which holds for arbitrary  $\Theta_1$  and  $\Theta_2$ . These equations are due to Wavre. Going one step further, we may put

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_1 &= \Theta &, \\ \Theta_2 &= \Theta + h &. \end{aligned}$$

so that (3-43) may be written as

$$\frac{f(t)}{W'(t)} = \frac{\frac{Y(t,\,\Theta+h) - Y(t,\,\Theta)}{h}}{\frac{X(t,\,\Theta+h) - X(t,\,\Theta)}{h}}$$

Now, however, we may let  $h \rightarrow 0$ , obtaining according to the definition of the partial derivative

$$\frac{\partial X}{\partial \Theta} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{X(t, \Theta + h) - X(t, \Theta)}{h} \quad , \tag{3-44}$$

the form

$$\frac{f(t)}{W'(t)} = \frac{\partial Y/\partial\Theta}{\partial X/\partial\Theta} = \text{function of } t \text{ only} \quad . \tag{3-45}$$

This is an identity in t and  $\Theta$ , which will be useful in sec. 4.3. Another elegant formula is obtained by differentiating this identity with respect to  $\Theta$ :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\Theta} \left( \frac{\partial Y/\partial\Theta}{\partial X/\partial\Theta} \right) = 0 \quad , \tag{3-46}$$

which is another expression of the fact that the quotient  $(\partial Y/\partial \Theta)/(\partial X/\partial \Theta)$  does not explicitly depend on  $\Theta$ , being a function of t only. Since by differentiation we lose f(t)/W'(t), eq. (3-46) contains less information than (3-45), however.

## 3.2.2 Wavre's Theorem

Put for the left-hand side of (3-40) or (3-45):

$$\Psi(t) = \frac{f(t)}{W'(t)} \quad . \tag{3-47}$$

Then (3-37), using (3-33), (3-38) and (3-47), can be brought into the form

$$\frac{1}{g}\frac{\partial g}{\partial t} = -2JN + \Psi N^2 \quad , \tag{3-48}$$

which again is a function of the geometrical stratification only and does not depend on the density! This is a direct consequence of the definition (3-47) and of the remarkable properties of (3-40) just pointed out.

## 64 CHAPTER 3 EQUILIBRIUM FIGURES: ALTERNATIVE APPROACHES

Eq. (3-48) holds for any  $\Theta$ , and in particular for  $\Theta = 0$ , on the rotation axis. Thus we may integrate it along this axis from  $P_N$  to  $P_0$  (Fig. 3.2):

$$\int_{P_N}^{P_0} \frac{1}{g} \frac{\partial g}{\partial t} dt = \int_{1}^{t} (-2JN + \Psi N^2) dt = \ln g_0 - \ln g_N \quad , \tag{3-49}$$

so that

$$g_0 = g_N \exp\left[\int_{1}^{t} (-2JN + \Psi N^2) dt\right] = g(t, 0) \quad , \tag{3-50}$$

where  $g_N = g(1, 0)$  denotes gravity at the pole.

Now (3-35), with  $\Theta_1 = 0$  and  $\Theta_2 = \Theta$ , together with (3-50), gives

$$g(t, \Theta) = \frac{1}{N(t, \Theta)} g(t, 0) = \frac{g_N}{N(t, \Theta)} \exp\left[\int_1^t (-2JN + \Psi N^2) dt\right] \quad , \qquad (3-51)$$

noting that N(t, 0) = 1 as we have already remarked. Finally (3-47) and (3-34) yield

$$f(t) = -\Psi(t)N(t, \Theta)g(t, \Theta) \quad , \tag{3-52}$$

and hence the density  $\rho(t)$  by (3-39).

Note the truly remarkable logical structure of these formulas: the physics, especially the density distribution  $\rho(t)$ , is uniquely determined by the geometrical stratification. In fact, given the geometry (J, N), we can compute  $\Psi(t)$  by (3-40) or (3-45), and (3-47). Then gravity  $g(t, \Theta)$  is obtained by (3-51), and finally the density  $\rho$  by (3-52) and (3-39). The only constants that must be given in addition to the set of surfaces S(t), are the angular velocity  $\omega$  and polar gravity  $g_N$ , which, however, are uniquely determined by  $\omega$  and the total mass M ("Stokes constants"), using the theory of the external gravity field; cf. sec. 2.1 for a first-order approximation, sec. 5.2 for the (nonequilibrium case of the) level ellipsoid, and sec. 7.7.5 for a general definition of Stokes' constants. Thus we have

Wavre's Theorem

The physics of equilibrium figures (density  $\rho$ , gravity g) is completely determined by the geometrical stratification, i.e., the set of equisurfaces S(t) ( $0 \le t \le 1$ ), together with the total mass M and the angular velocity  $\omega$ .

## **3.2.3** Spherical Stratification as an Exception

For a spherical stratification, Wavre's theorem does not apply since the right-hand side of (3-40) becomes 0/0 here, so that  $\Psi(t)$  is not defined.

In fact, we have seen that a nonrotating spherical equilibrium configuration admits arbitrary density laws ( $\rho$  positive and nondecreasing towards the center). The