



Denise Atzmüller, BSc

# Functional Analysis of Putative Virulence Genes of *Campylobacter fetus*

# MASTERARBEIT

zur Erlangung des akademischen Grades

Master of Science

Masterstudium Molekulare Mikrobiologie

eingereicht an der

# Technischen Universität Graz

Betreuerin

Ao.Univ.-Prof. Dr.rer.nat. Ellen Zechner

Institut für Molekulare Biowissenschaften

# **EIDESSTATTLICHE ERKLÄRUNG**

Ich erkläre an Eides statt, dass ich die vorliegende Arbeit selbstständig verfasst, andere als die angegebenen Quellen/Hilfsmittel nicht benutzt, und die den benutzten Quellen wörtlich und inhaltlich entnommenen Stellen als solche kenntlich gemacht habe. Das in TUGRAZonline hochgeladene Textdokument ist mit der vorliegenden Masterarbeit identisch.

Datum

Unterschrift

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

A deep an honest THANK YOU to

#### **Prof. Ellen Zechner**

for the opportunity to make my first scientific steps under her supervision in her great working group.

#### Sabine Kienesberger

for supervision and productive discussions during my masters time and proofreading and correction of the manuscript.

#### Hanna Sprenger

for her outstanding support in the lab. When I started she was a great and helpful college, now that I finished she is a real good friend to me.

#### my colleges Elli, Georg, Katrin, Jürgen, Sandra & Vinod

for their support with professional and personal problems.

#### **Brigitte Pertschy**

for her support with the methodology of yeast and her always friendly and acknowledging words.

#### my good friends Chrissi and Evi

for their encouraging words in the right moments.

#### my boyfriend Richard

for his extraordinary patience, productive discussions and his unique ability to give me the stability and energy during my whole studies.

#### my Family

for always giving me every possible support, financially, mentally and emotionally, from the first day in school till the end of my studies.

I

# Für meinen Opa

der leider zu früh starb

meinen Uni-Abschluss zu erleben.

# Abstract

The *Campylobacter* species *Campylobacter fetus* includes both human and animal pathogens. This species is further divided into the subspecies *C. fetus* subsp. *fetus* (*Cff*) and *C. fetus* subsp. *venerealis* (*Cfv*). While *Cfv* uniquely infects cattle, *Cff* has a broader host range including human. Although *Cff* and *Cfv* differ clearly in their host range, they show 92.9% sequence identity. The aim of this study is to investigate the function of genes that are unique to either *Cff* or *Cfv* and are putatively involved in virulence.

Serotype A *Cff* strains harbor a putative UDP-Galactosefuranose mutase (*glf*), which is absent in *Cfv* but known in other pathogens (e.g. *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*) to be involved in lipopolysaccharide (LPS) synthesis and therefore contribute to virulence. In this work, a *glf* knockout-strain (*Cff* 82-40  $\Delta$ *glf*) was generated which showed grossly normal levels of LPS production and resistance to human serum but reduced acid tolerance compared to the wildtype. Therefore, *glf* might be important for *Cff* during oral infection and passage through the acidic stomach.

*Cfv* harbors a functional toxin-antitoxin system consisting of Fic1 (antitoxin) and Fic2 (toxin). While Fic1 can compensate the toxicity of Fic2 in *Escherichia coli*, both proteins are toxic in HeLa cells. To better understand the cellular process and protein target of Fic2 in eukaryotes the gene was heterologously overexpressed in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. However, ectopic expression of Fic1 and Fic2 in *S. cerevisiae* showed no effect on cell growth or shape. Thus, *S. cerevisiae* lacks homology to the human cellular processes disrupted by *Cfv* Fic proteins. This finding identifies *S. cerevisiae* as a possible host for overexpression and purification of Fic1 and Fic2 and Fic2.

## ZUSAMMENFASSUNG

Die Spezies *Campylobacter fetus* kann weiter unterteilt werden in die beiden Subspezies *C. fetus* subsp. *fetus* (*Cff*) und *C. fetus* subsp. *venerealis* (*Cfv*). Während *Cfv* ausschließlich Rinder infiziert, zeigt *Cff* eine weitaus größere Wirtsspezifität und zählt auch zu den humanen Pathogenen. Trotz dieser unterschiedlichen Wirtsanpassung, zeigen *Cff* und *Cfv* eine hohe genomische Sequenzübereinstimmung von 92.9%. Ziel dieser Arbeit ist es, die Funktion von Genen zu untersuchen die entweder für *Cff* oder *Cfv* einzigartig sind.

Serotyp A *Cff* Stämme tragen ein Gen (*glf*), welches vermutlich eine UDP-Galaktosefuranose Mutase kodiert. Dieses Enzym ist in anderen pathogenen Bakterien (z.B.: *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*) an der Bildung von Lipopolysaccharid (LPS) und damit an deren Pathogenität beteiligt. In dieser Arbeit wurde ein *glf* Knockoutstamm (*Cff* 82-40  $\Delta$ glf) hergestellt. Dieser zeigt gleiche LPS Menge und Serumtoleranz, jedoch verminderte Säuretoleranz verglichen zum Wildtyp. Daher könnte *glf* wichtig sein für die orale Infektion und das Überleben der sauren Magenpassage.

*Cfv* trägt ein funktionelles Toxin-Antitoxin System bestehend aus Fic1 (Antitoxin) und Fic2 (Toxin). Fic1 reprimiert die Toxizität von Fic2 in *Escherichia coli*, in HeLa Zellen wirken beide Proteine toxisch. Um den zellulären Prozess bzw. die daran beteiligten Proteine zu untersuchen, wurden die beiden Gene in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* exprimiert. Hier zeigte weder Fic1 noch Fic2 einen Effekt auf Wachstum oder Zellmorphologie. Folglich scheint der molekulare Mechanismus der durch die Fic Proteine von *Cfv* in HeLa Zellen gestört wird, in *S. cerevisiae* nicht konserviert zu sein. *S. cerevisiae* könnte sich jedoch als Expressionsorganismus für die Überexpression und Aufreinigung von Fic1 und Fic2 eignen.

# INDEX

1	Introdu	ction	1
	1.1 <i>Can</i>	npylobacter fetus	1
	1.1.1	Pathogenesis and medical relevance	1
	1.1.2	Genome comparison and subspecies definition	2
	<i>1.2</i> Par	t 1 – lipopolysaccharide, surface layer and virulence of <i>Cff</i>	4
	1.2.1	Lipopolysaccharide (LPS)	4
	1.2.2	Surface layer (S-layer)	6
	1.2.3	UDP-Galactopyranose mutase (glf)	7
	<i>1.3</i> Par	t 2 - Toxin-Antitoxin system of <i>Cfv</i>	8
	1.3.1	Toxin-antitoxin systems	8
	1.3.2	Fido protein superfamily	8
	1.3.3	Fic1 and Fic2 – preliminary data	9
2	Aim of t	his work	.10
3	Materia	l and Methods	.11
	3.1 Mea	lia, solutions and reagents	.11
	3.1.1	Self-made media	. 11
	3.1.2	Ready-to-use media	. 12
	3.1.3	Buffers and Solutions	. 12
	3.1.4	Enzymes, Antibodies and Kits	.14
	3.1.5	Antibiotics	.14
	3.2 Prir	ner	.15
	3.3 Stra	iins	.16
	3.3.1	Growth and storage conditions	. 16
	3.1 Plas	smids	.17
	3.1.1	knockout of <i>glf</i>	.17
	3.2 Gen	eral methods	.17
	3.2.1	PCR-protocols	.17
	3.3 Aga	rose gel electrophoresis	.19
	3.3.1	SDS-page	. 19
	3.3.2	Protein staining	. 19
	3.4 Wes	stern blotting	.20
	3.5 Met	hods for the characterization of the <i>glf</i> -knockout	.20
	3.5.1	Conjugation	. 20
	3.5.2	LPS isolation and staining	. 21
	3.5.3	Serum resistance assay	. 21
	3.5.4	Acid survival Assay	. 22
	3.5.5	RT-PCR	. 22
	3.6 Met	hods for the expression of Fic proteins in <i>S. cerevisiae</i>	.22
	3.6.1	Cloning	. 22
	3.6.2	Yeast transformation	. 23

	3.6.3	Spot test	24
	3.6.4	Yeast disintegration	24
	3.6.5	Microscopy	24
4	Results		25
	4.1 Pa	t1 - Characterization of a <i>Cff 82-40 glf</i> knockout strain	25
	4.1.1	Verification of <i>glf</i> -knockout	25
	4.1.2	Trans complementation of <i>glf</i> with pRYTG1 leads to an	
		overexpression of <i>glf</i> - RT-PCR	26
	4.1.3	Knockout of <i>glf</i> does not influence the amount of LPS O-Antigen -	
		LPS staining	27
	4.1.4	Knockout of <i>glf</i> leads to increased acid sensitivity at pH 3.5 and 3.4	
		compared to the wildtype - acid survival assay	28
	4.1.5	Knockout of <i>glf</i> does not alter serum resistance compared to the	
		wildtype - serum resistance assay	29
	4.2 Pa	rt 2 - Expression of Fic proteins in <i>S. cerevisiae</i>	30
	4.2.1	Verification of <i>fic</i> -containing plasmids- colony PCR and Sequencing	30
	4.2.2	Microscopy of S. cerevisiae expressing Fic1-Flag and Flag-Fic2 showed	t
		normal cell-shape	31
	4.2.3	S. cerevisiae with Fic1-Flag and Flag-Fic2 showed no difference in	
		growth - spot tests	32
	4.2.4	Fic1-Flag and Flag-Fic2 are expressed in <i>S. cerevisiae</i> - Anti-Flag	
		western blot	34
5	Discus	sion and Outlook	36
	5.1 Ch	aracterization of a <i>Cff 82-40 glf</i> knockout strain	36
	5.2 Ex	pression of Fic proteins in <i>S. cerevisiae</i>	39
6	Refere	nces	41
7	Appen	dix	45
	7.1 No	tes and Abbreviations	45
	7.2 Inc	lex of figures	a
	7.3 Sec	juencing Alignments	b

#### **1** INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Campylobacter fetus

The bacterium *C. fetus* is a gram-negative S shaped rod which grows under microaerophilic conditions. It is highly adapted to the intestinal and/or urogenital tracts of different hosts [1], [2]. *C. fetus* can be divided into two subspecies: *C. fetus* subsp. *fetus* (*Cff*) and *C. fetus* subsp. *venerealis* (*Cfv*), which differ in epidemiology and in their mode of infection [3]. *Cfv* exclusively colonizes the urogenital tract of cattle and is the causative agent of Bovine Veneral Campylobacterosis (BVC) [3], [4]. *Cff* has a much broader host range including sheep, cattle, swine, poultry, reptiles and humans (reviewed in [5]).

#### 1.1.1 <u>Pathogenesis and medical relevance</u>

The predominant subspecies associated with human infection is Cff [6].

Most cases of confirmed human *Cff* infections happen due to consumption of raw or undercooked food or unpasteurised milk (reviewed in [5]). After oral ingestion the bacteria have to survive passage through the acidic milieu of the stomach to colonize the mucus of the intestine. Intestinal colonization can lead to diarrhea or serve as starting point for portal bacteraemia. Healthy hosts overcome the infection without further symptoms, but in impaired hosts systemic bacteraemia and/or secondary tissue distribution can happen [1] (Figure 1).

Several severe illnesses, such as septicaemia, peritonitis, endo- and pericarditis, cellulitis, meningoencephalitis and osteoarthritis have been reported after *Cff* infection. In contrast to animal infection, human abortion is rare (reviewed in [5]).



**Figure 1: Proposed pathogenesis for** *Campylobacter fetus* infections in humans. (figure from [1])

1

*Cff* is the *Campylobacter* species most often isolated from patients suffering from bacteraemia [2]. Nevertheless it is believed that the number of *Cff* infections is still underestimated because of three major problems in clinical diagnostics: (I) *C. fetus* grows slowly under *in vitro* conditions; (II) the organism needs microaerophilic atmosphere to grow; (III) most clinical isolates of *C. fetus* are susceptible to cephalothin, which is present in selective media for *Campylobacter* cultivation (reviewed in [1]).

#### Cfv infections mainly occur in female cattle.

Bulls are mostly asymptomatic carriers of *Cfv* but transfer the pathogen into the urogenital tract of female cattle during coitus. Subsequent inflammation causes abortion and infertility [3], [4]. Therefore, BVC is a big economic issue in large cattle herds and in trading of bulls and sperm.

#### 1.1.2 Genome comparison and subspecies definition

Comparative analysis of the first available whole genomes of both subspecies *Cff* 82-40 and *Cfv* 84-112, revealed a sequence identity of 92.9% and a homology of more than 99%. A comparative plot is shown in Figure 2. About 180 kbp are uniquely present in Cfv, and 35 kbp in Cff. The unique sequences are clustered in hot spots and named variation regions (VRs). This work focuses on genes located on two of these VRs. One VR that co-localises on both genomes encode genes that are putatively involved in surface carbohydrate metabolism and although present on the same location of the genome, their gene content varies. These regions are called venerealis subspecies definition region (VSDR) or fetus subspecies definition region (FSDR) [7]. A second VR contains a genomic island specific for *Cfv* (VGI) harbouring a functional type IV secretion system and two proteins which belong to the Fido protein superfamily, namely Fic1 and Fic2. Furthermore *Cfv* 84-112 has an extra-chromosomal element (ICE\_84-112) which encodes two more Fido proteins, Fic3 and Fic4 [7]. Fido motives are known to occur in bacterial effector molecules and toxin-antitoxin systems. The Fido proteins of Cfv 84-112 represent a functional toxin-antitoxin system [8].



**Figure 2: Genome comparison of** *C. fetus* **subspecies.** Plots were generated using *C. fetus* subsp. *venerealis* 84-112 (*Cfv*) as a reference (A) or *C. fetus* subsp. *fetus* 82-40 (*Cff*) (B). Inside tracks represent GC-content (ring 1) and GC-skew (ring 2). *Cff* is shown in blue and *Cfv* in red. Variation regions (VR) relative to the reference genome are indicated in orange/yellow and named according to the corresponding Genomic Island (GI) or the subspecies definition region (SDR). (V) and (F) in the feature names designate the subspecies *venerealis* and *fetus*, respectively. Important genes or features are indicated in parenthesis. Positions of selected mobility genes are indicated. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0085491.g001. (figure and legend from [7])

3

#### *1.2* Part 1 – lipopolysaccharide, surface layer and virulence of *Cff*

#### 1.2.1 Lipopolysaccharide (LPS)

#### General structure of LPS of gram-negative bacteria

LPS is a structure on the surface of most gram-negative bacterial cells and consists mainly of three parts, the lipid A, the core and the O-Antigen (Figure 3). The hydrophobic Lipid A anchors the LPS molecule into the outer membrane of the bacterial cell with its fatty acid chains. Lipid A acts as endotoxin and is recognised by host immune system [9]. The core region can be further separated into inner and outer core. The inner core tends to be conserved within a genus or family and consists of 2-Keto-3-Desoxy-Octonat (KDO) and a heptose [10], [11]. The outer core consists of a greater variety of sugars, but mostly of linear hexoses which can be branched and phosphorylated. The third part is the O-Antigen. This part is very variable. It can be a homo- or heteropolymer chain of sugars which can be linear or branched, modified e.g. by acetylation or methylation and can be built up from up to 164 units in *E. coli* [9], [10]. The O-Antigen contains epitopes for immune recognition by the host immune system. Alteration in the O-Antigen structure or modification can contribute to evasion of host immune system. In *C. fetus* the O-Antigen composition distinguishes between the three serotypes (A, B and AB) [12].



