }
601
                        603
604 bool checkForDuplicateDTC(uint DTC)
605 (
606
       uint16 i, _tmp_adr, _tmp_DTC;
607
       int _found = 0;
608
609
       for (i=0; i < EOBD_numDTC; i++)</pre>
610
       ł
           _tmp_adr = self.findDTC(i);
612
           _memPage = self.getDTCpage(_tmp_adr);
613
           _memOffset = self.getDTCoffset(_tmp_adr);
614 __tmp_DTC = ((EEP_EOBD_mem[_memPage][_memOffset])<<8) |
(EEP_EOBD_mem[_memPage][_memOffset+1]);</pre>
615
616
           DTCLookupTmpDTC = _tmp_DTC;
617
           DTClookupiterations = i+1;
618
619
           if (_tmp_DTC == DTC)
620
               return true;
621
       }
623
       DTCLookupNotFound += 1;
624
625
       return false;
626 }
```

\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 62.8 629 630 uint insertNewDTC(void) 631 { //Rollover logic: 633 //The DTCs are stored in a "ring" // numDTC is the actual number of saved DTCs 634 //internally, the DTCs are stored on a zero-based index 636 //if numDTC (the number of stored DTCs) reaches MAX DTC, the oldest DTCs get overwritten. // numDTCs then stays at MAX DTC and firstDTCIdx starts moving from 0  $\,$ 638 639 uint8 \_next\_free; 640 641 if (EOBD numDTC >= MAX DTC) 642 { \_next\_free = \_EOBD\_firstDTCIdx++; 643 644 EOBD firstDTCIdx %= MAX DTC; 645 } 646 else 647 { 648 \_next\_free = \_EOBD\_numDTC++; 649 ł return \_next\_free; 652 } 655 656 uint insertNewFF(void) 657 **{** 658 //Rollover logic: 659 //The DTCs are stored in a "ring" 660 // numDTC is the actual number of saved DTCs 661 //internally, the DTCs are stored on a zero-based index //if <code>numDTC</code> (the number of stored DTCs) reaches MAX DTC, the oldest DTCs get overwritten. 663 // numDTCs then stays at MAX DTC and firstDTCIdx starts moving from 0  $\,$ 664 665 uint8 \_next\_free; 666 if (EOBD numDTC >= MAX DTC) 668 £ next free = EOBD firstDTCIdx++; \_EOBD\_firstDTCIdx %= MAX DTC; 671 } else

```
673
      ł
674
         _next_free = _EOBD_numDTC++;
675
      }
676
677
     return _next_free;
678 }
679
682 uint findDTC(uint DTCnum)
683 (
684
      // Rollover logic:
685
     // The DTCs are stored in a "ring"
686
      // numDTC is the actual number of saved DTCs
687
      // internally, the DTCs are stored on a zero-based index
688
     // if _numDTC (the number of stored DTCs) is MAX_DTC, the oldest DTCs got
overwritten.
      // numDTCs then stays at MAX DTC and firstDTCIdx starts moving from 0 - So the
689
"real"
690
      // DTC Address is offset by _firstDTCIdx and wraps around the top.
692
     int _real_adr;
693
694
      if ( EOBD numDTC >= MAX DTC)
695
      {
          _real_adr = (DTCnum + _EOBD_firstDTCIdx) % MAX DTC;
696
697
      }
698
      else
699
      {
          _real_adr = (DTCnum);
      }
702
      return _real_adr;
704 }
705
708 uint ReadDTCFromEEP(int DTCnum)
709 (
     uint16 _tmp_adr, _tmp_DTC;
711
712
      if (EOBD memRdy)
      £
714
         if (DTCnum < EOBD numDTC)
715
          £
716
             _tmp_adr = self.findDTC(DTCnum);
             memPage = self.getDTCpage( tmp adr);
718
             memOffset = self.getDTCoffset( tmp adr);
```

```
719
720 __tmp_DTC = ((EEP_EOBD_mem[_memPage][_memOffset])<<8) |
(EEP_EOBD_mem[_memPage][_memOffset+1]);</pre>
             return tmp DTC;
          }
          else
724
             return 0;
725
     }
726
      else
727
          return 0;
728 }
729
730 /****
                     731
732 uint getFFpage(uint abs mem offset)
733 {
      return ((abs mem offset / EEP PAGESIZE) + FF STARTPAGE);
734
735 }
736
738
739 uint getFFoffset(uint abs_mem_offset)
740 (
741
      //add 2, since the usable offsets start at 2.
742
      //0,1 are status/command bytes
743
      return ((abs mem offset % EEP PAGESIZE) + 2);
744
745 }
746
   747
748
749 uint getFFSpecialPID(uint PID)
750 (
      uint8 i;
752
      uint32 tmp pid = 0;
753
754
      switch (PID)
755
      {
756
          //case 0x00,0x20,0x40,0x60,0x80:
          //these PIDs bit-encode the other available PIDs
758
          //{\rm so} we walk through the EOBDFFPIDs list and set the bits accordingly
759
          case 0x00:
             //PID 2 is always supported
761
             tmp_pid = 0x40000000;
762
          case 0x20:
          case 0x40:
64
          case 0x60:
```

