

## Chapter 2

# The Equilibrium Figure of the Earth: Basic Theory

### 2.1 External Ellipsoidal Field to First-Order Approximation

Let us first consider the ellipsoid of revolution as a level surface; this is a good approximation to the earth as we have seen in Chapter 1. In view of the smallness of the flattening  $f$  ( $\doteq 0.003$ ), we shall in this chapter disregard  $f^2$  and other higher powers of the flattening. This is the *first-order theory* also considered by Clairaut (1743). For present accuracies, a second-order theory, accurate up to  $f^2$ , is required. This will be done in Chapter 4. The first-order theory, however, is much simpler and very beautiful and instructive and will, therefore, be treated first.

*Equation of the ellipsoid.* To first order, (1-73) reduces to

$$r = a(1 - f \cos^2 \theta) \quad (2-1)$$

It will be useful to introduce spherical harmonics. By eq. (1-33), the Legendre polynomial  $P_2$  is given by

$$P_2(\cos \theta) = \frac{3}{2} \cos^2 \theta - \frac{1}{2} \quad (2-2)$$

so that (2-1) may be transformed into

$$r = a \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{3} f - \frac{2}{3} f P_2(\cos \theta) \right] \quad (2-3)$$

The mean earth radius  $R$ , cf. (1-86), is the average of  $r$  over the unit sphere:

$$R = \frac{1}{4\pi} \iint_{\sigma} r d\sigma = a \left( 1 - \frac{1}{3} f \right) \quad (2-4)$$

since the integral over  $P_2$  is zero:

$$\iint_{\sigma} P_2 d\sigma = \iint_{\sigma} P_0 P_2 d\sigma = 0 \quad (2-5)$$

by (1-33) and (1-41), in view of the orthogonality of spherical harmonics. Thus (2-3) becomes

$$r = R \left[ 1 - \frac{2}{3} f P_2(\cos \theta) \right] ; \quad (2-6)$$

*note that we are consistently neglecting  $f^2$ !* This equation expresses, for the ellipsoid, the radius vector  $r$  as a function of  $\theta$  (and  $\lambda$ ). The longitude  $\lambda$  does not occur explicitly because our ellipsoid is a surface of revolution; for the same reason, (2-6) does not contain tesseral harmonics which depend explicitly on  $\lambda$  (sec. 1.3).

The fact that only the *even* polynomial  $P_2$  enters into (2-6), expresses equatorial symmetry (symmetry with respect to the equatorial plane), which would be destroyed by the odd polynomials  $P_1, P_3, \dots$ ; cf. (1-33).

*Gravity potential.* The gravitational potential may be expressed by the rotationally symmetric zonal expansion (1-39), retaining only  $J_2$ :

$$V = \frac{GM}{r} \left[ 1 - \frac{a^2}{r^2} J_2 P_2(\cos \theta) \right] . \quad (2-7)$$

In fact, (1-77) shows that  $J_2$  is of order  $f$ ;  $J_3$  is missing because of equatorial symmetry, and  $J_4$  is already of order of  $J_2^2$  or of  $f^2$  and must therefore be neglected (for numerical values of  $J_4$  cf. sec. 6.4 later in the book).

For the centrifugal potential we have by (1-6), (1-26) and (2-2):

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{2} \omega^2 (x^2 + y^2) = \frac{1}{2} \omega^2 r^2 \sin^2 \theta = \frac{1}{3} \omega^2 r^2 [1 - P_2(\cos \theta)] . \quad (2-8)$$

The sum of (2-7) and (2-8) gives the gravitational potential  $W$ :

$$W = \frac{GM}{r} \left[ 1 - \frac{a^2}{r^2} J_2 P_2(\cos \theta) \right] + \frac{1}{3} \omega^2 r^2 [1 - P_2(\cos \theta)] . \quad (2-9)$$