**Figure 3: Scheme of LPS structure of gram-negative bacteria;** shown are the three main regions: lipid A (red) which anchors LPS molecules in the outer membrane of the bacterium, core region which is attached to lipid A by KDO (yellow) followed by heptose (green) and hexose (blue). The O-Antigen (orange) composition of *C. fetus* causes the three serotypes (A, B and AB) and represents epitopes for host immune system. (figure from [11])

#### Genomics and characteristics of C. fetus LPS

*C. fetus* is not only divided into subspecies, but also into serotypes or sap-types. There are type A, B and rarely AB which correlate to O-antigen of LPS structure. While *Cfv* always belongs to the type A group, *Cff* can be A, B or AB [12], [13]. Serotypes also correlate with susceptibility to human serum. While type A is resistant, type B is susceptible to normal human serum [12], [14], [15].

Kienesberger et al [7] showed that there are several genes or rather gene combinations, putatively involved in LPS synthesis, that are unique for each serotype. They screened 102 *C. fetus* strains, 62 *Cfv* and 40 *Cff*, for *glf* (putative UDP-galactopyranose mutase), *mat1* (putative maltose O-acetyltransferase) and *wcbK* (putative GDP-mannose 4,6-dehydratase). They showed that *Cff* type A encodes *glf* but no *mat1* or *wcbK*. 58 *Cfv* strains harboured *mat1*, but no *glf* or *wcbK*. *Cff* type B harbours *wcbK* and *mat1*, but no *glf*. It was shown that a knockout of *wcbK* in *Cff* ATCC 27474 (serotype B) leads to a loss of LPS O-Antigen, increased serum resistance and decreased tolerance to acidic conditions compared to the wildtype [7]. These results confirm that *wcbK* is somehow involved in the synthesis of LPS. Since *wcbK* is not present in type A strains, *glf* might be the corresponding gene there.

Moran et al [13] compared some biological activities (e.g. the ability to induce mitogenicity or lethal toxicity in mice) of *C. fetus* LPS with *Salmonella typhimurium* LPS and found, that *C. fetus* LPS has relatively low activities compared to *S. typhimurium* LPS. The lower toxic activity of Lipid A might be due to longer fatty acids of *C. fetus* LPS. Furthermore *Cff* serotype A strains showed a lower activity than serotype B strains. They suspect that these differences between the two serotypes may be due to different sugar compositions of the O-Antigen [13].

While LPS of *Cff* serotype B strains contains mainly D-rhamnose together with 3-O-methylrhamnose, that of *Cff* serotype A consists mainly of D-mannose. Glucose, D-glycero-D-manno-heptose, L-glycero-D-manno-heptose and trace amounts of galactose occur in the LPS of both serotypes. While type B O-Antigen is a linear D-rhamnan with a terminal 3-O-methyl-D-rhamnose residue, the O-Antigen of type A strains is a D-mannan chain with partial O-acetylation [16] [17]. The serotypes A and B also show different patterns in SDS Page electrophoresis of proteinase K treated whole cell lysate, type AB shows the same pattern as B [12].

#### *LPS is essential for acid resistance of* Helicobacter pylori *and* Cff *serotype B*

McGowan et al [18] showed that a knockout of a gene called *wcbJ*, which is homologous to known O-antigen biosynthesis proteins, leads to a loss of O-Antigen of *Helicobacter pylori*. The knockout strain also lacks the ability of the wildtype to survive pH 3.5. It was also shown that a knockout of *wcbK* in *Cff* serotype B has similar effects [7]. So the O-Antigen seems to be essential for the organism to survive the acidic conditions in the host stomach.

5

# 1.2.2 Surface layer (S-layer)

Surface layers or S-layers are mononuclear crystalline arrays of one single protein or glycoprotein and represent the outermost layer of many bacteria and archaea. S-layers have a smooth outside and a rougher inner surface. These shield-like structures occur on gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria as well as on archaea. The subunits are non-covalently bound to surface structures of the cell, e.g. LPS in gram-negative bacteria (Figure 4) (reviewed in [19]).

*C. fetus* can produce several variants of S-layer proteins (slp). All variants show a conserved N-terminal region (184 amino acids) which has been shown to be responsible for the interaction with cell surface [20]. The fact that isolated slps reattach to the bacterial surface in a stereotypic manner (SapA reattaches only to serotype A cells, SapB to serotype B) indicates that slps interact directly with the LPS [21].



**Figure 4: schematic view of a gram-negative cell-envelope with attached S-layer** (figure from [22]).

# S-layer of C. fetus and virulence

It was shown that *C. fetus* strains lacking the S-layer (S<sup>-</sup>) are attenuated in virulence. S<sup>-</sup> strains lose the ability to cause bacteraemia in mice after oral uptake. S<sup>-</sup> strains also fail to cause abortion in pregnant sheep when administered orally, but lead to abortion when inoculated directly into the uterus. So the S-layer contributes importantly to the ability of the pathogen to spread through the bloodstream (reviewed in [23]). This is true because the presence of a S-layer prevents the cells from binding complement mediators (C3b) and therefore from phagocytosis by immune cells [15]. Furthermore, slps of *C. fetus* undergo a fast and efficient phase variation. With this mechanism *C. fetus* is able to evade antibody binding of host immune system (reviewed in [23]). These two effects enable serum-resistant *C. fetus* to spread efficiently through blood system of their hosts.

## 1.2.3 <u>UDP-Galactopyranose mutase (glf)</u>

The gene encoding the flavoenzyme <u>UDP-galactopyranose mutase</u> (UGM), was first identified and described in 1996 in *E. coli* K12 and named *glf* [24]. UGM catalyses the conversion from UDP-galactopyranose to UDP-galactofuranose (UDP-Gal*f*) (schematically shown in Figure 5) [24]–[26].

UDP-Gal*f* represents the precursor of Gal*f*, which is found in the cell wall and cell surface glycoconjugates of different gram-negative bacteria including human pathogens like *Mycobacterium tuberculosis, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Trypanosoma cruzi* and others (reviewed in [25]).



Figure 5: schematic representation of the reaction catalysed by UGM. (figure from [25])

In some pathogens such as *Aspergillus fumigatus* and *Leishmannia major* it was shown that deletion of the genes encoding UGM attenuate virulence [27], [28]. In *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* UGM and a second enzyme (Rv3808c) are essential for growth and viability, because Gal*f* forms an important link between LPS and the mycolic acid layer of the bacterium [29].

## 1.3 Part 2 - Toxin-Antitoxin system of *Cfv*

# 1.3.1 <u>Toxin-antitoxin systems</u>

Toxin-antitoxin (TA) systems occur in numerous bacteria and archaea. They play a major role in adaptation to stress conditions, population control, programmed cell death and are suspected to contribute to virulence in some cases. The first identified TA system was encoded on a plasmid, contributing to its maintenance. TA systems consist of a toxin and an antitoxin which are co-transcribed from one TA operon. The toxin always shows higher stability as the antitoxin, so the antitoxin has to be continuously synthesised to repress the toxin. There are several types of TA systems. In type I and III the toxin is repressed by a small antitoxin RNA. The other known systems consist of two proteins where the unstable antitoxin forms a complex with the more stable toxin to inactivate it.

TA systems are widely spread in bacteria. *E. coli* for example has at least 33 TA systems, *M. tuberculosis* has more than 60. Interestingly, the non-pathogenic counterpart of *M. tuberculosis*, *M. smegmatis* only encodes 2 TA systems. This observation led to the speculation that the number of TA systems may be related to pathogenicity, at least in this organism (reviewed in [30]).

# 1.3.2 Fido protein superfamily

The Fido protein superfamily is characterised by the conserved sequence HPFX[D/E]GN[G/K]R, which can be found in the members of two protein families, Fic (filamentation induced by cAMP) and Doc (death on curing) [31].

Proteins containing the classical Fic motif (HPFX[D/E]GN[G/K]RXXR) show AMPylation activity [32], whereas the degenerated Fic motif of AnkX found in *Legionella pneumophilae* shows phosphocholination activity [33].

While Fic proteins are encoded chromosomally and some are known to be transferred as effector molecules into host cells via type IV secretion systems [34], the Doc protein is derived from the bacteriophage P1. The P1 TA system consists of the toxin Doc and the antitoxin Phd and ensures maintenance of the prophage in the host [30]. Doc phosphorylates its target, the translation elongation factor EF-Tu and inhibits translation [35].

8

#### 1.3.3 Fic1 and Fic2 – preliminary data

Because for this work only Fic1 and Fic2 are relevant, only the data concerning these two genes will be summarised here.

Sprenger et al. [8] showed that HeLa cells show cell-rounding and increased apoptosis when transfected with *fic1* or *fic2*. Mutation of histidine 184 to alanine abolished these effects (data not shown). This indicates that H184 is crucial for the function of Fic2 in HeLa cells. Heterologous expression of Fic2 in *E. coli* leads to severe filamentation of the cells, while Fic1 and Fic2H184 do not show this effect. Co-expression of Fic1 and Fic2 reduces the filamenting effect of Fic2 (Figure 6 A). It also was shown that expression of Fic2 in *E. coli* leads to a strong delay of growth compared to the empty vector control. Fic1 causes only a moderate growth effect. Co-Expression of Fic1 and Fic2 nearly restores the growth to the level of Fic1 expression (Figure 6 B).

They further found out that Fic2 expression leads to an accumulation of 30S subunits in *E. coli*, indicating that Fic2 has its bacterial target somewhere in translation (data not shown).



**Figure 6: preliminary data of the effects of Fic expression in** *E. coli*; **A** Expression of fic toxin genes in *E. coli* leads to a filamentous phenotype of the cells. Confocal microscopy of Nile red stained *E. coli* expressing *C. fetus* subsp. *venerealis* 84-112 chromosomally encoded *fic* or fic2H184A (a-d), and the empty vector as a control (e). **B** Chromosomally encoded Fic2 is toxic in *E.coli*, while Fic1 reduces toxicity of Fic2. Growth profiles of *E. coli* expressing Fic from pBAD24 or pBADCm at 37°C in LB medium + 0.05% arabinose. Figure and legend adapted with permission of the author from [8].

# 2 AIM OF THIS WORK

Aim of this work was the characterisation of genes that are unique for each *C. fetus* subspecies and to investigate their contribution to virulence.

As an extension of previous work on *C. fetus* I focused on two important genes subsets.

The first part of this work describes the characterization of *glf*, a gene putatively involved in biosynthesis of the LPS of *Cff* serotype A strains. A *glf* knockout strain was created and phenotypically characterised. The performed assays focused on LPS production and resistance to acid and human serum.

In the second part, the two genes (fic1 and fic2) that build a toxin antitoxin system of *Cfv* were cloned and expressed in *S. cerevisiae* for further investigation of possible eukaryotic targets.

# **3** MATERIAL AND METHODS

# 3.1 Media, solutions and reagents

# 3.1.1 <u>Self-made media</u>

LB (Lysogeny Broth)-Medium	LB-plates	
10 g/l Tryptone	10 g/l	Tryptone
5 g/l Yeast extract	5 g/l	Yeast extract
5 g/l NaCl	5 g/l	NaCl
	15 g/l	Agar-Agar

CBA (Colombia Blood Agar)				
42.5 g/l Colombia-D Medium	Biomérieux			
5% defibrinated Sheep Blood <sup>1)</sup>	Biomérieux			

YPD (Yeast extract, Peptone, Dextrose)

10 g/l Yeast extract 20 g/l Bacto peptone 20 g/l Glucose 15 g/l Agar

YNB (Yeast Nitrogen Base – minimal media)					
Solution A (1	00 ml)				
0.7 g	Yeast Nitrogen Base	10 g	Glucose		
2.5 g	(NH4) <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	10 g	Agar		
			Amino acid mix <sup>2)</sup>		

All components were solved in VE-water and autoclaved at 121°C for 20-25 minutes.

Solution A and B of YNB media were autoclaved separately.

<sup>1)</sup> Sheep blood was added after autoclaving into cooled medium.

<sup>2)</sup> MP biomedicals, amount differs according to amino acid mix.

# 3.1.2 <u>Ready-to-use media</u>

CBA – plates

MEM (minimal essential medium)

Biomérieux

Gibco® by life technologies

# 3.1.3 Buffers and Solutions

# Table 1: Buffers and solutions used in this study.

Name of solution	Components	Amount/Concentration
	NaCl	137 mM
1 v DBS huffor	KCl	2.7 mM
(Description Ruffor Salino)	$Na_2HPO_4 \ge 7 H_2O$	4.3 mM
(I nospilate bullet Salille)	KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	1.4 mM
		in <sub>dd</sub> H <sub>2</sub> O; pH 7.3
50v TAF buffer	Tris-base	242 g
(Tris-Acetate-FDTA-	Acetic acid	57.1 ml
huffer)	0.5 M EDTA pH 8.0	100 ml
		filled to 1 l with $_{dd}H_2O$
10 mM Tris-buffer	Tris-base	1.2 g/l
		in <sub>dd</sub> H <sub>2</sub> O; pH 7.5
	SDS 20%	0.1 ml
	Glycerol	5.7 ml
10x DNA loading dye	1x TAE buffer	4.2 ml
	Bromophenol blue	5 mg
	Xylenecyanol	5 mg
	10x Thermo-Pol reaction buffer	200 µl
2x Taq buffer	dNTP-mix (NEB)	20 µl
	nuclease free water (Fresenius)	780 µl
LPS isolation and staining		
	<sub>dd</sub> H <sub>2</sub> O	4.5 ml
	Glycerol	800 µl
Lysis buffer	10% SDS	1.6 ml
Lyono banter	β-Mercaptoethanol	400 µl
	1 M Tris/HCl pH 6.8	500 µl
	Bromphenol blue	
	Isopropanol	50 ml
Fixation solution	Acetic acid	14 ml
	<sub>dd</sub> H <sub>2</sub> O	136 ml
	<sub>dd</sub> H <sub>2</sub> O	70 ml
	1 M NaOH	1.4 ml
Dying solution	NH <sub>3</sub>	1 ml
	20% AgNO <sub>3</sub>	1.25 ml
	keep order when preparing!	
Developer	2.5% Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	200 ml
P**	Formaldehyde (add directly before use!)	30 µl

# Table 1: Buffers and solutions used in this study - continuing

Polyacrylamide gel staining		
	Coomassie brilliant blue	0.25%
Coomassie staining	Acetic acid	10%
solution	Ethanol	50%
	filled to 100% with $_{dd}H_2O$	
Coomassia dostaining	Ethanol	30%
countion	Acetic acid	10%
	filled to 100% with $_{dd}H_2O$	
	CBB G-250	0.2 g
	Aluminiumsulfate (14-18)- hydrate	50 g
Kang-solution	Ethanol (96%)	100 ml
	ortho-Phosphoric acid (85%)	23.5 ml
		$_{dd}H_2O$ to 2'000 ml
Yeast disintegration		
	Tris/HCl pH 6.8	40 mM
2 <sub>W</sub> ESB	DTT	3%
ZX FSD (Final Sample buffer)	SDS	2%
(I'mai Sample builet)	Glycerol	6%
	Bromphenol blue	0.02%
50% TCA	Trichloroacetic acid	50 g/ 100 ml <sub>dd</sub> H <sub>2</sub> O
SDS-Gels		
	Tris-base	30 g/l
10x SDS running huffer	Glycin	144 g/l
Tox 5D5 Fulling build	SDS	10 g/l
		in <sub>dd</sub> H <sub>2</sub> O; pH 8.3
Lower Gel Buffer	0.5 M Tris/HCl pH 6.8	
Upper Gel Buffer	1.5 M Tris/HCl pH 8.8	
Western blotting		
	3-(Cyclohexylamino)-1-	
CAPS huffer	Poroanesulfonic - acid	2.21 g/l
CAI 5 build	Methanol	100 ml
		in <sub>dd</sub> H <sub>2</sub> O; pH 11
10x TBS buffer	Tris/HCl	50 mM (60.6 g/l)
(Tris buffer saline)	NaCl	150 mM (87.6 g/l)
		in $_{dd}H_2O$ ; pH 7.4
Solution A	0.1 M Tris/HCl pH 8.6	200 ml
(Western blot detection)	Luminol	50 mg
	sonicate for 10 min	
Solution B	Hydroxychumarinsäure	11 mg
(Western blot detection )		in 10 ml DMSO

#### 3.1.4 Enzymes, Antibodies and Kits

Name	<b>Concentration/Description</b>	<b>Company/Reference</b>	
Taq-DNA-Polymerase	5 U/µl	New England Biolabs	
RQ1 RNase-Free DNase	1 U/µl	Promega	
Restriction enzymes			
NdeI	20 U/µl	New England Biolabs	
BamHI-HF®	20 U/µl		
T4 DNA Ligase	1 U/µl	Thermo Scientific	
Phusion® High-Fidelity DNA		Now England Biolabo	
Polymerase		New Eligiallu Diolads	
anti Elag antibody	monoclonal ANTI-FLAG <sup>(R)</sup> M2-	Sigma-Aldrich	
	Peroxidase HRP		
QIAprep Spin Miniprep Kit	isolation of Plasmid-DNA	Qiagen	
DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit	isolation of Chromosomal-DNA	Qiagen	
Old quick Col Extraction Kit	elution of DNA out of agarose gel and	Olagon	
QIAQUICK GEI EXTI ACTION KIT	purification of PCR reaction products	Qiagen	
GeneJET RNA Purification Kit	RNA isolation	Thermo Scientific	
RevertAid RT Kit	reverse transcription	Thermo Scientific	
Proteinase K	15 mg/ml	Qiagen	

Table 2: Enzymes, antibodies and kits used in this study.