```
case 0x80:
                // The last byte of EOBDFFPIDs has to be 0, or this will hang
767
                for (i=0; EOBDFFPIDs[i]; i++)
768
                Ł
                    //if PID is within the PID's encoded range (PID+1...PID+0x20)
                    //flip on a bit at it's relative position (MSB = bit0)
                    if ((EOBDFFPIDs[i] > PID) && (EOBDFFPIDs[i] <= (PID + 0x20)))</pre>
                    Ł
                         tmp_pid |= 1<<(32 - EOBDFFPIDs[i] + PID);</pre>
774
                    3
776
                    //if at least one PID above the current range exists,
                    //set the last bit to indicate that another PID index is available.
778
                    if(EOBDFFPIDs[i] > (PID + 0x20))
779
                        tmp pid |= 0x0000001;
780
                }
781
                break;
782
            default:
783
                break;
784
        }
785
786
        return tmp_pid;
787 }
```

## Listing 8: EOBD\_bytesplit implementation code

01 return (value >> (numbyte\*8)) & 0x00000FF;

Listing 9:EOBD\_assemble\_canArray implementation code

```
01 //the CANarrayPtr Argument provides a pointer to the Array's
02 //Memory Location when connected to the GET port of an Array
03
04 CANarrayPtr[0] = NewData;
05 CANarrayPtr[1] = Data0;
06 CANarrayPtr[2] = Data1;
07 CANarrayPtr[3] = Data2;
08 CANarrayPtr[3] = Data2;
08 CANarrayPtr[4] = Data3;
09 CANarrayPtr[5] = Data4;
10 CANarrayPtr[6] = Data5;
11 CANarrayPtr[7] = Data6;
12 CANarrayPtr[8] = Data7;
```

Listing 10: CANremap OBD transmit code

```
*******
03 *********
04 if (EOBD_TXCAN[0]) //NewMsg Flag
05 {
06 DataT96[0] = EOBD TXCAN[1];
07 DataT96[1] = EOBD_TXCAN[2];
08 DataT96[2] = EOBD TXCAN[3];
09 DataT96[3] = EOBD TXCAN[4];
10 DataT96[4] = EOBD_TXCAN[5];
11 DataT96[5] = EOBD_TXCAN[6];
12 DataT96[6] = EOBD_TXCAN[7];
13 DataT96[7] = EOBD_TXCAN[8];
14
15 EOBD_TXCAN[0] = 0;
16 EOBD_TXFlag = 1;
17 }
```

Listing 11:CANremap receive Code

```
02 * ID 7DFh - EOBD Functional RX Address
04
05 if (EOBD_RXFlag_F)
06 (
07 EOBD RXCAN[1] = DataR112[0];
08 EOBD RXCAN[2] = DataR112[1];
09 EOBD_RXCAN[3]
            = DataR112[2];
10 EOBD_RXCAN[4] = DataR112[3];
11 EOBD RXCAN[5] = DataR112[4];
12 EOBD_RXCAN[6]
            = DataR112[5];
13 EOBD_RXCAN[7] = DataR112[6];
14 EOBD RXCAN[8] = DataR112[7];
15 EOBD_RXCAN[0]
            = 1; //NewMsg Flag
16 EOBD RXFlag F = 0;
17 }
18
20 * ID 7Enh - EOBD Physical RX Address
22
23 if (EOBD_RXFlag_P)
24 (
25 EOBD RXCAN[1] = DataR113[0];
26 EOBD_RXCAN[2]
            = DataR113[1];
27 EOBD RXCAN[3]
            = DataR113[2];
28 EOBD RXCAN[4] = DataR113[3];
```

```
29 EOBD_RXCAN[5] = DataR113[4];
30 EOBD_RXCAN[6] = DataR113[5];
31 EOBD_RXCAN[7] = DataR113[6];
32 EOBD_RXCAN[8] = DataR113[7];
33 EOBD_RXCAN[0] = 2; //NewMsg Flag
34 EOBD_RXFlag_P = 0;
35 }
```