Now we note that

$$J_2 = O(f) , \quad \omega^2 = O(f) , \quad (2-10)$$

where, as we have already remarked, the symbol  $O(f)$  reads "on the order of  $f$ ", denoting quantities of order  $f$ . The first equation has been explained above; the second will be justified later; cf. eq. (2-14). Thus, in keeping with our approximation and neglecting  $O(f^2)$ , we can put  $a^2/r^2 \doteq 1$  in (2-7) because it already is multiplied by  $J_2 = O(f)$ . For the same reason we may put  $r^2 \doteq R^2$  in (2-8). Thus (2-9) becomes

$$W = \frac{GM}{r} (1 - J_2 P_2) + \frac{1}{3} \omega^2 R^2 (1 - P_2) , \quad (2-11)$$

abbreviating

$$P_2(\cos \theta) = P_2 . \quad (2-12)$$

By (2-6),

$$\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{R} \left( 1 + \frac{2}{3} f P_2 \right) + O(f^2)$$

(binomial series!). This is substituted into (2-11), the multiplications are carried out, and  $O(f^2)$  is neglected. The result may be written

$$W = \frac{GM}{R} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{3} m + \left( \frac{2}{3} f - J_2 - \frac{1}{3} m \right) P_2(\cos \theta) \right] , \quad (2-13)$$

where

$$m = \frac{\omega^2 R^3}{GM} = \frac{\omega^2 a^2 b}{GM} = 0.00345 \quad (2-14)$$

by (1-83), which is indeed of order  $f$  and thus justifies putting  $\omega^2 = O(f)$  as in (2-10).

If our ellipsoid is to be a level surface,  $W$  must be constant on it:

$$W = W_0 , \quad (2-15)$$

so that the coefficient of  $P_2(\cos \theta)$  in (2-13) must vanish. This gives

$$W = \frac{GM}{R} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{3} m \right) = W_0 \quad (2-16)$$

and

$$\frac{2}{3} f - J_2 - \frac{1}{3} m = 0 ,$$

which yields an extremely important relation between  $f$  and  $J_2$ :

$$J_2 = \frac{2}{3} f - \frac{1}{3} m \quad (2-17)$$

or, inversely,

$$f = \frac{3}{2} J_2 + \frac{1}{2} m . \quad (2-18)$$

This is not only a beautiful relation between geometrical ( $f$ ) and physical ( $J_2, m$ ) quantities, but is the key formula for the direct determination of the flattening  $f$  from the satellite-determined coefficient  $J_2$ . Of course, practically a higher-order approximation is required, but nothing shows the essential structure of the problem more clearly than (2-18).

Finally we note that, using the ellipsoid as a model for the geoid, we simply have identified the actual potential  $W$  with the normal potential  $U$ , in keeping with Clairaut's approximation; cf. sec. 1.2.

*Gravity.* The radial component of gravity  $g$  is

$$-\frac{\partial W}{\partial r} ,$$

the  $\theta$ -component is

$$\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial W}{\partial \theta} ,$$

so that

$$g = \sqrt{\left( \frac{\partial W}{\partial r} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} \left( \frac{\partial W}{\partial \theta} \right)^2} \doteq -\frac{\partial W}{\partial r} \quad (2-19)$$

since  $(\partial W/\partial\theta)^2$  is of second order. The differentiation of (2-9) gives

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial r} = GM \left( -\frac{1}{r^2} + 3 \frac{a^2}{r^4} J_2 P_2 \right) + \frac{2}{3} \omega^2 r (1 - P_2) \quad (2-20)$$

Now we substitute, by (2-6),

$$\frac{1}{r^2} = \frac{1}{R^2} \left( 1 + \frac{4}{3} f P_2 \right) + O(f^2) \quad ; \quad (2-21)$$

in the other small terms,  $r$  and  $a$  may simply be replaced by  $R$ . This gives, also considering (2-14) and (2-17),

$$g = \frac{GM}{R^2} \left[ 1 - \frac{2}{3} m + \left( -\frac{2}{3} f + \frac{5}{3} m \right) P_2(\cos\theta) \right] \quad (2-22)$$

For the equator,  $\theta = 90^\circ$ ,  $P_2 = -\frac{1}{2}$ , this gives *equatorial gravity*

$$\gamma_e = \frac{GM}{R^2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{3} f - \frac{3}{2} m \right) \quad (2-23)$$