All enzymes and kits were stored and used as described in the manual provided by the manufacturer.

#### 3.1.5 <u>Antibiotics</u>

Table 3: Used antibiotics; stock- and used concentrations for media and plates.

Antibiotic	Stock-solution	used concentration
Ampicillin (amp)	100 mg/ml	100 µg/ml
Kanamycin (km)	100 mg/ml	30 µg/ml
Nalidixic acid (nal)	100 mg/ml	75 μg/ml
Chloramphenicol (cm)	100 mg/ml	25 μg/ml

Concentrations shown in Table 3 were used throughout whole experiments. Stocks were stored at -20°C and vortexed prior to use.

# 3.2 Primer

#	Name	Sequence	Binding site
1	alf Damili furd	TAA <u>GGATCC</u> ATGAAAAAGGCTATTATAATA	pTG2: 1540-1564
1	gii_BaiiHi_iwu	GGTG	glf_up_overlap: 1-25
2	alf Dati nou	TAA <u>CTGCAG</u> TCAAATTTGATTCATAATTTCT	pTG2: 2972-2999
Ζ	gii_PSti_Tev	ТТТАТА	glf_down_overlap: 237-264
3	Km_screen_rev	GATCTTTAAATGGAGTGT	gatC-aphA-3: 736-753
4	Fw_mob_KpnI	TT <u>GGTACC</u> GTTGGCTTGGTTTCATCAGC	pTG2: 3948-3967
5	Rv_mob_KpnI	TT <u>GGTACC</u> TTCCGTGCATAACCCTGCTT	pTG2: 3670-3689
6	AphA3_forw	GA <u>GGATCC</u> GCTAAAATGAGAATATCACCG	gatC-aphA3: 255-275
7	glfko_down_	TAA <u>GAATTC</u> GCCGGAGACGAGCCATATAC	pTG2: 2736-2758
/	EcoRI_fwd	TAG	glf_down_overlap: 1-23
8	GatC_PstI_fwd	ATT <u>CTGCAG</u> AATAGTATCCTTAACATAAAA TTTT	pRYTG1: 5277-5301
9	Glfup_KO-Screen_F	GCTGATATAGAAAATGTTAGCAG	<i>Cff</i> 82-40 genome: 1567081-
10	gatCaphA2 S fud		$1507105; NC_000599.1$
10	<u>gattapiiA5_5-iwu</u>	CIGGAIGAAIIGIIIIAGIACC	<i>Gff</i> 92 40 geneme: 1E60729
11	KOScreenR	GCTTTTCTTTGTCCTTTATTTGG	1569760; NC_008599.1
12	M13_fwd	TGTAAAACGACGGCCAG	pGAL plasmids, see Figure 8
13	M13_rev	CAGGAAACAGCTATGAC	pGAL plasmids, see Figure 8
14	NdeI_flag_Y_fwd	AAA <u>CATATG</u> GATTACAAGGATGACGAC	<i>flag</i> : 1-18
15	Ndel_fic2_Y_fwd	GGA <u>CATATG</u> CAAGAACAATATACGGAA	<i>fic2</i> : 1-18
16	BamHI_fic2_Y_rev	AAA <u>GGATCC</u> TTATCTTTCCTTTTCTTTG	<i>fic2</i> : 899-918
17	BamHI_Flag-Y-rev	AAA <u>GGATCC</u> TTACTTATCGTCGTCATCC	flag: 9-24
18	Ndel_fic1_Y_fwd	AAA <u>CATATG</u> GATGGCGGTGTAAATTTAGG	<i>fic1</i> : 1-20
19	BamHI_Y_fic1_ rev	TTT <u>GGATCC</u> TCTCTCCTTTTCCTTTGAATT TG	fic1: 809-831
20	pGAL-seq_fwd	CTTAACTGCTCATTGCTATATTG	pGAL plasmids: P <sub>GAL1-10</sub> 193-215, see Figure 8
21	pGAL-seq_rev	GACCTCATGCTATACCTGAG	pGAL plasmids: ADH1 terminator 143-162, see Figure 8
22	RT_glf_fwd	CAAAGGGCATCCTTACACATTTG	glf: 135-157
23	RT_glf_rev	GTCGATATCGCTTTTAACTGGTGG	glf: 289-312
24	RT-gapDH_ 82-40_F	GCGACGAAAATCACGGCA	<i>gapDH</i> <sub>Cff 82-40</sub> : 412-429
25	RT_gapDH 82-40_R	GAGTGCACCTGCAAAAGACGA	<i>gapDH</i> <sub>Cff 82-40</sub> : 620-640

Restriction sites are highlighted <u>underlined</u>.

Primer stocks were stored at -20°C.

#### 3.3 Strains

#### **Table 5: Used strains**

Strain	Description/ Genotype	Reference
Escherichia coli		
DH5a	endA1 recA1 gyrA96 thi-l hsdR17 supE44 λ- relA1 deoR Δ(lacZYA- argF)- U169 φ80dlacZΔ(M15)	[36]
S17 λpir	Tp <sup>r</sup> Sm <sup>r</sup> ; recA thi pro hsdR·M+ RP4:2-Tc::Mu::Km Tn7λpir	[37]
Campylobacter fetus sul	bsp. fetus	
82-40	Human isolate, GenBank acc. no. NC_008599, <i>Nal<sup>r</sup></i>	[12]
Δglf	Cff 82-40 glf::P <sub>gatC</sub> -aphA3, Nal <sup>r</sup> , Km <sup>r</sup>	this study (F78)
Δglf [pRYTG1]	<i>Cff</i> 82-40 glf::P <sub>gatC</sub> - <i>aphA3</i> , trans complemented with <i>glf</i> wildtype, <i>Nalr</i> , <i>Kmr</i> , <i>Cmr</i>	this study (F79)
Δglf [pRYBM5]	<i>Cff</i> 82-40 glf::P <sub>gatC</sub> - <i>aphA3</i> , empty complementation vector, <i>Nal<sup>r,</sup> Km<sup>r</sup>, Cm<sup>r</sup></i>	this study (F81)
Saccharomyces cerevisi	ae	
W303a	MATα, his3, leu2, ura3, ade2, trp1, can1-100	lab strain
W303α [pGAL111- <i>flag-fic2</i> ]	MATα, his3, leu2, ura3, ade2, trp1 [flag-fic2; LEU2]	this study (Y4)
W303α [pGAL111- HA- <i>fic2H184A</i> ]	MATα, his3, leu2, ura3, ade2, trp1 [fic2H184A; LEU2]	this study (Y5)
W303 α [pGAL22- fic1-flag]	MATα, his3, leu2, ura3, ade2, trp1 [fic1-flag; TRP1]	this study (Y3)
W303α [pGAL111- flag-fic2] + [pGAL22- fic1-flag]	MATα, his3, leu2, ura3, ade2, trp1 [fic1-flag; TRP1] [flag- fic2; LEU2]	this study (Y7)
W303 Rps3-Flag	MATα, his3, leu2, ura3, ade2, trp1, can1-100, RPS3- Flag::natNT2	[not published]

#### 3.3.1 Growth and storage conditions

*E. coli* strains were cultivated on LB-plates or in liquid LB media with suitable antibiotics, respectively and incubated at 37°C.

*Campylobacter* strains were cultivated on CBA plates with suitable antibiotics and incubated at 37°C under microaerophilic conditions using the GENbag/box microaer system (Biomérieux). Frozen *Campylobacter* strains were thawed and sub cultivated on CBA plates three times for 24 h before use.

*Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains were cultivated on YPD or YNB plates or in liquid media at 30°C.

All strains were stored in 1:1 mixture with 40% Glycerol at -80°C.

## 3.1 Plasmids

# 3.1.1 knockout of *glf*

Figure 7 shows schemes of the used plasmids in this part of the study. pTG2 and pRYTG1 were cloned by Tanja Gumpenberger [38]. The cloning strategy for pRYBM5 can be found in [39].



**Figure 7: Plasmids used for the knockout of** *glf* **[pTG2], the trans complementation of** *glf* **[pRYTG1] and the empty vector control [pRYBM5];** *oriF1*: F1 origin of replication for *E. coli*, glf\_up\_homolog and glf\_down\_homolog: homologous regions upstream and downstream of *glf* in *Cff 82-40*, glf overlap: homologous regions of the edges of *glf*, P<sub>gatC</sub> promoter recognized by *Campylobacter, aphA3*: kanamycin resistance, *mob*: minimal sequence for mobilization via conjugation, *bla*: ampicillin resistance, *oriT*: origin of transfer, *oriV*: universal origin of replication, *cat*: chloramphenicol resistance

# 3.2 General methods

# 3.2.1 <u>PCR-protocols</u>

To identify colonies that carry the complementation vector pRYTG1 or the empty vector pRYBM5 a colony PCR was performed. In brief, colonies were picked, suspended in 20  $\mu$ l nuclease free water and incubated at 95°C for 10 min. Cell debris were removed by centrifugation (30 sec. at 13'000 rpm) and the supernatant was used as template for the PCR. As a positive control the respective isolated vector was used, negative control was nuclease free water. Composition of the PCR reaction and protocol are listed in Table 6, Table 7 and Table 8.

Taq Polymerase			Phusion Polymerase	
Component	colony PCR	isolated DNA	Component	Volume
2x Taq buffer	12.5 μl	12.5 μl	Phusion buffer (5x)	8.0 µl
Primer fwd.(10 µM)	1.25 μl	1.25 μl	Primer fwd. (10 µM)	2.0 μl
Primer rev. (10 µM)	1.25 μl	1.25 μl	Primer rev. (10 µM)	2.0 µl
nuclease free H <sub>2</sub> O	7.6 μl	depending	nuclease free H <sub>2</sub> O	depending
Taq-polymerase	0.4 µl	0.4 µl	Phusion HF polymerase	0.4 µl
DNA template	2.0 µl	40 - 60 ng	dNTP mix (10 mM each)	0.4 µl
	25 μl	25 μl	DNA template	40 - 60 ng
				40 µl

#### Table 6: Components and volumes of typical PCR reactions.

#### Table 7: Components and volumes for colony PCR in *S. cerevisiae.*

Component	Volume
10x Thermo Pol Reaction Buffer	2.0 µl
Primer fwd (10 μM)	2.0 µl
Primer rev (10 μM)	2.0 µl
nuclease free H <sub>2</sub> O	4.0 µl
dNTP mix (2.5 mM each)	2.0 µl
Taq-polymerase (5 U/μl)	0.5 μl
DNA template	7.5 μl
	20 µl

#### Table 8: Time and temperature protocols for PCRs.

		colony PCR <i>E. coli, Cff</i> and isolated DNA	<i>S. cerevisiae</i> colony PCR	
Taq Polymerase PCR				
Initial denaturation	95°C	3:00	5:00	
Denaturation	95°C	0:30	1:00	
Primer annealing	*)	0:30	1:00	- 35x
Elongation	72°C	1:00/ kb	1:00/ kb	-
Final elongation	72°C	5:00	5:00	-
Cooling	4/12°C	$\infty$	$\infty$	-
Phusion Polymerase I	PCR			
Initial denaturation	98°C	1:00		
Denaturation	98°C	0:10	]	
Primer annealing	*)	1:00	- 35x	
Elongation	72°C	1:00/ kb		
Final elongation	72°C	5:00		
Cooling	4/12°C	8		

\*) Temperature depending on the used primer set.

# 3.3 Agarose gel electrophoresis

For the gels peqGold Universal Agarose (peqlab) was dissolved in 1x TEA buffer by heating in the microwave. The percentage of the agarose was adapted to the expected size of the DNA fragments (1% agarose for fragments 500 – 1'500 bp, 0.8% for fragments >1'500 bp, 1.2% for fragments <500 bp). Ethidium bromide (1  $\mu$ g/ 100 ml) was added to gels for visualization of the DNA. Gels were run between 80 and 100 V for 30 to 60 minutes. Detection was performed via UV radiation.

# 3.3.1 <u>SDS-page</u>

Separating gels (15% polyacrylamide) and the stacking gels (4.5% polyacrylamide) were prepared as described in Table 9. Separating gel was prepared up to 5 days prior to use and stored covered with wet paper in the fridge. Stacking gels were always freshly prepared before use. Gels were run at 15 mA per gel.

Component	Stacking gel	Separating gel
$_{dd}H_2O$	1.18 ml	7.2 ml
4x Upper Gel Buffer	0.5 ml	7.5 ml
30% Acrylamide	0.3 ml	15 ml
10% SDS	20 µl	300 µl
10% APS	8.0 µl	81 µl
TEMED	2.7 μl	26.1 μl
0.5% Bromphenol blue	5.0 µl	-
	2 ml	30 ml

Table 0. Com	nacitian af c	onarating a	nd stacking gol	for SDS nago
Table 5. Com	position or s	eparating ai	nu statking ge	i iui sus page.

# 3.3.2 <u>Protein staining</u>

Polyacrylamide gels were rinsed two times in VE-H<sub>2</sub>O and incubated in Kang dying solution overnight, or in Coomassie staining solution for 30 minutes and destained 4-times with destaining solution for about 30 minutes. Pictures were taken with ChemiDoc<sup>™</sup> MP Imaging System (BIO RAD).

# 3.4 Western blotting

Nitrocellulose protein membrane (Immobilon) was activated in Methanol for 2 s, washed in VE-H<sub>2</sub>O for 2 min and equilibrated in CAPS buffer for at least 5 min. SDS gels were also equilibrated in CAPS buffer.

Proteins were transferred onto the membrane with Trans-Blot® Turbo<sup>™</sup> Transfer Starter System (BioRad) at 220 mA for 1.5 h.

For the detection of the Flag-tagged Fic proteins the membrane was blocked (TBS buffer + 3% milk powder) at 4°C overnight. After washing the membrane (2x, 10 min, TBE buffer) the Anti-Flag Antibody (ANTI-FLAG® M2-Peroxidase HRP (Sigma-Aldrich)) was diluted 1:15'000 in TBS buffer with 1% milk powder and the membrane was incubated under gentile shaking for 1.5 h. The membrane was washed 3 times with TBE buffer and signals were detected as follows.

# **Detection**:

Membrane was rinsed in detection solution (4 ml Solution A plus 400  $\mu$ l Solution B and 1.2  $\mu$ l H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (30%), mixed immediately before use - solutions see Table 1) for about 2 minutes.

