and that, in some miraculous way, the third and the fourth term on the right-hand side of (7-78) could be made to vanish, whereas in some no less miraculous way $V_{P}$ ( $V$ at some interior point $P$ ) would show up as an additive term. Then the result would obviously be

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{P}=L_{1} V_{S}+L_{2}\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial n}\right)_{S}+L_{3} \Delta \rho \tag{7-80}
\end{equation*}
$$

expressing $V_{P}$ as a combination of linear functionals applied to the boundary values $V$ and $\partial V / \partial n$ on $S$ and to $\Delta \rho$ (which, by (7-4), is proportional to $\Delta^{2} V$ entering on the left-hand side of $(7-78))$. Since the boundary values $V_{S}$ and $(\partial V / \partial n)_{S}$ are given, a very general solution would be obtained since the Laplacian of the density, $\Delta \rho$, may be arbitrarily assigned.

This daydream can be made true through the use of a so-called Green's function. Thus it is hoped that the reader is sufficiently motivated to follow the mildly intricate mathematical development to be presented now.

### 7.7.2 Transformation of Green's Identity

Let us first put

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=l, \tag{7-81}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l$ denotes the distance from the point $P\left(x_{P}, y_{P}, z_{P}\right)$ under consideration to a variable point $(x, y, z)$ (Fig. 7.9):

$$
\begin{equation*}
l^{2}=\left(x-x_{P}\right)^{2}+\left(y-y_{P}\right)^{2}+\left(z-z_{P}\right)^{2} . \tag{7-82}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta l=\frac{\partial^{2} l}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} l}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} l}{\partial z^{2}} \tag{7-83}
\end{equation*}
$$

as usual, we immediately calculate

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta l & =\frac{2}{l}  \tag{7-84}\\
\Delta^{2} l & =2 \Delta\left(\frac{1}{l}\right)=0 \tag{7-85}
\end{align*}
$$

so that (7-79) is satisfied. The only problem is the singularity of $1 / l$ at $P$ (that is, for $l=0$ ). Therefore, we cannot apply ( $7-78$ ) directly but must use a simple trick (which, by the way, is also responsible for the difference between Green's second and third identities; cf. (Heiskanen and Moritz, 1967, pp. 11-12) and, for more detail, (Sigl, 1985, pp. 92-94)).

We apply (7-78) not to $v$, but to the region $v^{\prime}$ obtained from $v$ by cutting out a small sphere $S_{h}$ of radius $h$ around $P$. This region $v^{\prime}$ is bounded by $S$ and by $S_{h}$, where the normal $n_{h}$ to $S_{h}$ points away from $v^{\prime}$, that is towards $P$ (Fig. 7.9). Thus (7-78) is replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iiint_{v^{\prime}} l \Delta^{2} V d v=\iint_{S, S_{\mathrm{h}}}\left(-2 V \frac{\partial}{\partial n}\left(\frac{1}{l}\right)+\frac{2}{l} \frac{\partial V}{\partial n}-\Delta V \frac{\partial l}{\partial n}+l \frac{\partial \Delta V}{\partial n}\right) d S \tag{7-86}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIGURE 7.9: Illustrating the method of Green's function
where we have already taken into account $(7-81),(7-84)$, and (7-85) and where we have used the abbreviation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{S, S_{h}} d S=\iint_{S} d S+\iint_{S_{h}} d S_{h} \tag{7-87}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{S_{h}}\left(-2 V \frac{\partial}{\partial n_{h}}\left(\frac{1}{l}\right)\right) d S_{h} \doteq-2 V_{P} \iint_{S_{h}} \frac{\partial}{\partial n_{h}}\left(\frac{1}{l}\right) d S_{h} \tag{7-88}
\end{equation*}
$$

since, because of the continuity of $V, V \doteq V_{P}$ inside and on $S_{h}$, the approximation is becoming better and better as $h \rightarrow 0$. Fig. 7.9 shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial n_{h}}=-\frac{\partial}{\partial l} \tag{7-89}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial n_{h}}\left(\frac{1}{l}\right)=-\frac{d}{d l}\left(\frac{1}{l}\right)=\frac{1}{l^{2}}=\frac{1}{h^{2}}
$$

since $l=h$ on $S_{h}$. Furthermore

$$
\begin{equation*}
d S_{h}=h^{2} d \sigma \tag{7-90}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $d \sigma$ denoting the element of the unit sphere as usual. Thus the integral (7-88) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
-2 V_{P} \iint_{\sigma} \frac{1}{h^{2}} h^{2} d \sigma=-2 V_{P} \iint_{\sigma} d \sigma=-8 \pi V_{P} \tag{7-91}
\end{equation*}
$$

which provides the "miraculous appearance" of $V_{P}$ as promised towards the end of sec. 7.7.1!

Having achieved this, we shall kill the remaining terms in the integral over $S_{h}$. In fact,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{S_{h}} \frac{2}{l} \frac{\partial V}{\partial n} d S_{h}=\iint_{\sigma} \frac{2}{h} \frac{\partial V}{\partial n} h^{2} d \sigma=2 \iint_{\sigma} \frac{\partial V}{\partial n} h d \sigma \rightarrow 0 \tag{7-92}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $h \rightarrow 0$. Furthermore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\iint_{S_{h}} \Delta V \frac{\partial l}{\partial n} d S_{h}=\iint_{\sigma} \Delta V h^{2} d \sigma \rightarrow 0 \tag{7-93}
\end{equation*}
$$

since

$$
\frac{\partial l}{\partial n}=\frac{\partial l}{\partial n_{h}}=-\frac{\partial l}{\partial l}=-1
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{S_{h}} l \frac{\partial \Delta V}{\partial n} d S_{h}=\iint_{\sigma} \frac{\partial \Delta V}{\partial n} h^{3} d \sigma \rightarrow 0 \tag{7-94}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence in the limit $h \rightarrow 0$, eq. (7-86) reduces to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \iiint_{v} l \Delta^{2} V d v=-8 \pi V_{P}+ \\
& \quad+\iint_{S}\left(-2 V \frac{\partial}{\partial n}\left(\frac{1}{l}\right)+\frac{2}{l} \frac{\partial V}{\partial n}-\Delta V \frac{\partial l}{\partial n}+l \frac{\partial \Delta V}{\partial n}\right) d S . \tag{7-95}
\end{align*}
$$

This equation has exactly the same relation to (7-78) as Green's third identity has to Green's second identity (cf. Heiskanen ạnd Moritz, 1967, pp. 11-12).

### 7.7.3 Lauricella's Theorems

What we still have to achieve is to eliminate the third and fourth terms of the integral on the right-hand side of ( $7-95$ ). For this purpose we introduce an auxiliary function $H$ which is biharmonic and regular (twice continuously differentiable) throughout $v$ and assumes, together with its normal derivative, on the boundary surface $S$ the same boundary values as the function (7-81):

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{S}=l_{S}, \quad\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial n}\right)_{S}=\left(\frac{\partial l}{\partial n}\right)_{S} \tag{7-96}
\end{equation*}
$$

The difference between the functions $U=l$ and $H$ thus is that $H$ is regular throughout $v$, whereas $U$ has a singularity in its Laplacian at the point $P$; cf. (7-84). The point $P$ is considered fixed in this context.

The existence and uniqueness of a solution $H$ of the biharmonic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{2} H=0 \tag{7-97}
\end{equation*}
$$