(we do not distinguish here between gravity  $g$  and normal gravity  $\gamma$ !); for the pole,  $\theta = 0^\circ$ ,  $P_2 = 1$ , we have *polar gravity*

$$\gamma_p = \frac{GM}{R^2} \left( 1 - \frac{2}{3} f + m \right) \quad , \quad (2-24)$$

so that for the *gravity flattening* (1-84) we get

$$f^* = \frac{\gamma_p - \gamma_e}{\gamma_e} = -f + \frac{5}{2} m \quad (2-25)$$

This gives another beautiful formula

$$f + f^* = \frac{5}{2} m \quad (2-26)$$

due to Clairaut, which relates the geometrical flattening  $f$  and the gravity flattening  $f^*$  in a surprisingly simple way. There is a physical interpretation also for the dimensionless quantity  $m$ : by (2-14) and (2-23) we have, disregarding  $O(f^2)$ ,

$$m = \frac{\omega^2 R}{GM/R^2} = \frac{\omega^2 a}{\gamma_e} = \frac{\text{centrifugal force at equator}}{\text{gravity at equator}} \quad (2-27)$$

Then (2-22) may be transformed, using (2-2), to

$$g = \gamma_e \left[ 1 + \left( -f + \frac{5}{2} m \right) \cos^2\theta \right] \quad (2-28)$$

or, by (2-26),

$$g = \gamma_e (1 + f^* \cos^2\theta) \quad (2-29)$$

This equation could also have been derived as a first-order approximation to Somigliana's formula (1-23); similarly there is a rigorous, though less simple, equivalent of Clairaut's formula (2-26) for the level ellipsoid; cf. (Heiskanen and Moritz, 1967, secs. 2-8 and 2-10) and eq. (5-69) later in sec. 5.2.

If we had a uniform coverage of the earth by gravity measurements (unfortunately we don't), then we could try to fit a formula of type (2-29) (to a higher approximation) to these measurements, obtaining  $f^*$ . Then the flattening  $f$  could be derived by (2-26) from

$$f = -f^* + \frac{5}{2}m \quad (2-30)$$

This is a complete gravimetric analogue to (2-18): it permits to determine the flattening  $f$  from gravity flattening  $f^*$ , whereas (2-18) allows the computation of  $f$  from the satellite-determined  $J_2$ .

## 2.2 Internal Field of a Stratified Sphere

First-order ellipsoidal formulas, as we have seen and will see, are basically spherical formulas with corrections on the order of the flattening  $f$ . In this sense, the sphere serves as a reference for the ellipsoid, and it will be useful to study the gravitational field of a stratified sphere, such as shown by Fig. 1.5.

The *external* gravitational field of any spherically symmetric distribution is given simply by

$$V = \frac{GM}{r} \quad (2-31)$$

It is formally equal to the potential of a mass point, regardless of the inner structure of the body as long as it is spherically symmetric. This is seen immediately on writing the general spherical-harmonic expansion (1-36), with (1-47), in Laplace's form

$$V = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{Y_n(\theta, \lambda)}{r^{n+1}} = \frac{Y_0}{r} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{Y_n(\theta, \lambda)}{r^{n+1}} \quad (2-32)$$

Of the Laplacian harmonics  $Y_n(\theta, \lambda)$ , only  $Y_0$  is constant; cf. (1-33). In the case of spherical symmetry, all functions  $Y_n(\theta, \lambda)$  must be missing except the constant  $Y_0$  which, by (1-3), is seen to be equal to  $GM$ ; this proves (2-31).

Gravity outside the sphere is then simply

$$g = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial r} = -\frac{dV}{dr} = \frac{GM}{r^2} \quad (2-33)$$

Note that if we consider the sphere as a zero-degree approximation to the ellipsoid, it must be nonrotating since  $\omega^2 = O(f)$  by (2-10), so that  $f = 0$  implies  $\omega = 0$ . Thus, to this primitive approximation,  $W = V$ , and gravity coincides with gravitational attraction. The spherical symmetry of (2-33) is obvious. Eqs. (2-31) and (2-33) are valid down to the surface of the sphere.