The signals were detected in ChemiDoc<sup>™</sup> MP Imaging System (BIO RAD).

# 3.5 Methods for the characterization of the *glf*-knockout

#### 3.5.1 <u>Conjugation</u>

For the genetic modification of *Cff* the used plasmids were brought in via conjugation. As donor *E. coli* SM10 $\lambda pir$  with the respective plasmid was used. *Cff* strains were thawed as described previously and suspended in 1 ml 1x PBS. Three hours prior the conjugation a main culture of *E. coli* SM10 $\lambda$ pir [respective plasmid] was inoculated (1 ml ONC in 4 ml fresh media). For conjugation 1x 10<sup>7</sup> *E. coli* cells were mixed with 1x 10<sup>9</sup> *Cff* cells (*E. coli* OD<sub>600</sub> 0.1 corresponds to 5x 10<sup>7</sup> cells/ml, *Cff* OD<sub>600</sub> 0.1 corresponds 5x 10<sup>8</sup> cells/ml). The mixture was centrifuged (5'000 rpm, 2 min) and the pellet was resuspended in 30 µl 1x PBS. This suspension was put onto a nitrocellulose filter (25 mm, 0.45 µm, Millipore) which was placed on a CBA plate. This plate was incubated at 37°C, microaerophilic for at least 1 hour. Cell material was suspended by vortexing the filter in 1 ml 1x PBS. The suspension was plated on CBA plates with respective selective antibiotics and incubated for 2 to 4 days.

#### 3.5.2 LPS isolation and staining

# LPS isolation

*Campylobacter* cells ( $OD_{600}$  of 0.5, approx. 5x 10<sup>7</sup> cfu) were suspended in 1x PBS (pH 7.3) and harvested by centrifugation (5'000 rpm, 5 min). Cells were washed 1 time in 1x PBS. The pellet was resuspended in 100 µl lysis buffer (Table 1) and incubated at 100°C for 10 min. 54 µl proteinase K solution (15 mg/ml) were added and samples were incubated at 55°C overnight. The next day 10 µl of the samples were loaded on two 15% polyacrylamide gels. SDS pages were run at 20 mA for about 35 min and at 40 mA for about 20 min. Gels were rinsed with  $_{dd}H_2O$ .

One gel was used for protein staining with Kang solution (see 3.3.2), one for silver staining.

# Silver staining:

The gels were incubated in 100 ml fixation solution (Table 1) overnight. The next day the gels were incubated 10 min in 100 ml fixation solution with 0.87 g Sodiumperiodat, washed 3 times in  $_{dd}H_2O$  (30 min each) and incubated in dying solution (Table 1) for 10 min. After washing 3 times (15 min each) in  $_{dd}H_2O$  the gels were incubated in 200 ml developer (Table 1) until the bands appeared (about 5 min). The reaction was stopped by adding 100 ml 50 mM EDTA (pH 8.0) and incubating for at least one hour. Pictures were taken with ChemiDoc<sup>TM</sup> MP Imaging System (BIO RAD).

# 3.5.3 Serum resistance assay

*Campylobacter* cell material was suspended in MEM (pre-warmed to  $37^{\circ}$ C) and  $1.0 \times 10^{7}$  cells (OD<sub>600</sub> of 1.0 corresponds to  $5.0 \times 10^{9}$  cfu/ml) were transferred into a fresh tube and brought to a final volume of 50 µl with MEM. Active human serum (thawed on ice) or heat inactivated human serum (56°C, 30 min) was added to a final concentration of 10%, respectively. After an incubation of 1 h at 37°C (static incubator), serial dilutions were plated on CBA plates. Plates were incubated for 3 days. The assay was performed in triplets to equalize variations in pipetting.

## 3.5.4 Acid survival Assay

*Campylobacter* cells were suspended in 1x PBS (pH 7.3, pre-warmed to  $37^{\circ}$ C). 1.0x 10<sup>8</sup> cells (OD<sub>600</sub> of 1.0 corresponds to 5.0x 10<sup>9</sup> cfu/ml) were added to 1 ml 1x PBS with different pH levels (7.3, 4.0, 3.5 and 3.4). Bacterial suspensions were incubated at 37°C for 30 min in the incubator. Serial dilutions (1x PBS, pH 7.3) were plated on CBA plates. Plates were incubated for 3 days. The assay was performed in triplets to equalize variations in pipetting.

# 3.5.5 <u>RT-PCR</u>

RNA from the investigated *Campylobacter* strains was isolated using GeneJET RNA Purification Kit (Thermo Scientific). DNA was removed by adding RQ1 RNase-Free DNase (Promega). Reverse transcription was performed with the RevertAid RT Kit (Thermo Scientific). All steps were performed according to manufacturer's recommendations.

# 3.6 Methods for the expression of Fic proteins in *S. cerevisiae*

# 3.6.1 <u>Cloning</u>

The Fic proteins had to be cloned in a shuttle vector for *S. cerevisiae* which has an adjustable promoter for expression of the Fic proteins. Therefore, *fic* genes were cloned into vectors with Gal<sub>1-10</sub> promotors. It was necessary to use two different vectors with different selection markers for *fic1* and *fic2* to enable co-transformation of the two genes. Selection of *S. cerevisiae* is realised with selection plates that lack a certain amino acid. The strain lacks one gene of the biochemical pathway for the synthesis of this amino acid which is then complemented by the plasmid. Therefore the vectors pGAL22 (tryptophan as selection marker), pGAL111 and pGAL111-HA (leucine as selection marker) were used. The vectors were sent by Dieter Kressler, University Fribourg. These plasmids carried a gene between NdeI and BamHI restriction sites.

For cloning the *fic* genes into these vectors, primer pairs 18/17, 14/16, 15/16 and 18/19 were used for *fic1-flag*, *flag-fic2*, *fic2H184A* and *fic1*, respectively to amplify the desired genes and add the necessary restriction sites. As templates pBAD-Cm-fic1-flag (wildtype *fic1*), pBAD24-flag-fic2 (wildtype *fic2*) and pBAD24-fic2H184A (*fic2* with mutated fic-motif) [8] were used.

22

Vectors and inserts were digested with NdeI and BamHI (NEB), purified and ligated. The generated vectors are shown in Figure 8. Ligation mixes for pGAL22-fic1-flag and pGAL22-fic1 were used to transform *E. coli* DH5α and those for pGAL111-flag-fic2 and pGAL111-HA-fic2H184A were introduced directly into *S. cerevisiae* W303α.



**Figure 8: Plasmids used for the expression of Fic1-Flag, Flag-Fic2, HA-Fic2H184A and Fic1 in** *S. cerevisiae*; *ColE1 ori*: origin of replication, *Amp*<sup>*R*</sup>: ampicillin resistance (for selection in *E. coli*), TRP1: tryptophan metabolism gene 1 (for selection in *S. cerevisiae*), P<sub>GAL1-10</sub>: galactose promoter, ADH1 term.: ADH1 terminator, *flag*: flag-tag, LEU2: leucine metabolism gene 2 (for selection in *S. cerevisiae*), 2xHA: 3 times his-tag

#### 3.6.2 Yeast transformation

#### 3.6.2.1 Competent yeast cells

A 50 ml main culture was inoculated with an ONC to an  $OD_{600}$  0.2 and incubated at 30°C, 180 rpm to a final  $OD_{600}$  of 0.6 – 0.8. Cells were harvested (3'500 rpm, 7 min) and two times washed with 10 ml 0.1 M Lithium acetate solution (Table 1).

The supernatant was removed thoroughly and the pellet was suspended in 300  $\mu$ l 0.1 M Lithium acetate solution. After incubating at 30°C for 20 min the suspension was divided into 50  $\mu$ l aliquots and stored on ice until use.

#### 3.6.2.2 Transformation

The carrier DNA (Herring sperm DNA) was denatured at 95°C for 10 min and cooled on ice. The used transformation mixture is shown in Table 10. The mixture was vortexed to get a homologous suspension, incubated at 30°C (heating block) for 30 min and then heat shocked at 42°C for 20 min. As control one mixture was made without plasmid DNA/ligation reaction product and one contained an empty plasmid with the same selection marker as the desired DNA. Transformation mixtures were plated completely onto respective selection plates and incubated at 30°C 3 to 4 days.

Component	Volume
Competent cell suspension	50 µl
Plasmid DNA/ ligation reaction product	3 - 6 µl/ 20 µl
Carrier DNA (herring sperm DNA) (10 mg/ml)	5 µl
PEG (40%)	300 µl

#### Table 10: Components and volumes for one yeast transformation mixture.

#### 3.6.3 <u>Spot test</u>

Investigated strains were cultured on respective selection media containing glucose. Cell material was suspended in sterile 1x PBS. 300  $\mu$ l 1x PBS were inoculated with an OD<sub>600</sub> of 1. Dilutions to 1.0x10<sup>-3</sup> were made in a 96 well plate. Suspension was dropped three times on selective media containing glucose (repressed) and three times on selection media containing galactose (induced). Plates were incubated at either 25°C, 30°C or 37°C for 3 days.

#### 3.6.4 <u>Yeast disintegration</u>

ONCs of the investigated strains were prepared in respective selection media. An  $OD_{600}$  of 1.0 was harvested (3'500 rpm, 7 min) and suspended in 200 µl 1.85 M NaOH with 7.5% β-Mercaptoethanol. After incubation on ice for 10 min 200 µl 50% TCA were added, samples were mixed by pipetting and incubated on ice for 10 min. Proteins were spun down (13'200 rpm, 15 min, 4°C) and the supernatant was removed properly. The resulting pellets were washed two times in  $_{dd}H_2O$  and resuspended in 80 µl 2x FSB (Table 1). Proteins were denatured at 95°C for 10 min, cell debris were removed by centrifugation (10'000 rpm, 10 min) and the supernatant was used for SDS page.

# 3.6.5 <u>Microscopy</u>

ONCs of the investigated strains were prepared in respective selection media, one time with induced (galactose) and one time repressed (glucose) expression. 1 ml of the ONC was spun down (2 min, 2'000 rpm, Eppendorf table top centrifuge) and 2  $\mu$ l of the pelleted cells were taken for microscopy.

# 4 **RESULTS**

# 4.1 Part1 - Characterization of a *Cff 82-40 glf* knockout strain

## 4.1.1 Verification of glf-knockout

My goal was to knock out (KO) the *glf* gene of *Cff* 82-40 by insertion of a kanamycin resistance cassette (P<sub>gatC</sub>-aphA3) via homologous recombination and remove parts of the gene. A suicide plasmid (pTG2) carrying the insertion cassette and *glf* targeting DNA [38] was transferred from *E. coli* S17  $\lambda$ pir via conjugation into *Cff* 82-40. Obtained colonies were screened for presence of the *aphA3* cassette and candidate *glf* knock outs were tested with a set of PCR primers (1/2, 9/3, 6/3, 10/11, 9/11) to verify the correct position of the resistance cassette in the genome. Figure 9 A shows a schematic picture of the wildtype and KO genome with the binding position of the primers used and the expected PCR product sizes. Additionally, a PCR for the pTG2 backbone was performed (primers 4/5) to verify that no plasmid DNA was left in the KO-strain.



**Figure 9: Verification of the** *Cff* **82-40** *glf* **knockout strain**  $\Delta$ **glf; (A)** Schematic representation of the wildtype (WT) *glf* genome region and its isogenic knockout. Primers used for the verification of correct integration of *aphA3* and expected fragment sizes are indicated. **(B)** Gel electrophoresis of the amplified fragments shown in A. As positive control (+) the knockout plasmid was used, as negative control (-) H<sub>2</sub>O. To screen for presence of the plasmid backbone a 298 bp fragment of the *mob* gene was amplified. All pictures show bands in the expected lanes and at the expected size and no bands in the negative controls.

As all PCRs yielded expected fragment sizes (Figure 9 B). I conclude that the *aphA3*-cassette has been integrated into *glf* and a *glf*-knockout strain ( $\Delta$ glf) was created successfully.

# 4.1.2 <u>Trans complementation of *glf* with pRYTG1 leads to an overexpression of *glf* <u>- RT-PCR</u></u>

To complement the *glf*-knockout, a complementation vector (pRYTG1) was introduced into  $\Delta$ glf via conjugation. To verify the expression of *glf* from pRYTG1 a reverse transcription PCR analysis was performed. RNA was isolated from *Cff* 82-40,  $\Delta$ glf and  $\Delta$ glf [pRYTG1] (comp). An equal amount of RNA of each sample was transcribed into cDNA. One set of samples was prepared without reverse transcriptase (RT-) to check for DNA contaminations. Two sets of PCR-primers were used to amplify a 178 bp fragment of *glf* (target gene, primer 22/23) and a 229 bp fragment of *gapDH* (reference gene, primer 24/25) (Figure 10).



Figure 10: Complementation of *glf* in trans leads to a higher mRNA level of *glf* compared to *Cff* 82-40 (WT). RNA of *Cff* 82-40 (WT),  $\Delta$ glf and  $\Delta$ glf [pRYTG1] (comp.) was isolated, reverse transcribed and amplified with two sets of primers (target gene *glf* (178 bp) and reference gene *gapDH* (229 bp). RT- samples were not reverse transcribed and serve as a negative control for DNA contamination.

RT-PCR results (Figure 10) showed that *glf* mRNA is detectable in the WT but not in  $\Delta$ glf. Furthermore there are higher mRNA levels for *glf* in the complemented strain (comp.) whereas mRNA levels for the reference gene were the same for all tested strains. The complementation strain was used in the phenotypic assays to evaluate possible polar effects of the *glf* knockout.

# 4.1.3 Knockout of *glf* does not influence the amount of LPS O-Antigen <u>- LPS staining</u>

To find out, whether Glf is involved in the synthesis of LPS silver staining of isolated LPS was performed. LPS was isolated from WT,  $\Delta$ glf and the complemented strain as well as from *Cff* ATCC K19 (negative control) and  $\Delta$ glf with a vector control. This vector control stain ( $\Delta$ glf with an empty complementation plasmid ([pRYBM5]) was used to analyse potential effects due to the used vector backbone. After cell lysis proteins were digested with proteinase K. The complete digestion was verified with a SDS-page and Kang staining (Figure 11 A). LPS parts were separated with a SDS-page and visualized via silver staining (Figure 11 B).



**Figure 11: Glf does not influence the amount of LPS in** *Cff* **82-40.** (A) SDS-page and protein staining after LPS isolation as control for protein digestion (B) SDS-page pattern of purified LPS after silver staining. Samples were isolated from *Cff* **82-40** (WT),  $\Delta$ glf,  $\Delta$ glf [pRYTG1] (*glf* in trans, comp.),  $\Delta$ glf [pRYBM5] (vector contr.) and *Cff* ATCC 27374 wcbK::aphA3 (neg. contr.).

Kang staining of the LPS samples showed that there was hardly any protein left after proteinase K digestion (Figure 11 A). This indicates that the various bands on the silver stained SDS-page (Figure 11 B) are not proteins but LPS as described in literature [40], [41]. Furthermore there was no difference in the LPS pattern between the investigated strains except the negative control, which has been shown to lack LPS O-Antigen [7]. In conclusion, it was not possible to detect any obvious differences in LPS synthesis between the  $\Delta$ glf strain and the WT strain.

# 4.1.4 <u>Knockout of *glf* leads to increased acid sensitivity at pH 3.5 and 3.4 compared</u> <u>to the wildtype - acid survival assay</u>

Because it was known that genes involved in LPS synthesis influence acid tolerance in other *Cff* strains [7], acid survival assays were performed. Equivalent numbers of cells of the compared strains (WT,  $\Delta$ glf, comp. vector contr.) were exposed to buffers with different pH values (7.3, 4.0, 3.5, 3.4) for equivalent times, then diluted and plated. The surviving cfu were counted and plotted (Figure 12).



**Figure 12:** Glf is important for acid tolerance in *Cff* 82-40. Acid survival assay. **(A)** *Cff* strains were incubated in PBS within a pH range of 7.3 to 3.5, or **(B)** within a pH range of 7.3 to 3.4. Colony forming units (cfu) were counted after plating on CBA plates. The survival of the strains *Cff* 82-40 (WT),  $\Delta$ glf,  $\Delta$ glf [pRYTG1] (glf in trans, comp.),  $\Delta$ glf [pRYBM5] (vector contr.) was compared. The assay was performed in triplets.

There were significantly less surviving colonies of  $\Delta$ glf compared to *Cff* 82-40 (WT) when bacteria were incubated at pH 3.5 and 3.4. Reduced acid tolerance could be complemented by providing *glf* in trans (comp.) but not by the empty complementation vector (vector contr.) (Figure 12).

In summary, I conclude that Glf is involved in acid tolerance of *Cff* 82-40.

# 4.1.5 <u>Knockout of *glf* does not alter serum resistance compared to the wildtype -</u> <u>serum resistance assay</u>

The loss of acid tolerance exhibited by  $\Delta$ glf is consistent with an alteration in surface structure as we hypothesized for this mutant. We now tested whether another surface related phenotype, resistance to host serum, was also affected.

Equivalent numbers of cells of each strain (WT,  $\Delta$ glf, comp., vector control, *Cff* ATCC) were exposed to MEM, active or inactive human serum for equal times, then diluted and plated. The surviving cfu were counted and plotted (Figure 13 A). The serum sensitive strain *Cff* ATCC was added as control. For better visualization the active serum treated surviving cfu were normalized against cfu of MEM media treated cfu (Figure 13 B).



**Figure 13: GIf is not involved in serum resistance of** *Cff* **82-40. Serum survival assay; (A)** Strains were incubated either with MEM, heat-inactivated or active human serum. Colony forming units (cfu) were counted after plating on CBA plates. Compared are the strains *Cff* 82-40,  $\Delta$ glf,  $\Delta$ glf [pRYTG1] (*glf* in trans; comp.),  $\Delta$ glf [pRYBM5] (vector control) and *Cff* ATCC 27374 as a serum sensitive control. **(B)** Same setup as (A) but values normalized to MEM cfu/ml for better visualisation. The assay was performed in triplets.

There was no significant difference in surviving colonies for  $\Delta$ glf compared to *Cff* 82-40 (WT) Figure 13 A) but a better survival of the trans complemented  $\Delta$ glf (comp.) (Figure 13 B) was observed. From these results I conclude that knock out of *glf* does not interfere with serum resistance of *Cff* 82-40.

#### 4.2 Part 2 - Expression of Fic proteins in *S. cerevisiae*

#### 4.2.1 Verification of *fic*-containing plasmids- colony PCR and Sequencing

To investigate possible targets of the Fic proteins from Cfv in *S. cerevisiae* it was necessary to clone the respective genes into a vector system which is suitable for protein expression in *S. cerevisiae*. The used vectors provided the adjustable Gal<sub>1-10</sub> promotor which can be repressed by offering glucose and induced by offering galactose in the cultivation media. Furthermore the vectors used for *fic1* and *fic2* variants harboured different selection markers respectively to enable co-transformation and co-expression.

After amplification of the *fic* genes, restriction and ligation with the respective vectors the ligation mixes were used to transform *E. coli* DH5α. To confirm the successful vector to insert ligations, colony PCRs were performed. For the screening the respective insert primers, which also were used for the cloning (see section 3.6.1), were used. Positive colonies for pGAL22-fic1-flag and pGAL22-fic1 could be identified (Figure 14), but several attempts to transform *E. coli* with the ligation mixes of pGAL111-flag-fic2 and pGAL111-HA-fic2H184A failed. Therefore these two ligation mixes were transformed directly into *S. cerevisiae*. Colony PCR and agarose gel electrophoresis were performed to identify positive clones (Figure 14).



**Figure 14: Screen for hosts transformed with** *fic-***containing plasmids.** Colonies were picked from selection plates and screened with colony PCR using *fic-*specific primers. Colonies 25, 3, 17 and m13 showed bands for *flag-fic1, fic1, fic2-flag* and HA-*fic2H184A*, respectively.

Plasmids of positive *E. coli* clones (pGAL22-fic1-flag, pGAL22-fic1) were isolated and partly sequenced with primers binding to the vector up- and downstream of the insert (primer 20/21, sequencing by Microsynth AG). Comparison with the wildtype sequences showed that both, *fic1-flag* and *fic1*, matched 100%. The plasmids were used for all further experiments.

As it is more difficult to isolate plasmid DNA from *S. cerevisiae*, the yield for pGAL111-flag-fic2, pGAL111-HA-fic2H184A was too low for sequencing. Therefore, PCR amplification of the *fic*-containing region of the plasmids was performed (primer 12/13, shown in Figure 8). The resulting PCR products were purified and sequenced (primer 20/21, sequencing by Microsynth AG). Comparison with the expected sequences showed that both, *flag-fic2* and *HA-fic2H184A*, matched 100%. The plasmids were used for all further experiments. The sequence comparisons are shown in appendix section 7.2.

# 4.2.2 <u>Microscopy of *S. cerevisiae* expressing Fic1-Flag and Flag-Fic2 showed normal</u> <u>cell-shape</u>

In previous experiments HeLa cells showed cell-rounding due to Fic1 and Fic2 expression and *E. coli* showed filamentation due to Fic2 expression [8]. If the target of Fic2 is conserved in *S. cerevisiae* alteration in cell morphology could be expected.

*S. cerevisiae* strains with the different fic expression vectors were grown in ONCs, either under repressed (glucose present) or under induced (galactose present) conditions. Cells were harvested and examined under the microscope. The resulting pictures are shown in Figure 15.

There was no difference in cell shape observed in repressed and induced samples and also no difference to the control strains which harboured an empty plasmid that enables growth in the same selection media ([pRS314] and [pRS315]).

RESULTS



**Figure 15:** *S. cerevisiae* **showed no visible effect on cell shape due to expression of Fic1, Fic1-Flag, Flag-Fic2 or HA-Fic2H184A. microscopy;** *S. cerevisiae* strains with an empty vector or the respective plasmid were grown under induced (I) and repressed (R) conditions over night and examined under the microscope (40x magnification, 1.6x secondary magnification).

# 4.2.3 <u>S. cerevisiae with Fic1-Flag and Flag-Fic2 showed no difference in growth -</u> <u>spot tests</u>

Because it was shown that Fic2 has an effect on growth of *E. coli* cells as well as on survival of human cells, the target for this effect seems to be well conserved. I expected that there also would be an effect on growth of *S. cerevisiae*.

To test this, *S. cerevisiae* expressing either Fic1-Flag, Flag-Fic2 or HA-Fic2H184A was investigated via spot test.

In a first experiment each tested clone harboured two plasmids. On one hand to be compared on the same selection media plate and on the other hand to test combinations of *fic1* and *fic2*, because it was known that Fic1 can repress the toxicity of Fic2 in *E. coli*. As empty plasmids for the combination either pRS314 or pRS315 were used, pRS314 + pRS315 served as growth control for wildtype growth.



**Figure 16**: *S. cerevisiae* **showed no visible growth defect due to expression of Fic1-Flag, Flag-Fic2 or HA-Fic2H184A. Spot test;** Strains were grown on repressing media, suspended in PBS, diluted and spotted on selection plates, induced and repressed, and incubated at 30°C for three days. Colony size can be compared to growth control (pRS314 + pRS315).

As Figure 16 shows, there was no difference in colony size for the clones harbouring different fic genes, alone or in combination neither when the expression was repressed (left) nor when it was induced (right). Colony size was compared to control strains that harbour empty plasmids ([pRS314] and [pRS315]) that enable them to grow on the same selection media.

In a second experiment *fic1* without any tag was added to the set of strains, because it could be possible that the tag influences toxicity. *Fic1* was used because it also shows toxic activity in cell culture experiments [8] and was easier to clone than *fic2*.

Furthermore the plates were incubated at different temperatures to find out whether there is a temperature dependent effect of the Fics. Figure 17 shows that there was hardly any difference in colony size for all tested strains.

In summary, there was no clearly visible growth defect of *S. cerevisiae* expressing different Fic proteins compared to growth control strains ([pRS314] and [pRS315]).

#### RESULTS



**Figure 17:** *S. cerevisiae* **showed no temperature dependent growth defect due to expression of Fic1, Fic1-Flag or Flag-Fic2. Spot test;** Strains were grown on repressing media, suspended in PBS, diluted and spotted on selection plates, induced (I) and repressed (R), and incubated at 25°C, 30°C and 37°C for three days. Colony size can be compared to growth control (pRS314 or pRS315).

#### 4.2.4 Fic1-Flag and Flag-Fic2 are expressed in *S. cerevisiae*

#### - Anti-Flag western blot

Because of the lack of any growth phenotype of the *fic* containing *S. cerevisiae* cells, the expression of Fic1-Flag and Flag-Fic2 was verified with western blot. The strains were grown in inducing media (with galactose) overnight, harvested by centrifugation and lysed as described (3.3.1 SDS-page). As controls *S. cerevisiae* W303 wildtype (negative control) and *S. cerevisiae* W303 Rps3-Flag (positive control) were taken. Because it was not known how strong the signals from the western blot would be, different volumes ( $10 \ \mu$ l,  $5 \ \mu$ l,  $2.5 \ \mu$ l) of the whole cell lysate were analysed. As the amount of protein was not normalized, one SDS-PAGE was stained with Kang dying solution to visualize the total amount of loaded protein (Figure 18 A). A second SDS-PAGE gel was blotted onto nylon membrane and Flag tags were detected with Anti-Flag-HRP® antibody (Sigma Aldrich, Figure 18 B).



**Figure 18: Fic1-Flag and Flag-Fic2 were expressed in** *S. cerevisiae***. (A) Kang-stained SDS-PAGE (B)** western blot membrane. Samples loaded were whole cell extracts (10 to 2.5 µl) of *S. c.* W303 (WT), *S. c.* [pGA22-fic1-flag], *S. c.* [pGAL11-flag-fic2] and *S. c.* Rps3-flag as pos. control. Std.: PageRuler Prestained Protein Ladder (Thermo). Detection of Flag was performed via Anti-Flag-HRP antibody.

The result of the western blot (Figure 18 B) showed that both Fic1-Flag and Flag-Fic2 were expressed. The negative control showed no band, all Fic1-Flag samples showed a band a bit lower than 35 kDa and all Flag-Fic2 samples showed a band a bit above 35 kDa. Both results fit the expected protein sizes of 32.7 kDa and 36.3 kDa, respectively. The strength of the bands for Fic1-Flag and Flag-Fic2 could not be compared with each other because, as it can be seen in Figure 18 A, the loaded amount of total protein was not equivalent. A direct comparison with the strength of the Rps3-Flag bands is further not possible because *rps3* is a ribosomal protein under its natural promotor whereas *fic1-flag* and *flag-fic2* were under the Gal<sub>1-10</sub> promotor.

# **5 DISCUSSION AND OUTLOOK**

Since the niche preference of the two *C. fetus* subspecies is still poorly understood, it is necessary to characterise genes that are different between them [7]. This work gives insight in the role of *glf* in acid and serum resistance of *Cff* serotype A. Furthermore, the successful expression of Fic1 and Fic2 in *S. cerevisiae* will aid further investigation of the TA system of *Cfv* and its contribution to virulence.

# 5.1 Characterization of a *Cff 82-40 glf* knockout strain

It was shown that in *Cff* serotype B strains, *wcbK* (a putative GDP-mannose 4,6-dehydratase) plays a major role in resistance to acid and human serum. In serotype A strains *glf* (a putative UDP-galactopyranose mutase) is present instead of *wcbK*. As both enzymes are involved in the transformation of sugar molecules that occur in the LPS structure but are unique for either the one or the other serotype it is hypothesized that *glf* may have a similar role as *wcbK*.

# Glf is involved in acid tolerance of Cff serotype A

*Cff* wildtype shows tolerance to acidic conditions. This feature is very important since *Cff* enters its host orally and needs to survive the passage through the acidic stomach to reach the mucus of the intestine, where it can colonize [1]. The results of this work show that inactivation of *glf* leads to a significant decrease of acid tolerance at pH levels of 3.5 and 3.4. Acid resistance was restored by offering *glf* in trans. The vector backbone alone did not influence the observed phenotypes. These results show that *glf* is involved in acid resistance of *Cff* serotype A in vitro and therefore is expected to contribute to its virulence.

# Glf does not influence serum resistance of Cff serotype A

*Cff* serotype A is the *Campylobacter* species most frequently found in patients suffering from bacteraemia [2]. It was shown that the S-layer of *Cff* serotype A strains prevents complement factor C3b from binding to the bacterium and therefore leads to serum resistance [15]. This work shows that the knockout of *glf* has no influence on serum resistance of *Cff* 84-40 (log<sub>10</sub> kill  $\Delta$ glf 0.21 ± 0.18, Wt 0.26 ± 0.05). It was remarkable, however, that the complementation strain showed an even higher resistance (log<sub>10</sub> kill -0.13 ± 0.10) than the wildtype. Inactivation of *glf* had no influence on serum-resistance of *Cff* 82-40. Since the S-layer is known to be the most important component in antibody independent serum resistance [15] this result suggest that the S-layer proteins (slp) attach to the LPS in *glf* independent way.

# Trans complementation with pRYTG1 leads to an overexpression of glf

RT-PCR results showed that the mRNA levels of *glf* are higher in the complementation strain than in the wildtype strain. This result is expected given that *glf* transcription is under the control of a strong promoter on the complementation vector. This overexpression in the complementation strain may be the explanation for higher serum-resistance. The product of Glf may thus have effects on the LPS structure that enhance slp binding.

# Knockout of glf does not influence the amount of LPS O-Antigen

SDS-Page analyses of the protein K treated whole cell lysate revealed that there is no apparent change in the LPS pattern exhibited by the knockout strain compared to the wildtype. The O-Antigen pattern is comparable to the previously described one for *Cff* 82-40 [41]. This result suggests that (UDP)-Galactofuranose, the product of *glf* is not a determinant for the structure of the main chain of the O-Antigen sugar.

# These results suggest a model for Cff serotype A LPS structure and the role of glf

The fact that there is no visible alteration in LPS O-Antigen pattern in SDS-Page analysis suggests that galactofuranose (Gal*f*) is not an essential part of the main chain of the LPS. Comparison with the LPS O-Antigen structure of other organisms led to two possible explanations. In *M. tuberculosis* Gal*f* is known to build the outermost part of the O-Antigen [29]. If this is also true for *Cff*, a loss of the outermost part of the O-Antigen might be not visible on the SDS gel. A second explanation would be that the Gal*f* is a side chain of the core oligosaccharide as it was shown for *Salmonella enterica* [42].

Since Senchenkova et al. [17] reported that the LPS of *Cff* serotype A contains trace amounts of galactose and moreover determined the structure of the O-Antigen to be a linear D-mannan chain with partial O-acetylation the second explanation seems to be the more likely one.

Based on this knowledge I propose a model of the *Cff* serotype A LPS (Figure 19). Gal*f* has been reported to form different types of linkages and branched Gal*f* residues were found in *Penicillium* and *Aspergillus* [43], [44]. Therefore, I hypothesize that Gal*f* is a side chain of the core oligosaccharide and is involved in linkage of the single LPS molecules. If this is true, the LPS is still intact in the absense of *glf* which fits the results of the LPS SDS-Page. Furthermore, the currently unknown attachment site for the slps would probably also stay intact, which explains the remaining serum-resistance of  $\Delta$ glf.

Due to the proposed loss of the linker function of Galf the LPS would be less compact and the outer membrane would be more accessible for acidic media which explains the susceptibility to acidic conditions of  $\Delta$ glf.



**Figure 19: model for** *Cff* **serotype A LPS structure;** Lipid A (red) anchors the LPS into the outer membrane, core consists of KDO (yellow), heptose (green), yet undefined hexose (blue) and Gal*f* (violet) which is supposed to connect the LPS molecules (red dotted line), O-antigen (orange) consists of linear D-mannan [17] and attached S-layer proteins (pink) cover the LPS providing serum resistance.

# Outlook

One of the next steps has to be a western blot with antibody against SapA to find out whether it is true that the S-layer is still present. This analysis may provide some insight in the involved mechanisms and could be followed by electron microscopy to visualise the structure of the *Cff* specific S-layer in the wildtype, knockout and complementation strains.

Another interesting experiment would be the heterologous expression of Glf in *E. coli* to purify the protein. With the purified Glf it should be possible to test its predicted UDP-galactopyranose mutase activity. In the best case it would be also possible to analyse the structure of the enzyme. This structure could then be compared with the known structure of UGM of other organisms [25], [45], which may contribute to knowledge about 3D protein structures and protein modifications of *C. fetus*.

#### 5.2 Expression of Fic proteins in S. cerevisiae

It was shown that the Fic proteins of *Cfv* 84-112 have toxic effect on human (HeLa) cells and in *E. coli* Fic2 was identified to interfere with translation [8]. The expression of these proteins in *S. cerevisiae* as eukaryotic model organism was the next logical step to find out more about the possible eukaryotic targets.

# Fic proteins showed no alteration in cell shape of S. cerevisiae

Fic2 leads to a severe cell elongation in *E. coli* and Fic1 and Fic2 provoke apoptotic phenotypes in HeLa cells [8]. Therefore, the *S. cerevisiae* strains harbouring the Fic proteins were examined under the microscope for alterations in cell shape. There were no differences visible between the repressed and induced samples.

# Expression of Fic1 and Fic2 did not affect growth of S. cerevisiae

As it has been shown for *E. coli* that Fic2 leads to significantly reduced growth [8], a spot test was performed with the *S. cerevisiae* strains harbouring the Fic proteins to investigate possible growth phenotypes. The results of the spot test revealed that none of the investigated Fics (Fic1, Fic2, Fic2H184A, or the combinations) led to a growth defect in *S. cerevisiae*. After this first test several possibilities seemed to be likely to cause these results: (I) there is only a temperature dependent phenotype, which is known to occur in *S. cerevisiae*; (II) the tags of the Fic proteins disturb their correct folding and therefore inhibit their function; (III) the Fic proteins are not expressed in *S. cerevisiae*; (IV) the fic proteins do not have an effect on *S. cerevisiae*. A second spot test, incubated at different temperatures was performed to investigate possibility (I). Furthermore, in this spot test a strain harbouring untagged Fic1 was added, referring to possibility (II). The results showed that the tag of Fic1 is not the reason for the absent effect of the protein as untagged Fic1 did not show any effect either. Furthermore, there was no temperature dependent phenotype detectable.

#### Fic1-Flag and Flag-Fic2 are expressed in S. cerevisiae

To detect whether the Fic proteins are expressed in *S. cerevisiae* a western blot analysis was performed. The tagged proteins were detected via anti-flag tag antibody. Although the detected protein amount was lower than expected for Gal<sub>1-10</sub> promotor driven expression the blot showed that Fic1-Flag and Flag-Fic2 were expressed in *S. cerevisiae*.

It is impossible to clone *fic2* in *E. coli* without constant strict repression which indicates that at least in *E. coli* also very small amounts of Fic2 are sufficient for its toxic effect. So the detected expression of the Fic proteins in *S. cerevisiae* should be enough to show an effect. These results exclude possibility (III).

#### Conclusion and Outlook

The results of this work suggest that the Fic proteins of *Cfv* 84-112 have no effect on *S. cerevisiae* W303. Maybe there are slight growth defects which are not visible in the colony size of the spot test. To exclude this possibility a growth curve could give more precise information. But also the microscopy showed no effect on cell morphology, this indicates that there is really no effect.

The Fido motif of Fic2 is a non-canonical one, because the conserved glycine (position 191) and arginine (position 195) are exchanged to tyrosine and alanine, respectively [8]. Therefore, it is not clear which catalytic activity Fic2 has. This fact makes it difficult to suggest the eukaryotic target of the toxin. It is likely that *S. cerevisiae* lacks a functional homologue of this target.

Although the results did not fulfil the ambitions to supply an organism for further investigation of the eukaryotic target of the fic proteins they open another interesting possibility. Since *S. cerevisiae* showed no growth defect while expressing the Fic proteins, it should be possible to use yeast for overexpression and protein purification. Because of the severe toxicity it is impossible to purify the protein from *E. coli* and *Cfv* hardly grows in liquid culture, which also makes it very difficult to use for overexpression. With further optimization of the cultivation and expression conditions of Fic1 and Fic2 it could be possible to reach this aim in *S. cerevisiae*.

If the purification succeeds a great opportunity for new experiments arises. Using the purified protein it might be possible to reveal the protein structure. This might give an explanation of the interaction between toxin and antitoxin and/or the possible protein targets. Furthermore, a screening for the enzymatic activity of Fic2 could be performed. In summary, these results open up lots of interesting possibilities for further investigation and understanding of the TA system of *Cfv* 84-112.

# **6 R**EFERENCES

- [1] M. J. Blaser, "Editorial Response : Campylobacter fetus Emerging Infection and Model System for Bacterial Pathogenesis at Mucosal Surfaces," *Clin. Infect. Dis.*, vol. 24, pp. 256–258, 1998.
- [2] A. J. Lastovica and B. M. Allos, *Clinical Significance of Campylobacter and Related Species Other Than Campylobacter jejuni and Campylobacter coli; in Campylobacter 3rd edition*. ASM, 2008, p. 123f.
- [3] M. J. Blaser, D. G. Newell, S. A. Thompson, and E. L. Zechner, *Pathogenesis of Campylobacter fetus in Campylobacter, 3rd edition*. Whashington, DC, 2008.
- [4] M. A. P. van Bergen, J. P. M. van Putten, K. E. Dingle, M. J. Blaser, and J. A. Wagenaar, *Isolation, Identification, Subspecies Differentiation and Typing of Campylobacter fetus in: Campylobacter, 3rd edition.* Whashington, DC, 2008.
- [5] H. Sprenger, E. L. Zechner, and G. Gorkiewicz, "So close and yet so far -Molecular Microbiology of Campylobacter fetus subspecies.," *Eur. J. Microbiol. Immunol. (Bp).*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 66–75, Mar. 2012.
- [6] J. L. Penner, "The Genus Campylobacter: a Decade of Progress," *Clin. Micobiology Rev.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 157–172, 1988.
- [7] S. Kienesberger, H. Sprenger, S. Wolfgruber, B. Halwachs, G. G. Thallinger, G. I. Perez-Perez, M. J. Blaser, E. L. Zechner, and G. Gorkiewicz, "Comparative Genome Analysis of Campylobacter fetus Subspecies Revealed Horizontally Acquired Genetic Elements Important for Virulence and Niche Specificity," *PLoS One*, vol. 9, no. 1, p. e85491, Jan. 2014.
- [8] H. Sprenger, "Dissertation: Molecular Mechanisms of Campylobacter fetus Pathogenicity," Karl Franzens Universität Graz, 2014.
- [9] X. Wang and P. J. Quinn, "Lipopolysaccharide: Biosynthetic pathway and structure modification.," *Prog. Lipid Res.*, vol. 49, no. 2, pp. 97–107, Apr. 2010.
- [10] C. R. H. Raetz and C. Whitfield, "Lipopolysaccharide Endotoxins," *Annu Rev Biochem.*, pp. 635–700, 2002.
- [11] T.-W. Lee, T. B. Verhey, P. A. Antiperovitch, D. Atamanyuk, N. Desroy, C. Oliveira, A. Denis, V. Gerusz, E. Drocourt, S. A. Loutet, M. A. Hamad, C. Stanetty, S. N. Andres, S. Sugiman-Marangos, P. Kosma, M. A. Valvano, F. Moreau, and M. S. Junop, "Structural–Functional Studies of Burkholderia cenocepacia D-Glyceroβ-D-manno-heptose 7-Phosphate Kinase (HldA) and Characterization of Inhibitors with Antibiotic Adjuvant and Antivirulence Properties," *J. Med. Chemisitry*, vol. 56, pp. 1405–1417, 2013.

- [12] G. I. Perez-Perez, M. J. Blaser, and J. H. Bryner, "Lipopolysaccharide Structures of Campylobacter fetus Are Related to Heat-Stable Serogroups," *Infect. Immun.*, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 209–212, 1986.
- [13] A. Moran, "Biological characterization of Campylobacter fetus lipopolysaccharides," *FEMS Immunol. Med. Microbiol.*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 43–50, Aug. 1996.
- [14] Z. Pei and M. J. Blaser, "Pathogenesis of Campylobacter fetus Infections; Role of Surface Array Proteins in Virulence in a Mouse Model," *J. Clin. Invest.*, vol. 85, pp. 1036–1043, 1990.
- [15] M. J. Blaser, P. F. Smith, J. E. Repine, and K. A. Joinerh, "Pathogenesis of Campylobacter fetus Infections; Failure of Encapsulated Campylobacter fetus to Bind C3b Explains Serum and Phagocytosis Resistance," *J. Clin. Invest.*, vol. 81, no. April 1987, pp. 1434–1444, 1988.
- [16] S. N. Senchenkova, A. S. Shashkov, Y. A. Knirel, J. J. McGovern, and A. P. Moran, "The O-specific polysaccharide chain of Campylobacter fetus serotype B lipopolysaccharide is a D-rhamnan terminated with 3-O-methyl-D-rhamnose," *Eur. J. Biochem.*, vol. 438, pp. 434–438, 1996.
- [17] S. N. Senchenkova, A. S. Shashkov, Y. A. Knirel, J. J. McGovern, and A. P. Moran, "The O-specific polysaccharide chain of Campylobacter fetus serotype A lipopolysaccharide is a partially O-acetylated 1,3-linked alpha-D-mannan," *Eur. J. Biochem.*, no. 245, pp. 637–641, 1997.
- [18] C. C. McGowan, A. Necheva, S. A. Thompson, T. L. Cover, and M. J. Blaser, "Acidinduced expression of an LPS-associated gene in Helicobacter pylori.," *Mol. Microbiol.*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 19–31, Oct. 1998.
- [19] M. Sára, U. B. Sleytr, and M. Sa, "S-Layer Proteins," *J. Bacteriol.*, vol. 182, no. 4, 2000.
- [20] J. Dworkin, M. K. R. Tummuru, and M. J. Blaser, "A lipopolysaccharide-binding domain of the Campylobacter fetus S-layer protein resides within the conserved N terminus of a family of silent and divergent homologs," *J. Bacteriol.*, vol. 177, pp. 1734–1741, 1995.
- [21] L. Yang, Z. Pei, S. Fujimoto, and M. J. Blaser, "Reattachment of Surface Array Proteins to Campylobacter fetus Cells," *J. Bacteriol.*, vol. 174, no. 4, pp. 1258– 1267, 1992.
- [22] U. B. Sleytr and T. J. Beveridge, "Bacterial S-layers," *Trends Microbiol.*, vol. 7, no. 6, pp. 253–260, 1999.
- [23] S. A. Thompson, "Campylobacter Surface-Layers (S-Layers) and Immune Evasion Stuart," *Ann Periodontol*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 43–53, 2009.

- [24] P. M. Nassau, S. L. Martin, R. E. Brown, A. Weston, D. Monsey, M. R. McNeil, and K. Duncan, "Galactofuranose Biosynthesis in Escherichia coli K-12: Identification and Cloning of UDP-Galactopyranose Mutase," *J. Bacteriol.*, vol. 178, no. 4, pp. 1047–1052, 1996.
- [25] J. J. Tanner, L. Boechi, J. Andrew McCammon, and P. Sobrado, "Structure, mechanism, and dynamics of UDP-galactopyranose mutase.," *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.*, vol. 544, pp. 128–41, Feb. 2014.
- [26] M. Oppenheimer, A. L. Valenciano, K. Kizjakina, J. Qi, and P. Sobrado, "Chemical mechanism of UDP-galactopyranose mutase from Trypanosoma cruzi: a potential drug target against Chagas' disease.," *PLoS One*, vol. 7, no. 3, p. e32918, Jan. 2012.
- [27] B. Kleczka, A.-C. Lamerz, G. van Zandbergen, A. Wenzel, R. Gerardy-Schahn, M. Wiese, and F. H. Routier, "Targeted gene deletion of Leishmania major UDP-galactopyranose mutase leads to attenuated virulence.," *J. Biol. Chem.*, vol. 282, no. 14, pp. 10498–505, Apr. 2007.
- [28] P. S. Schmalhorst, S. Krappmann, W. Vervecken, M. Rohde, M. Müller, G. H. Braus, R. Contreras, A. Braun, H. Bakker, and F. H. Routier, "Contribution of galactofuranose to the virulence of the opportunistic pathogen Aspergillus fumigatus.," *Eukaryot. Cell*, vol. 7, no. 8, pp. 1268–77, Aug. 2008.
- [29] F. Pan, M. Jackson, Y. Ma, and M. McNeil, "Cell Wall Core Galactofuran Synthesis Is Essential for Growth of Mycobacteria," *J. Bacteriol.*, vol. 183, no. 13, 2001.
- [30] Y. Yamaguchi, J.-H. Park, and M. Inouye, "Toxin-antitoxin systems in bacteria and archaea.," *Annu. Rev. Genet.*, vol. 45, pp. 61–79, Jan. 2011.
- [31] L. N. Kinch, M. L. Yarbrough, K. Orth, and N. V Grishin, "Fido, a Novel AMPylation Domain Common to Fic, Doc, and AvrB.," *PLoS One*, vol. 4, no. 6, p. e5818, Jan. 2009.
- [32] P. Engel, A. Goepfert, F. V Stanger, A. Harms, A. Schmidt, T. Schirmer, and C. Dehio, "Adenylylation control by intra- or intermolecular active-site obstruction in Fic proteins," *Nature*, vol. 482, no. 7383, pp. 107–10, Feb. 2012.
- [33] V. Campanacci, S. Mukherjee, C. R. Roy, and J. Cherfils, "Structure of the Legionella effector AnkX reveals the mechanism of phosphocholine transfer by the FIC domain.," *EMBO J.*, vol. 32, no. 10, pp. 1469–77, May 2013.
- [34] X. Pan, A. Lührmann, A. Satoh, M. A. Laskowski-arce, and R. Roy, "Ankyrin Repeat Proteins Comprise a Diverse Family of Bacterial Type IV Effectors," *Science (80-. ).*, vol. 320, no. 5883, pp. 1651–1654, 2008.
- [35] J. W. Cruz, F. P. Rothenbacher, T. Maehigashi, W. S. Lane, C. M. Dunham, and N. a Woychik, "Doc Toxin Is a Kinase That Inactivates Elongation Factor Tu," *J. Biol. Chem.*, vol. 289, no. 11, pp. 7788–98, Mar. 2014.

- [36] D. M. Woodcock, P. J. Crowther, J. Doherty, S. Jefferson, E. DeCruz, M. Noyer-Weidner, S. S. Smith, M. Z. Michael, and M. W. Graham, "Quantitative evaluation of Escherichia coli host strains for tolerance to cytosine methylation in plasmid and phage recombinants.," *Nucleic Acids Res.*, vol. 17, no. 9, pp. 3469–78, May 1989.
- [37] V. D. E. Lorenzo, M. Herrero, U. T. E. Jakubzik, and K. N. Timmis, "Mini-TnS Transposon Derivatives for Insertion Mutagenesis, Promoter Probing, and Chromosomal Insertion of Cloned DNA in Gram-Negative Eubacteria," *J. Bacteriol.*, vol. 172, no. 11, pp. 6568–6572, 1990.
- [38] T. Gumpenberger, "Projektlabor 'Glf knockout in Campylobacter fetus subspecies fetus," 2013.
- [39] S. Kienesberger, "Dissertation 'Molecular Characterization of Campylobacter fetus," 2009.
- [40] C.-M. Tsai and C. E. Frasch, "A Sensitive Silver Stain for Detecting Lipopolysaccharides Polyacrylamide Gels," *Anal. Biochem.*, vol. 119, pp. 115– 119, 1982.
- [41] G. I. P. Perez and M. J. Blaser, "Lipopolysaccharide Characteristics of Pathogenic Campylobacters," *Infect. Immun.*, vol. 47, no. 2, pp. 353–359, 1985.
- [42] S. I. Miller, R. K. Ernst, and M. W. Bader, "LPS, TLR4 and infectious disease diversity," *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 36–46, Jan. 2005.
- [43] A. W. Van Bruggen-Van der Lugt, H. J. Kamphuis, G. A. de Ruiter, P. Mischnick, J. H. Van Boom, and F. M. Romboutsl, "New Structural Features of the Antigenic Extracellular Polysaccharides of Penicillium and Aspergillus Species Revealed with Exo-β-D-Galactofuranosidase," J. Bacteriol., vol. 174, no. 19, pp. 6096– 6102, 2000.
- [44] J. F. Groisman and R. M. Lederkremer, "D-Galactofuranose in the N-linked sugar chain of a glycopeptide from Ascobolus furfuraceus," *Eur. J. Biochem.*, vol. 165, no. 2, pp. 327–332, Jun. 1987.
- [45] D. A. R. Sanders, A. G. Staines, S. A. McMahon, M. R. McNeil, C. Whitfield, and J. H. Naismith, "UDP-galactopyranose mutase has a novel structure and mechanism," *Nat. Struct.*, vol. 8, no. 10, 2001.

# 7 APPENDIX

# 7.1 Notes and Abbreviations

Statistical evaluations were done with SigmaPlot 12.3.

Amp	Ampicillin	MEM	minimal essential
Amp <sup>r</sup>	Ampicillin resistance		medium
APS	Ammoniumperoxo-	Nal	Nalidixic Acid
	disulfat	Nal <sup>r</sup>	Nalidixic acid resistance
BVC	Bovine Veneral	NEB	New England Bioloabs
	Campylobacterosis	0D	optical density
CAPS	3-(Cyclohexylamino)-1-	ONC	overnight culture
	Poroanesulfonic - acid	PBS	Phosphate buffer saline
CBA	Colombia blood Agar	PCR	Polymerase chain
Cff	Campylobacter fetus		reaction
	subsp. fetus	PEG	Polyethylenglycole
Cfu	colony forming units	Rev	reverse
Cfv	Campylobacter fetus	Rpm	rounds per minute
-	subsp. venerealis	RT	reverse transcription
Cm	Chloramphenicol	S. c	Saccharomyces cerevisiae
Cm <sup>r</sup>	Chloramphenicol	S-Layer	Surface layer
	resistance	SDS	Sodium dodecyl sulfate
dNTP	desoxy Nucleotide-	slp	S-Layer protein
	Phosphate	Sm <sup>r</sup>	Streptomycin resistance
Doc	<u>d</u> eath on curing	TA system	Toxin-antitoxin system
E. coli	Escharichia coli	TAE	Tris Acetat EDTA
Fic	filamentation induced by	TBS	Tris buffer saline
	cAMP	TCA	Trichloracetic acid
FSB	final sample buffer	TEMED	Tetramethylethylen-
FSDR	fetus subspecies		diamin
	definition region	Tp <sup>r</sup>	Trimethoprim phenotype
Fwd	forward	UDP	Uridindiphosphat
Gal <u>f</u>	Galactofuranose	UGM	UDP-galacopyranose
ICE	Integrative conjugative		mutase
	element	VE	voll entsalzt
KDO	2-Keto-3-Desoxy-Octonat		(fully desalted)
Km	Kanamycin	VR	variation region
Km <sup>r</sup>	Kanamycin resistance	VSDR	venerealis subspecies
LB	Lysogeny broth		definition region
LPS	Lipopolysaccharide	YNB	Yeast nitrogen base
		YPD	Yeast peptone dextrose

# 7.2 Index of figures

Figure	1: Proposed pathogenesis for Campylobacter fetus infections in humans	1
Figure	2: Genome comparison of <i>C. fetus</i> subspecies	3
Figure	3: Scheme of LPS structure of gram-negative bacteria	4
Figure	4: schematic view of a gram-negative cell-envelope with attached S-layer	6
Figure	5: schematic representation of the reaction catalysed by UGM	7
Figure	6: preliminary data of the effects of Fic expression in <i>E. coli</i>	9
Figure	7: Plasmids used for the knockout of <i>glf</i> [pTG2]	17
Figure	8: Plasmids used for the expression of Fic1-Flag, Flag-Fic2, HA-Fic2H184A	
	and Fic1 in <i>S. cerevisiae</i>	23
Figure	9: Verification of the Cff 82-40 glf knockout strain $\Delta$ glf	25
Figure	10: Complementation of $glf$ in trans leads to a higher mRNA level of $glf$	
	compared to <i>Cff</i> 82-40 (WT)	26
Figure	11: Glf does no influence the amount of LPS in <i>Cff</i> 82-40	27
Figure	12: Glf is important for acid tolerance in <i>Cff</i> 82-40	28
Figure	13: Glf is not involved in serum resistance of <i>Cff</i> 82-40	29
Figure	14: screening for colonies with fic containing plasmids.	30
Figure	15: S. cerevisiae showed no visible effect on cell shape due to expression of	
	Fic1, Fic1-Flag, Flag-Fic2 or HA-Fic2H184A.	32
Figure	16: S. cerevisiae showed no visible growth defect due to expression of Fic1-	
	Flag, Flag-Fic2 or HA-Fic2H184A.	33
Figure	17: S. cerevisiae showed no temperature dependent growth defect due to	
	expression of Fic1, Fic1-Flag or Flag-Fic2	34
Figure	18: Fic1-Flag and Flag-Fic2 were expressed in <i>S. cerevisiae</i>	35
Figure	19: model for <i>Cff</i> serotype A LPS structure	38
Figure	20: Contig of sequencing pGAL22-fic1-flag	b
Figure	21: Contig of sequencing pGAL22-fic1	С
Figure	22: Contig of sequencing pGAL111-flag-fic2	d
Figure	23: Contig of sequencing pGAL111-HA-fic2H184A	. е

## 7.3 Sequencing Alignments

# pGAL22-fic1-flag

Contig fic1 Seq-fwd Seq-rev	1>atggatggoggtgtaaatttaggcaatttettaaaaacaacaacgagegagacta>56 1>
Contig fic1 Seq-fwd Seq-rev	57>ttggctagatgtatgtgttogtatggctoatcatagcacagcaatcgagggcaatactttaagtcaggatgagactgcttoaatcttgatggctat>156 57>ttggctagatgtatgtgttcgtatggctatcatagcacagcaatcgagggcaatactttaagtcaggatgagactgcttcaatcttgcttg
Contig fic1 Seq-fwd Seq-rev	157>atagcaaaagcaactagcgagcgtgaattttatgaagtaaaaaactatcgccaaattttgccaaaaatgttttcatctttacaagaaaaagccaagtag>256 157>atagcaaaagcaactagcgagcgtgaatttatgaagtaaaaaactatcgccaaattttgccaaaaatgtttcatctttacaagaaaaagccaagatag>256 801>ATAGCAAAAGCAACTAGCGAGCGTGAATTTTATGAAGTAAAAAACTATCGCCAAATTTTGCCAAAAATGTTTTCATCTTTACAAGAAAAAGCCAAGATAG>900 65>ATAGCAAAAGCAACTAGCGAGCGTGAATTTTATGAAGTAAAAAACTATCGCCAAAATTTTGCCAAAAATGTTTTCATCTTTACAAGAAAAAGCCAAGATAG>164
Contig fic1 Seq-fwd Seq-rev	257>acgagaagctaataaaagattttcataggctaataatgaataatttaatagacaacaacggcaaatttaaaactatcgaaaatttagttgtaggcgcaaa>356 257>acgagaagctaataaaagatttcataggctaataatgaataatttaatagacaacaacggcaaatttaaaactatcgaaaatttagttgtaggcgcaaa>356 901>ACGAGAAGCTAATAAAAGA
Contig fic1 Seq-fwd Seq-rev	357>tttogaacctacaaagccgtatctagtgccagtagcaattaaagatatgtgcgataatctatattttagacttgataatgccaaaaatgacgacgataag>456 357>tttcgaacctacaaagccgtatctagtgccagtagcaattaaagatatgtgcgataatctatattttagacttgataatgccaaaaatgacgacgataag>456 919>
Contig fic1 Seq-fwd Seq-rev	<pre>457&gt;ctaaaagcaatattgcaaagccatatcaaatttgaaaaaattcatccttttagtgacggaaacggcagaaccggcaggataattatgatctatggttgtt&gt;556 457&gt;ctaaaagcaatattgcaaagccatatcaaatttgaaaaaattcatccttttagtgacggaaacggcagaaccggcaggataattatgatctatggttgtt&gt;556 919&gt;</pre>
Contig fic1 Seq-fwd 465>TAGA	557>tagagaataatttagcaccaattataataccaaaagagcaaaaaatagatacattgcaatactgcgcaacaatgacatcgatggatttatggcgtttgc>656 557>tagagaataatttagcaccaattataataccaaaagagcaaaaaatagatacattgcaatactgcgcaacaatgacatcgatggatttatggcgtttgc>656 919>
Contig fic1 Seq-fwd Seq-rev	657>taaagaaatagaaaaagatgaaatatcaaggogtaataaatttttaagccaaogaccaaatgagcaagataatagtaaatcagtottatotaggotoggo>756 657>taaagaaatagaaaaagatgaaatatcaaggogtaataaattttaagccaacgaccaaatgagcaagataatagtaaatcagtottatotaggotoggo>756 919>
Contig fic1 Seq-fwd Seq-rev	757>aatgottaogocaaaaagotagaaagoggagogatcaaagoattaaatgataccgcaaattoaaaggaaaaggagagaa~~~~~~>>834 757>aatgottaogocaaaagotagaaagoggagogatcaaagoattaaatgataccgcaaattcaaaggaaaaggaggaga~~~~~>>>834 919>~~~~~~~~>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
Contig fic1 Seq-fwd Seq-rev	834>>834 834>>834 919>>919 765> <mark>AG</mark> TAAGGATCCTAACTCGAGCGGCCGCGAATTTCTTATGATTTATGATTTTATTATTAATAAGTTATAAAAAAA

**Figure 20: Contig of sequencing pGAL22-fic1-flag;** sequence was performed by Microsynth AG and analyzed with Lasergene SeqMan; Flag-Tag sequence is highlighted and underlined

## pGAL22-fic1

Contig	1>tacaatactagcttttatggttatgaagaggaaaaattggcagtaacctggccccacaaaccttcaaatgaacgaatcaaattaacaaccataggatgat>100
Seq-fwd	1>tacaatactagcttttatggttatgaagaggaaaaattggcagtaacctggccccacaaaccttcaaatgaacgaatcaaattaacaaccataggatgat>100
ficl	0>>0
Seq-rev	0>>0
Contig	101>aatgcgattagtttttttagccttatttctggggtaattaat
Seq-fwd	$101 \verb+ aatgcgattagttttttagccttatttctggggtaattaat$
fic1	0>>0
Seq-rev	0>>0
Contig	201>actitaactaatactitccaacattitccggttigtattacticttattcaaatgtaataaaagtatcaacaaaaattgtaatataccictatactitaa>300
Seq-fwd	201>actttaactaatactttcaacattttccggtttgtattacttcttattcaaatgtaataaaagtatcaacaaaaattgttaatatacctcttatactttaa> $300$
ficl	0>>0
Seq-rev	0>>0
Contig	301>cgtcaaggagaaaaaaccccccatatggatggcggtgtaaatttaggcaatttcttaaaaaacaaccaac
Seq-fwd	301>cgtcaaggagaaaaaaacccccatatggatggcggtgtaaatttaggcaatttcttaaaaaacaacgagggagactattggctagatgtatgt
ficl	1>atggatgcggtgtaaatttaggcaatttcttaaaaacaacaacgagcgag
Seq-rev	1>AAAAACAACGAGCGAGACTATTGGCTAGATGTATGTGTTCG>44
Contig	401>tatggctcatcatagcacagcaatcgagggcaatactttaagtcaggatgagactgcttcaatcttgcttg
Seq-fwd	$\tt 401 \verb+ ratggctcatcatagcacagcaatcgaggcaatactttaagtcaggatgagactgcttcaatcttgatggctatatagcaaaagcaactagcgag \verb+ 500$
ficl	78>tatggctcatcatagcacagcaatcgagggcaatactttaagtcaggatgagactgcttcaatcttgcttg
Seq-rev	45>tatggctcatcatagcacagcaatcgagggcaatactttaagtcaggatgagactgcttcaatcttgcttg
Contig	501>cgtgaattttatgaagtaaaaaactatcgccaaattttgccaaaaatgttttcatctttacaagaaaaagccaagatagacgagaagctaataaaagatt>600
Seq-fwd	501>cgtgaattttatgaagtaaaaaactatcgccaaattttgccaaaaatgttttcatctttacaagaaaaagccaagatagacgagaagctaataaaagatt>600
fic1	$178 \verb+> cgtgaattttatgaagtaaaaaactatcgccaaattttgccaaaaatgttttcatctttacaagaaaaagccaagatagacgagaagctaataaaagatt\verb+>> 277$
Seq-rev	145 > cgtgaattttatgaagtaaaaaactatcgccaaattttgccaaaaatgttttcatctttacaagaaaaagccaagatagacgagaagctaataaaagatt > 244
Contig	601>TTCATAGGCTAATAATGAATAATTTAATAGACAACAACGGCAAATTTAAAACTATCGAAAATTTAGTTGTAGGCGCAAATTTCGAACCTACAAAGCCGTA>700
Seq-fwd	601>TTCATAGGCTAATAATGAATAATTTAATAGACAACAACGGCAAATTTAAAACTATCGAAAATTTAGTTGTAGGCGCAAATTTCGAACCTACAAAGCCGTA>700
ficl	278 > ttcataggctaataatgaataatttaatagacaacaacggcaaatttaaaactatcgaaaatttagttgtaggcgcaaatttcgaacctacaaagccgta> $377$
Seq-rev	245>ttcataggctaataatgaataatttaatagacaacaacggcaaatttaaaactatcgaaaatttagttgtaggcgcaaatttcgaacctacaaagccgta> $344$
Contig	701>tctagtgccagtagcaattaaagatatgtgcgataatctatattttagacttgataatgccaaaaatgacgacgataagctaaaagcaatattgcaaagc>800
Seq-fwd	701>tctagtgccagtagcaattaaagcaatatgtgcgataatctatattttagacttgataatgccaaaaatgacgacgataagctaaaagcaatattgcaaagc>800
ficl	$378 \\ > tctagtgccagtagcaattaaagcaatgtgcgataatctatattttagacttgataatgccaaaaatgacgacgataagctaaaagcaatattgcaaagc \\ > 477$
Seq-rev	345>tctagtgccagtagcaattaaagatatgtgcgataatctatattttagacttgataatgccaaaaatgacgacgataagctaaaagcaatattgcaaagc> $444$
Contig	801>catatcaaatttgaaaaaattcatccttttagtgacggaaacggcagaaccggcaggataattatgatctatggttgtttagagaataatttagcaccaa>900
Seq-fwd	$\$01>$ catatcaaatttgaaaaaattcatccttttagtgacggaaacggcagaaccggcaggataattatgatctatggttgtttagagaataatttagca $\sim\sim$ > $\$96$
ficl	478>catatcaaatttgaaaaaattcatccttttagtgacggaaacggcagaaccggcaggataattatgatctatggttgtttagagaataatttagcaccaa>577
Seq-rev	445>catatcaaatttgaaaaaattcatccttttagtgacggaaacggcagaaccggcaggataattatgatctatggttgtttagagaataatttagcaccaa> $544$
Contig	901>TTATAATACCAAAAGAGCAAAAAAATAGATACATTGCAATACTGCGCAACAATGACATCGATGGATTTATGGCGTTTGCTAAAGAAAAAGAAAAAGATGA>1000
Seq-fwd	896>896
fic1	578>ttataataccaaaagagcaaaaaatagatacattgcaatactgcgcaacaatgacatcgatggatttatggcgtttgctaaagaaatagaaaagatga>677
Seq-rev	545>TTATAATACCAAAAGAGCAAAAAAATAGATACATTGCAATACTGCGCAACAATGACATCGATGGATTTATGGCGTTTGCTAAAGAAAAAGAAAAAGATGA>644
Contig	1001>AATATCAAGGCGTAATAAATTTTTTAAGCCAACGACCAAATGAGCAAGATAATAGTAAATCAGTCTTATCTAGGCTCGGCAATGCTTACGCCAAAAAGCTA>1100
Seq-fwd	8965
ficl Seq-rev	678>aatatcaaggcgtaataaatttttaagccaacgaccaaatgagcaagataatagtaaatcagtcttatctaggctcggcaatgcttacgccaaaaagcta>777 645>AATATCAAGGCGTAATAAATTTTTTAAGCCAACGACCAAATGAGCAAGATAATAGTAAATCAGTCTTATCTAGGCTCGGCAATGCTTACGCCAAAAAGCTA>744
Contig	1101>G3336CCG36CC37C3336C37T3337C375CCC3337TC3356G353556G3636353777777777777777777777
Seg-fwd	896.
fic1	778>qaaaqcqqaqcqatcaaaqcattaaatqataccqcaaattcaaaqqaaaaqqaqaqa~~~~~~~~~~
Seq-rev	745>gaaagcggagcgatcaaagcattaaatgataccgcaaattcaaaggaaaaggagaggatcctaactcgagcggccgcgaatttcttatgatttatgattatgat

**Figure 21: Contig of sequencing pGAL22-fic1;** sequence was performed by Microsynth AG and analyzed with Lasergene SeqMan; Flag-Tag sequence is highlighted and underlined

# pGAL111-flag-fic2

Contig fic2	1>
Seq-fwd	301>AAAAGTATCAACAAAAAATTGTTAATATACCTCTATACTTTAACGTCAAGGAGAAAAAACCCCCCATATATGGAATAAGGATGACGACGATAAGCAAGAAAAACCCAAGAAAAAACCCCCCATATATG
Seq-rev	U>>U
Contig	6>acaatatacggaaatcaaagatagcaatattattaataaacaaaacatagatattgcagtagggcttggggcttgtagatgatctgaagccttctgagtat>105
fic2	6>acaatatacggaaatcaaagatagcaatattattaataaacaaaacatagatatgcagtagggcttgggcttgtagatgatctgaagccttctgagtat>105 (0)>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
Seq-rev Seq-rev	0>>0
Contig	106>ttttataaggctgttgaaaaatcgcgaacatacaacgaacttgaagacaatgtaaagaaatattatgatggcaaaaagctagataaaaagaggtcggcg>205
fic2	106 > ttttataaggctgttgaaaaatcgcgaacatacaacgaacttgaagacaatgtaaagaaatattatgatggcaaaaagctagataaaaaagaggtcggcg > 205
Seq-fwd Seq-rev	501>TTTTATAAGGCTGTTGAAAAATCGCGAACATACAACGAACTTGAAGACAATGTAAAGAAATATTATGATGGCAAAAAGCTAGATAAAAAAGAGGTCGGCG>600 1>TGAAGACAATGTAAAGAAATATTATGATGGCAAAAAGCTAGATAAAAAAGAGGTCGGCG>59
Contig	206>aaaaagagtgcgatatagtttcagtaaatatcgccaagtatttagaaaaagtggctttacgctatctcctgttacattgaagactatacataaaaattt>305
fic2	$\tt 206 \verb+ aaaaagagtgcgatatagtttcagtaaatatcgccaagtatttagaaaaagtggctttacgctatctcctgttacattgaagactatacataaaaattt> \tt 305$
Seq-fwd Seq-rev	601>AAAAAGAGTGCGATATAGTTTCAGTAAATATCGCCAAGTATTTAGAAAAAGTGGCTTTACGCTATCTCCCTGTTACATTGAAGACTATACATAAAAATTT>700 60>AAAAAGAGTGCGATATAGTTTCAGTAAATATCGCCAAGTATTTAGAAAAAGTGGCTTTACGCTATCTCCTGTTACATTGAAGACTATACATAAAAATTT>159
-	2005
fic2	306>attttgggatgcctttccacaagggcttgaaaaatagttggagttttagggatgtaatatttcaaaaaaagagaagtcttgggtggg
Seg-fwd	JOI>ATTTTGGGATGCCTTCCACABGGCCTTGABABATATGTTGGGCTTTTTAGGATGTABATATTTCCABABABGBAGBAGCTCTTGGGGGGBABABAGCS800
Seq-rev	160>ATTTTGGGATGCCTTTCCACAAGGGCTTGAAAAATATGTTGGAGTTTTTAGGGATGTAAATATTTCAAAAAAAGAAGAAGTCTTGGGTGGCGAAAAAAGC>259
Contig	406>gtagagtatggtaattatgatgagcttggcgatatgctggattatgattttgatagagaaaaacgcaaagattacgccaaaatgactaggcaagaacagg>505
fic2	$406 \verb+ gtagagtatggtaattatgatgagcttggcgattatgcttggattatgattttgatagagaaaaacgcaaagattacgccaaaatgactaggcaagaacagg \verb+ > 505$
Seq-fwd Seq-rev	801>GTAGAGTATGGTAATTATGATGAGCTTGGCGA>832 260>GTAGAGTATGGTAATTATGATGAGCTTGGCGATATGCTGGATTATGATTATGATAGAGAAAAACGCAAAGATTACGCCAAAATGACTAGGCAAGAACAGG>359
- 	
fin2	Sub->ctttaatgtcggcaaattgtaagcggggtatggcaattcatcgttcgagaaggcaatactaggacaattgcggttttactattaagtatctgca>bus
Seg-fwd	802>
Seq-rev	360>CTTTAAATGTCGGCAAATTTGTAAGCGGGGTATGGCAAATTCATCCGTTTCGAGAAGGCAATACTAGGACAATTGCGGTTTTTACTATTAAGTATCTGCA>459
Contig	606>aaqtaaaqqctttqaaqcaaacaacqatatctttaaaqaaaactcaaaatatttcaqqqatqctttaqtqttaqcaaattacqacaatatqaaaqaaa
fic2	606>aagtaaaggctttgaagcaaacaacgatatctttaaagaaaactcaaaatatttcagggatgctttagtgttagcaaattacgacaatatgaaagaaa
Seq-fwd	832>
Seq-rev	460>aagtaaaggctttgaagcaaacaacgatatctttaaagaaaactcaaaatatttcagggatgctttagtgttagcaaattacgacaatatgaaagaaa
Contig	706>ataaaagtgatttttcatacctagagagtttttttaacaaatttattctaaataaa
fic2	706 > a ta a a a a g t g a t t t t c a t a c a a a g t g a t t t t t t a a c a a a t t t t t c a a a a
Seq-fwd	832>
Seq-rev	560>ATAAAAAGTGATTTTTCATACCTAGAGAGTTTTTTTAACAAATTTATTCTAAAAAAATATAGAGTTAAAACTGCTACCAAACAGCCCAAAAGGTCACA>659
Contig	806>aaagtgagaaatcagtcctatctagacttggtgcagcctatgacaaaaaggtgcaaagtggagcgatcaaacaaccacaaataagcaaaaaatcaaaaga>905
11CZ	806>aaagtgagaaatcagtcctatctagacttggtgcagcctatgacaaaaaggtgcaaagtggagcgatcaaacaaccacaaataagcaaaaaatcaaaaga>05
Seq-rev Seq-rev	660>AAAGTGAGAAATCAGTCCTATCTAGACTTGGTGCAGCCTATGACAAAAAGGTGCAAAGTGGAGCGATCAAACAACCACCACAAATAAGCAAAAAATCAAAAAG>759
Contig	906>aaaggaaagataa~~~~~~~~~~~~~~>918
fic2	906>aaaggaaagataa
Seq-fwd	832>>832
Seq-rev	$760 \verb+ aaaggaaagataaggatcctaactcgagcggccgcgaatttcttatgatttatgatttattaataataagttataaaaaaaa$

**Figure 22: Contig of sequencing pGAL111-flag-fic2;** sequence was performed by Microsynth AG and analyzed with Lasergene SeqMan; Flag-Tag sequence is highlighted and underlined

# pGAL111-HA-fic2H184A

Contig	1>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
Seq-fwd	401>TTAACGTCAAGGAGAAAAAACCCCCCCATGGCA <mark>TACCCATACGATGTTCCTGACTATGCGGGCTATCCCTATGACGTCCCGGACTATGCA</mark> TCTAGACATAT>500
Seq-rev	0>
fic2H184A	1>at>2
<b>Contig</b> Seq-fwd	3>gcaagaacaatatacggaaatcaaagatagcaatattattaataaacaaaacatagatattgcagtagggcttggggcttgtagatgatctgaagccttc>102 501>GCAAGAACAATATACGGAAATCAAAGATAGCAATATTATTAATAAAACAAAACATAGATATTGCAGTAGGGCTTGGGCTTGTAGATGATCTGAAGCCTTCT>600
Seq-rev	0>
fic2H184A	3> gcaagaacaatatacggaaatcaagatagcaatattattattaataaacaaaacatagatattgcagtagggcttgggcttgtagatgatctgaagccttct>102
Contig	103>gagtatttttataaggctgttgaaaaatcgcgaacatacaacgaacttgaagacaatgtaaagaaatattatgatggcaaaaagctagataaaaagagg>202
Seq-fwd	601>GAGTATTTTTATAAGGCTGTTGAAAAATCGCGAACATACAACGAACTTGAAGACAATGTAAAGAAATATTATGATGGCAAAAAGCTAGATAAAAAAGAGG>700
Seq-rev	1>~~~~~~TTGAAGACAATGTAAAAAAAGCTAGATAAAAAAAGACAATGTAAAGAAATATTATGATGGCAAAAAAGATAATAAAAAAAGCTAGATAAAAAAAGGGS54
fic2H184A	103>gagtatttttataaggctgttgaaaaatcgcgaacatacaacgaacttgaagacaatgtaaagaaatattatgatggcaaaaagctagataaaaagagg>202
Contig	203>tcggcgaaaaagagtgcgatatagtttcagtaaatatcgccaagtatttagaaaaagtggctttacgctatctcctgttacattgaagactatacataa>302
Seq-fwd	701 > TCGGCGAAAAAAGAGTGCGATATAGTTTCAGTAAATATCGCCAAGTATTTAGAAAAAAGTGGCTTTACGCTATCTCCTGTTACATTGAAGACTATACATAA>800
Seq-rev	55>tcggcgaaaaagagtgcgatatagtttcagtaaatatcgccaagtatttagaaaaaagtggctttacgctatctcctgttacattgaagactatacataa> $154$
fic2H184A	203>tcggcgaaaaagagtgcgatatagtttcagtaaatatcgccaagtatttagaaaaaagtggctttacgctatctcctgttacattgaagactatacataa>302
Contig	$\tt 303 > a a a tttattttggg a tgcctttccaca a ggg cttg a a a a tatgttgg a gttttt a ggg a tgt a a a tatttca a a a a a ga a g$
Seq-fwd	801>AAATTTATTTTGGGATGCCTTTCCACAAGGGCTTGAAAAATATGTTGGAGTTTTTAGGGATGTAAATATTTCAAAAAAAGAAGAAGACTCTT~~~~~>890
Seq-rev	155 > AAATTTATTTTGGGATGCCTTTCCACAAGGGCTTGAAAAATATGTTGGAGTTTTTAGGGATGTAAATATTTCAAAAAAAA
fic2H184A	303>aaatttattttgggatgcctttccacaagggcttgaaaaatatgttggagtttttagggatgtaaatatttcaaaaaaagaagaagtcttgggtggcgaa>402
Contig	403>aaaagcgtagagtatggtaattatgatgagcttggcgatatgctggattatgattttgatagagaaaaacgcaaagattacgccaaaatgactaggcaag>502
Seq-fwd	890>890
Seq-rev	255 > AAAAGCGTAGAGTATGGTAATTATGATGAGGCTTGGCGATATGCTGGATTATGATTATGATAGAGAAAAACGCAAAGATTACGCCAAAATGACTAGGCAAG>354
fic2H184A	403>aaaagcgtagagtatggtaattatgatgagcttggcgatatgctggattatgattttgatagagaaaaacgcaaagattacgccaaaatgactaggcaag>502
Contig	503>aacaggctttaaatgtcggcaaatttgtaagcggggtatggcaaattgcaccgtttcgagaaggcaatactaggacaattgcggtttttactattaagta>602
Seq-fwd	890>
Seq-rev	355>AACAGGCTTTAAATGTCGGCAAATTTGTAAGCGGGGTATGGCAAATTGCACCGTTTCGAGAAGGCAATACTAGGACAATTGCGGTTTTTACTATTAAGTA>454
fic2H184A	503>aacaggetttaaatgteggeaaatttgtaageggggtatggeaaattgeaeegtttegagaaggeaataetaggaeaattgeggtttttaetattaagta>602
Contig	603>tctgcaaagtaaaggctttgaagcaaacaacgatatctttaaagaaaactcaaaatatttcagggatgctttagtgttagcaaattacgacaatatgaaa>702
Seq-fwd	890>>890
Seq-rev fic2H184A	455>TCTGCAAAGTAAAGGCTTTGAAGCAAACAACGATATCTTTAAAGAAAACTCAAAATATTTCAGGGATGCTTTAGTGTTAGCAAATTACGACAATATGAAA>554 603>tctgcaaagtaaaggctttgaagcaaacgatatctttaaagaaaactcaaaatatttcagggatgctttagtgttagcaattacgacaatatgaaa>702
Contig	703>gaaaatataaaagtgatttttcatacctagagagtttttttaacaaatttattctaaataaa
Seq-fwd	890>890
Seq-rev	555>gaaaatataaaaagtgatttttcatacctagagagtttttttaacaaatttattctaaataaa
fic2H184A	703>gaaaatataaaaagtgatttttcatacctagagagtttttttaacaaatttattctaaataaa
Contig	803>gtcacaaaagtgagaaatcagtcctatctagacttggtgcagcctatgacaaaaaggtgcaaagtggagcgatcaaacaaccacaaataagcaaaaaatc>902
Seq-IWa	890>
Seq-rev fic2H184A	-555>GTCACAAAAGTGAGAAATCAGTCCTATCTAGACTTGGTGCAGCCTATGACAAAAAGGTGCAAAGTGGAGCGATCAAACAACCACCACAATAAGCAAAAAATC>754 -803>gtcacaaaagtgagaaatcagtcctatctagacttggtgcagcctatgacaaaaagggtgcaaagtggagcgatcaaacaaccacaaataagcaaaaaatc>902
Contig	903>aaaagaaaaggaaagataa~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Seg-fwd	····
bod-two	890>~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Seq-rev	890>
Seq-rev fic2H184A	890>

**Figure 23: Contig of sequencing pGAL111-HA-fic2H184A;** sequence was performed by Microsynth AG and analyzed with Lasergene SeqMan; 3xHA-tag sequence is highlighted and underlined